

CONNECTICUT COLLEGE HARASSMENT AND NONDISCRIMINATION POLICY FOR ALL FACULTY, STUDENTS, EMPLOYEES, AND THIRD PARTIES (Hereinafter, “the Policy”)

1. Purpose

Connecticut College is committed to providing an educational and employment environment that is free from Discrimination based on Protected Characteristics, Harassment, and Retaliation for engaging in protected activity.

Connecticut College values and upholds the equal dignity of all members of its community and strives to balance the rights of the Parties in the resolution process during what is often a difficult time for all involved.

To ensure compliance with federal, state, and local civil rights laws and regulations, and to affirm its commitment to promoting the goal of fairness in all aspects of the Education Program or Activity, Connecticut College has developed policies and procedures that provide a prompt, equitable, and impartial resolution of allegations of Protected Characteristic Discrimination, Harassment, or Retaliation.

2. Scope

The Policy applies to all Employees, Students, and other individuals participating in or attempting to participate in Connecticut College’s Education Program or Activities, including education and employment.

The Policy prohibits all forms of Discrimination on the basis of the Protected Characteristic(s), and may be applied to incidents, to patterns, and/or to the institutional culture/climate, all of which may be addressed in accordance with the Policy.

3. Notice of Nondiscrimination

Connecticut College seeks to comply with all federal, state, and local laws, regulations, and ordinances prohibiting Discrimination in private post-secondary education institutions.

Connecticut College does not discriminate against any Employee, applicant for employment, Student, or applicant for admission on the basis of:

- Age
- Citizenship status
- Color
- Creed
- Disability (physical or mental)
- Domestic violence victim status

- Ethnicity and ethnic characteristics
- Gender identity/expression¹
- Genetic information (including family medical history)
- Marital status
- National origin (including shared ancestry)
- Pregnancy or related conditions
- Race
- Religion
- Sex (including sex characteristics and sex stereotypes)
- Sexual orientation²
- Lawful source of income
- Veteran or military status (including disabled veteran, recently separated veteran, active-duty, wartime, or campaign badge veteran, and Armed Forces Service Medal veteran)
- or any other Protected Characteristic under applicable federal, state, or local law, including protections for those opposing Discrimination or participating in any resolution process within the institution, with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, and/or other human/civil rights agency³

The Policy covers nondiscrimination in both access to educational opportunities and employment. Therefore, any member of the Connecticut College community whose acts deny, deprive, or limit the educational or employment, residential and/or social access, benefits, and/or opportunities of any member of the Connecticut College community, guest, or visitor on the basis of that person's actual or perceived Protected Characteristic(s) listed above, is in violation of the Policy.

Connecticut College will promptly and effectively address any such Discrimination of which it has Notice using the applicable resolution process in the Procedures.

4. Nondiscrimination Team Contacts

Connecticut College has appointed the Nondiscrimination Team, comprised of the following individual(s), to coordinate Connecticut College's compliance with federal, state, and local civil rights laws and ordinances:

¹ Complaints of Harassment or Discrimination on the basis of this Protected Characteristic may be addressed as collateral misconduct under Process A or under Process B.

² Complaints of Harassment or Discrimination on the basis of this Protected Characteristic may be addressed as collateral misconduct under Process A or under Process B.

³ See [Appendix B](#) for definitions of these terms.

Megan D. Monahan, J.D.
Assistant VP for Retention and Success & Associate Dean for Civil Rights
Title IX Coordinator
Title VI Coordinator
ADA Coordinator
Unity House, Room 2
270 Mohegan Avenue
New London, CT 06320-4196
mmonahan@conncoll.edu
860-439-2624

Jenny Henneberry Malcomson
Assistant Director of Campus Dialogue & Civil Rights Case Manager
Unity House, Room 2
270 Mohegan Avenue
New London, CT 06320-4196
jhenneber@conncoll.edu
860-439-2761

Collectively, these individuals are responsible for providing comprehensive nondiscrimination education and training; coordinating Connecticut College's timely, thorough, and fair response; investigation and resolution of all alleged prohibited conduct under the Policy; and monitoring the effectiveness of, and any barriers to, accessing the Policy and related procedures to ensure an education and employment environment free from Discrimination, Harassment, and Retaliation.

Connecticut College has determined that the following administrators are Officials with Authority (OWAs) to address and correct Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct. In addition to the Nondiscrimination Team members listed above, these OWAs may also accept Notice or Formal Complaints on behalf of Connecticut College.

Officials with Authority or OWAs:

- College President
- Director of Athletics
- Director of Campus Safety and Emergency Operations
- Dean of the Faculty
- Associate Deans of the Faculty
- Director of Human Resources
- Dean of the College and Vice President of Retention and Success
- Senior Associate Dean of Students
- Dean of Students
- Associate Director of Athletics

Connecticut College recognizes that allegations under the Policy may include multiple forms of Discrimination, Harassment, and Retaliation as well as violations of other Connecticut College policies; may involve various combinations of Students, Employees, and other members of the Connecticut College community; and may require the simultaneous attention of multiple Connecticut College departments. Accordingly, all Connecticut College departments will share information, combine efforts, and otherwise collaborate, to the maximum extent permitted by law and consistent with other applicable Connecticut College policies, to provide uniform, consistent, efficient, and effective responses to alleged Discrimination, Harassment, or Retaliation.

5. External Contact Information

Concerns about Connecticut College's application of the Policy and compliance with certain federal civil rights laws may be addressed to:

Office for Civil Rights (OCR)
U.S. Department of Education
400 Maryland Avenue, SW
Washington, D.C. 20202-1100
Customer Service Hotline: (800) 421-3481
Facsimile: (202) 453-6012
TDD: (877) 521-2172
Email: OCR@ed.gov
Web: <http://www.ed.gov/ocr>

Boston Regional Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity (FHEO)
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Thomas P. O'Neill Jr. Federal Building
10 Causeway Street, Room 553
Boston, Massachusetts 02222
(617) 994-8300
(800) 827-5005

For allegations of Employee-on-Employee misconduct, contact the [Equal Employment Opportunity Commission](#) (EEOC) and/or the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO).

EEOC Boston Area Office
JFK Federal Building
15 New Sudbury Street, Room 475

Boston, MA 02203-0506
1-800-669-4000

Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)
450 Columbus Boulevard, Suite 2
Hartford, CT 06103-1835
(860) 541-3400

6. Mandated Reporting and Confidential Resources

All Connecticut College Faculty and Employees (including Student-Employees), other than those deemed Confidential Employees, are Mandated Reporters and are expected to promptly report all known details of actual or suspected Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct to appropriate officials immediately, although there are some limited exceptions.⁴ Supportive measures may be offered as the result of such disclosures without formal Connecticut College action, if appropriate.

Complainants, especially those who wish to remain anonymous, may want to carefully consider whether they share personally identifiable details with Mandated Reporters, as those details must be shared with the Administrator. For definitions, see Appendix A.

If a Complainant expects formal action in response to their allegations, making a disclosure to any Mandated Reporter can connect them with resources to report alleged crimes and/or Policy violations, and these Employees will immediately pass all known information to the Administrator (and/or police, if desired by the Complainant or required by law), who will act when an incident is reported to them.

The following sections describe the available reporting options for a Complainant or third party (including parents/guardians when appropriate):

A. Confidential Resources

To enable Complainants to access support and resources without filing a Formal Complaint, Connecticut College has designated specific employees as Confidential Resources. Those designated by Connecticut College as Confidential Resources are not required to report actual or suspected Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, or Other Prohibited Conduct in a way that identifies the Parties. They will, however, offer options and resources without any obligation to inform an outside agency or Connecticut College official unless a Complainant has requested the information be shared.

⁴ Public awareness events such as “Take Back the Night” or other forums at which individuals disclose experiences with Sexual Harassment are not considered notice to the Connecticut College for the purpose of triggering an individual investigation unless the individual initiates a complaint.

If a Complainant would like the details of an incident to be kept confidential, the Complainant may speak with the following:

- On-campus licensed professional counselors and staff
- On-campus health service providers and staff
- On-campus members of the clergy/chaplains working within the scope of their licensure or ordination
 - Associate Dean for Religious and Spiritual Life & College Chaplain, Rev. Stephanie Haskins, 860-439-2450, shaskins1@conncoll.edu
 - Director of Zachs Hillel House & College Chaplain
 - Muslim College Chaplain, Dr. Hazza Abu Rabia, haborabi@conncoll.edu
- Designated Confidential Resources
 - Assistant Dean for CASH, Nicole Powell, 860-439-2235, spowell2@conncoll.edu
 - SVPA Student-Employees (Fellows)
 - Director of Campus Belonging Initiatives, Christopher Campbell, 860-439-2535, ccampbel1@conncoll.edu

Institutional counselors and/or the Employee Assistance Program are available to help free of charge and may be consulted on an emergency basis during normal business hours.

Employees who have confidentiality as described above, and who receive reports within the scope of their confidential roles, will timely submit anonymous statistical information for Clery Act purposes and make de-identified reports of incidents so that the Administrator can evaluate for a potential hostile environment, unless they believe it would be harmful to their client, patient, or parishioner.

Failure of a Mandated Reporter, as described above, to report an incident of Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, or Other Prohibited Conduct of which they become aware is a violation of Connecticut College policy and can be subject to disciplinary action for failure to comply/failure to report. This also includes situations in which a Respondent is a Mandated Reporter. Such individuals are obligated to report their own misconduct, and failure to do so is a chargeable offense under the Policy.

A Mandated Reporter who is themselves a target of Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, or Other Prohibited Conduct under the Policy is not required to report their own experience, though they are encouraged to do so.

In addition, Complainants may speak with individuals unaffiliated with Connecticut College without concern that Policy will require them to disclose information to the institution without the Complainant's permission. Such individuals include:

- Licensed professional counselors and other medical providers

- Local rape crisis counselors
- Domestic Violence resources
- Local or state assistance agencies
- Clergy/Chaplains
- Attorneys

7. Disability-Based Complaints

Complaints related to disability status and/or provision of accommodations are addressed using the procedures in the Student Accessibility Services Accommodation Grievance Process. However, allegations of Discrimination or Harassment on the basis of an actual or perceived disability, including instances in which the provision of reasonable accommodations has a discriminatory effect, will be resolved under the procedures in this policy.

For details relating to disability accommodations in Connecticut College's resolution process, contact Student Accessibility Services (SAS).

8. Jurisdiction

The Policy applies to Connecticut College's Education Program and Activities, to conduct that takes place on property owned or controlled by Connecticut College, at Connecticut College-sponsored events, and in any building owned or controlled by a Connecticut College-recognized student organization.⁵

The Policy may also apply to the effects of off-campus misconduct that limit or deny a person's access to Connecticut College's Education Program or Activities. Connecticut College may also extend jurisdiction to off-campus and/or to online conduct when the conduct affects a substantial Connecticut College interest.

A substantial Connecticut College interest includes:

- 1) Any action that constitutes a criminal offense as defined by law. This includes, but is not limited to, single or repeat violations of any federal, state, or local law
- 2) Any situation in which it is determined that the Respondent poses an immediate threat to the physical health or safety of any Student, Employee, or other individual
- 3) Any situation that significantly impinges upon the rights, property, or achievements of others, significantly breaches the peace, and/or causes social disorder
- 4) Any situation that substantially interferes with Connecticut College's educational interests or mission]

⁵ Education Program and Activity includes Connecticut College's Employees' work environment.

For disciplinary action to be issued for Title IX Sexual Harassment under the Policy, the Respondent must be a Connecticut College Student or Employee at the time of the Formal Complaint. For allegations other than Title IX Sexual Harassment, Connecticut College may issue disciplinary action under the Policy if the Respondent was a Connecticut College Student, or Employee at the time of the alleged misconduct. If the Respondent is unknown or is not a member of the Connecticut College community, the Administrator will offer to assist the Complainant in identifying appropriate institutional and local resources and support options and will implement appropriate supportive measures and/or remedial actions (e.g., trespassing a person from campus). Connecticut College can also assist in contacting local or institutional law enforcement if the individual would like to file a police report about criminal conduct.

All vendors serving Connecticut College through third-party contracts are subject to the policies and procedures of their employers.

When a party is participating in a dual enrollment/early college program, Connecticut College will coordinate with the party's home institution to determine jurisdiction and coordinate providing supportive measures and responding to the Notice under the appropriate policy and procedures based on the allegations and identities of the Parties.

When the Respondent is enrolled in or employed by another institution, the Administrator can assist the Complainant in contacting the appropriate individual at that institution, as it may be possible to pursue action under that institution's policies.

Similarly, the Administrator may be able to assist and support a Student or Employee Complainant who experiences Discrimination in an externship, study abroad program, or other environment external to Connecticut College where Sexual Harassment or nondiscrimination policies and procedures of the facilitating or host organization may give the Complainant recourse. If there are effects of that external conduct that impact a Complainant's education or employment environment, those effects can often be addressed remedially by the Administrator if brought to their attention.

9. Supportive Measures

Connecticut College will offer and implement appropriate and reasonable supportive measures to the Parties upon Notice of alleged Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct. Supportive measures are non-disciplinary, non-punitive individualized services offered as appropriate and as reasonably available. They are offered, without fee or charge to the Parties, to restore or preserve access to Connecticut College's Education Program or Activity, including measures designed to protect the safety of all Parties and/or the Connecticut College's educational environment and/or to deter Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct.

The Administrator promptly makes supportive measures available to the Parties upon receiving Notice or a Formal Complaint. At the time that supportive measures are offered, if a Formal

Complaint has not been filed, Connecticut College will inform the Complainant, in writing, that they may file a Formal Complaint with Connecticut College either at that time or in the future. The Administrator will work with a party to ensure that their wishes are considered with respect to any planned and implemented supportive measures.

Supportive measures may be available upon Notice even if the reported behavior may be protected free expression, occurred outside of Connecticut College's jurisdiction, or for any other reason is not subject to Connecticut College's grievance procedures. Supportive measures may be available to other Students or Employees who are not the Complainant, depending on the nature of the allegations and their impact.

Connecticut College will maintain the confidentiality of the supportive measures, provided that confidentiality does not impair Connecticut College's ability to provide those supportive measures. Connecticut College will act to ensure as minimal an academic/occupational impact on the Parties as possible. Connecticut College will implement measures in a way that does not unreasonably burden another party.

These actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Referral to counseling, medical, and/or other healthcare services
- Referral to the Employee Assistance Program
- Referral to community-based service providers
- Visa and immigration assistance
- Student financial aid counseling
- Education to the institutional community or community subgroup(s)
- Altering campus housing assignment(s)
- Altering work arrangements for Employees or Student-employees
- Safety planning
- Providing campus safety escorts
- Providing transportation assistance
- Implementing contact limitations (e.g., no contact orders) between the Parties
- Academic support, extensions of deadlines, or other course/program-related adjustments
- Trespass, Persona Non Grata (PNG), or Be-On-the-Lookout (BOLO) orders
- Timely warnings
- Class schedule modifications, withdrawals, or leaves of absence
- Increased security and monitoring of certain areas of the campus
- Any other actions deemed appropriate by the Administrator

Violations of no contact orders or other restrictions may be referred to appropriate Student or Employee conduct processes for enforcement or added as collateral misconduct allegations to an ongoing resolution process under the Policy.

10. Online Harassment and Misconduct

Connecticut College policies are written and interpreted broadly to include online and/or electronic manifestations of any of the prohibited behaviors below, when those behaviors occur in or have an effect on Connecticut College's Education Program or Activities or when they involve the use of Connecticut College networks, technology, or equipment.

Although Connecticut College may not control websites, social media, and other venues through which harassing communications are made, when such communications are reported to Connecticut College, it will engage in appropriate means to address and mitigate the effects.

Members of the community are encouraged to be good digital citizens and to refrain from online misconduct, such as feeding anonymous gossip sites; sharing inappropriate content via social media; engaging in unwelcome sexual or sex-based messaging; distributing, or threatening to distribute, nude or semi-nude photos or recordings; breaches of privacy; or otherwise using the ease of transmission and/or anonymity of the Internet or other technology to harm another member of the Connecticut College community.

Nothing in the Policy is intended to infringe upon or limit a Student or Employee's free expression rights. Supportive measures for Complainants will be provided.

11. Inclusion Related to Gender Identity/Expression

Connecticut College strives to ensure that all individuals are safe, included, and respected in their education and employment environments, regardless of their gender identity or expression, including intersex, nonbinary, transgender, agender, two-spirit, and gender-diverse Students and Employees.

Connecticut College does not tolerate Discrimination and Harassment on the basis of gender identity or expression. If a member of the Connecticut College community believes they have been subjected to Discrimination or Harassment under the Policy, they should follow the appropriate reporting process described herein.

In upholding the principles of access and opportunity, Connecticut College supports the full integration and healthy development of those who are gender diverse and seeks to eliminate any stigma related to gender identity and expression.

Connecticut College is committed to fostering a climate where all identities are valued, contributing to a more vibrant community. Connecticut College will administratively address issues some Students and Employees, including those identifying as intersex, nonbinary, transgender, agender, two-spirit, and gender diverse, may confront as they navigate systems originally designed around the assumption that gender is binary. As our society's understanding of gender evolves, so do Connecticut College's processes and policies.

Concepts like misgendering and deadnaming may not be familiar to all but understanding them is essential to Connecticut College's goal of being as welcoming and inclusive a community as possible.

Misgendering or mispronouncing is the intentional or unintentional use of pronouns or identifiers that are different from those used by an individual. Unintentional misgendering is usually resolved with an apology if someone clarifies their pronouns for you. Intentional misgendering is inconsistent with the type of community we hold ourselves out to be. We each have a right to determine our own gender identity and expression, but we don't get to choose or negate someone else's.

Deadnaming, along with misgendering, can be very traumatic to a person who is transgender, transitioning, nonbinary, or gender diverse. Deadnaming means using someone's birth-assigned (cisgender) name, rather than the name they have chosen.

To a person who is transgender, transitioning, nonbinary, or gender diverse, their cisgender identity may be something that is in their past — dead, buried, and behind them. To then revive their deadname could trigger stressors, traumas, and experiences of the past that the individual has moved past, or is moving past, and can interfere with their health and well-being.

Unintentional deadnaming can be addressed by an apology and an effort to use the person's name. Intentional deadnaming could be a form of harassing an individual, and thus should be avoided.

The Policy should be interpreted consistent with the goals of maximizing the access and opportunity of intersex, nonbinary, transgender, transitioning, agender, two spirit, and gender-diverse Students and Employees, including:

- Maintaining the privacy of all individuals consistent with law
- Ensuring all Students equal access to educational programming, activities, and facilities, including restrooms and locker rooms
- Ensuring all Employees equal access to employment opportunities and work, service, or health-related facilities
- Providing professional development for Employees and education for Students on topics related to gender inclusion
- Encouraging all Students and Employees to respect the pronoun usage and identities of all Connecticut College community members

Connecticut College uses a number of interventions to address concerns that are raised related to gender-based Discrimination or Harassment, including problem-solving, intervention, confrontation, investigation, and Policy enforcement. When conflicts arise between the rights of members of the community to be free from gender-identity Discrimination and those exercising their right to religious freedom, Connecticut College will try to balance rights and

interests to find mutually agreeable outcomes or compromises. When that is not possible, Connecticut College will offer remedial solutions or enforce its Policies while also respecting rights of all members of its community.

12. Prohibited Conduct

Students and Employees are entitled to an education and employment environment that is free of Discrimination, Harassment, and Retaliation. The Policy is not meant to inhibit or prohibit educational content or discussions inside or outside of the classroom that include germane, but controversial or sensitive, subject matters protected by academic freedom.

The sections below describe the specific forms of legally prohibited Discrimination, Harassment, and Retaliation that are also prohibited under Connecticut College policy. When speech or conduct is protected by academic freedom and/or free expression it will not be considered a violation of Connecticut College policy, though supportive measures will be offered to those impacted, and will implement remedies addressing the larger community, as appropriate.

All offense definitions encompass actual and/or attempted offenses.

Any of the following offenses can be charged as or combined as pattern offenses, in which case the Notice of Investigation and Allegation (NOIA) will clearly indicate that both individual incidents and a pattern of conduct are being investigated. A pattern may be alleged when there is a potential substantial similarity between incidents in which the proof of one could make it more likely that the other(s) occurred, and vice versa. Patterns may exist based on target selection, behavior similarity, or other factors. Pattern-based evidence can offer corroboration of an allegation, and where a pattern is charged and found, it can be the basis to enhance sanctions accordingly.

Violation of any other Connecticut College policies may constitute Discrimination or Harassment when motivated by actual or perceived Protected Characteristic(s), and the result is a limitation or denial of education or employment access, benefits, or opportunities.

A. Discrimination

Discrimination is different treatment with respect to a person's employment or participation in an Education Program or Activity based, in whole or in part, upon the person's actual or perceived Protected Characteristic(s). Discrimination also includes allegations of a failure to provide reasonable accommodations as required by law or policy, such as for disability, religion, or creed.

Discrimination can take two primary forms:

1) Disparate Treatment Discrimination:

- Any intentional differential treatment of a person or persons that is based on a person's actual or perceived Protected Characteristic(s) and that:
 - Excludes a person from participation in;
 - Denies the person benefits of; or
 - Otherwise adversely affects a term or condition of a person's participation in a Connecticut College program or activity.

2) Disparate Impact Discrimination:

- Disparate impact occurs when policies or practices that appear to be neutral unintentionally result in a disproportionate impact on a protected group or person that:
 - Excludes a person from participation in;
 - Denies the person benefits of; or
 - Otherwise adversely affects a term or condition of a person's participation in a Connecticut College program or activity.

B. Discriminatory Harassment

- Unwelcome conduct on the basis of actual or perceived Protected Characteristic(s),
- which, based on the totality of the circumstances,
- is subjectively and objectively offensive, and
- is so severe or pervasive,
- that it limits or denies a person's ability to participate in or benefit from Connecticut College's program or activity.

C. Sexual Harassment

The Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights (OCR), the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC), and the State of Connecticut regard Sexual Harassment, a specific form of Discriminatory Harassment, as an unlawful discriminatory practice.

Acts of Sexual Harassment may be committed by any person upon any other person, regardless of the Sex, sexual orientation, and/or gender identity of those involved.

Connecticut College has adopted the following definitions of Sexual Harassment in order to address the unique environment of an academic community. Two definitions are required by federal law. While they overlap, they are not identical, and they each apply as noted.

- 1) **Title VII/FHA Sexual Harassment** applies to situations where an Employee is subjected to workplace Sexual Harassment or where a situation involves a residential Complainant in Connecticut College-provided housing.
 - Unwelcome verbal, written, graphic, and/or physical conduct;
 - that is severe or pervasive and objectively offensive;
 - on the basis of Sex, that
 - unreasonably interferes with, limits, or effectively denies an individual's educational or employment access, benefits, or opportunities.
- 2) **Title IX Sexual Harassment**, as an umbrella category, includes the offenses of Sexual Harassment, Sexual Assault, Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, and Stalking. This definition applies to all Formal Complaints that fall within Title IX jurisdiction as determined by the Administrator. Sexual Harassment includes:

Conduct on the basis of Sex, or that is sexual in nature, that satisfies one or more of the following:

- 1) **Quid Pro Quo:**
 - An Employee of Connecticut College,
 - conditions⁶ the provision of an aid, benefit, or service of Connecticut College,
 - on an individual's participation in unwelcome sexual conduct.
- 2) **Sexual Harassment (Hostile Environment):**
 - Unwelcome conduct,
 - determined by a Reasonable Person,
 - to be so severe, and
 - pervasive, and,
 - objectively offensive,
 - that it effectively denies a Complainant equal access to Connecticut College's program or activity.⁷
- 3) **Sexual Assault:**
 - a. **Rape:**
 - Penetration, no matter how slight,
 - of the vagina or anus of a person,

⁶ Implicitly or explicitly.

⁷ Unwelcomeness is subjective and determined by the Complainant (except when the Complainant is younger than the age of consent), though a Decision-maker may consider compelling evidence that rebuts an assertion of unwelcomeness. Severity, pervasiveness, and objective offensiveness are evaluated based on the totality of the circumstances from the perspective of a Reasonable Person in the same or similar circumstances ("in the shoes of the Complainant"), including the context in which the alleged incident occurred and any similar, previous patterns that may be evidenced.

- with any body part or object, or
- oral penetration
 - of a sex organ of the Complainant, or
 - by the Respondent's sex organ, or
 - by a sex-related object
- without the consent of the Complainant,
- including instances where the Complainant is incapable of giving consent because of their age or because of a temporary or permanent mental or physical incapacity.

b. Fondling:⁸

- The intentional touching of the clothed or unclothed genitals, buttocks, groin, breasts, or other body parts of the Complainant by the Respondent,
 - without the consent of the Complainant,
 - for the purpose of sexual degradation, sexual gratification, or sexual humiliation
- Or, the Respondent caused or directed the Complainant's intentional touching of the Respondent's clothed or unclothed genitals, buttocks, groin, breasts, or other body parts,
 - without the consent of the Complainant,
 - for the purpose of sexual degradation, sexual gratification, or sexual humiliation.

c. Incest:

- Sexual intercourse,
- between persons who are related to each other,
- within the degrees wherein marriage is prohibited by Connecticut law.

d. Statutory Rape:

- Sexual intercourse,
- with a person who is under the statutory age of consent of 16 years old.

4) Dating Violence:

⁸ Contact with private body parts (e.g., genitals, buttocks, groin, breasts) is considered to be done for the purpose of sexual degradation, sexual gratification, or sexual humiliation unless: (1) the contact can be proven inadvertent; (2) the contact is for a legitimate medical (or other privileged) purpose and thus is conduct for which consent should have been sought and obtained by the provider; (3) the contact involves a Respondent who is pre-sexual, based on maturity/age (thus their intent is not sexual); (4) the contact involves a Respondent who cannot developmentally understand sexual contact or that their contact is sexual; or (5) The contact is something like butt-slapping on a team and is both minimal and unlikely to have sexual motivation or purpose, as shown by the context of the act(s).

- Violence,⁹
- committed by a Respondent,
- who is in or has been in a social relationship of a romantic or intimate nature with the Complainant
 - The existence of such a relationship shall be determined based on the Complainant's statement and with consideration of the length of the relationship, the type of relationship, and the frequency of interaction between the persons involved in the relationship. For the purposes of this definition—
 - Dating Violence includes, but is not limited to, sexual or physical abuse or the threat of such abuse
 - Dating Violence does not include acts covered under the definition of Domestic Violence

5) Domestic Violence:¹⁰

- Violence,¹¹
- committed by a Respondent who is a current or former spouse or intimate partner of the Complainant,
- by a person with whom the Complainant shares a child in common, or
- by a person who is cohabitating with, or has cohabitated with, the Complainant as a spouse or intimate partner, or
- by a person similarly situated to a spouse of the Complainant under the domestic or family violence laws of Connecticut, or
- by any other person against an adult or youth Complainant who is protected from that person's acts under the domestic or family violence laws of Connecticut.

⁹ For purposes of the Policy, violence includes situations where the Respondent intentionally or recklessly causes the Complainant serious physical, emotional, or psychological harm.

- Intent is evidenced when a Reasonable Person would be more likely to act with the purpose of causing serious harm rather than for any other reason
- Recklessness is evidenced by a disregard of obvious risk to the safety of the Complainant
- Legitimate use of violence for self-defense is not chargeable under the Policy because the purpose is safety, not harm. It may also be used as a defense if it is not clear at the time of charging whether the use of violence was for self-defense or not. Self-defense is only to be considered if it is prompted by physical violence or the threat thereof.
- Consensual use of violence, such as in kink relationships, would also not meet this definition in most circumstances
- Threats to seriously harm the Complainant or people they care about may be chargeable under this definition if doing so causes serious emotional or psychological harm
- Threats to harm oneself, even if made to cause emotional or psychological harm, are not considered violence under this definition (but may be addressable under the Honor Code/Conduct Code).

¹⁰ To categorize an incident as Domestic Violence under the Policy, the relationship between the Respondent and the Complainant must be more than just two people living together as roommates. The people cohabitating must be current or former spouses or have an intimate relationship.

¹¹ As defined in the footnote for Dating Violence.

6) Stalking:

- A Respondent engaging in a course of conduct,
- on the basis of Sex,
- directed at the Complainant, that
 - would cause a Reasonable Person to fear for the person's safety, or the safety of others; or
 - Suffer substantial emotional distress.

For the purposes of this definition—

- Course of conduct means two or more acts, including, but not limited to acts in which the Respondent directly, indirectly, or through third parties, by any action, method, device, or means, follows, monitors, observes, surveils, threatens, or communicates to or about a person, or interferes with a person's property
- Substantial emotional distress means significant mental suffering or anguish that may but does not necessarily require medical or other professional treatment or counseling

D. Sexual Misconduct

1) Sexual Exploitation:¹²

- Any person taking non-consensual or abusive sexual advantage of another, that does not constitute Sexual Harassment as defined above,
- for their own benefit or for the benefit of anyone other than the person being exploited.

Examples of Sexual Exploitation include, but are not limited to:

- Sexual voyeurism (such as observing or allowing others to observe a person undressing or using the bathroom or engaging in sexual acts, without the consent of the person being observed)
- Distributing (e.g., Airdropping, Snapchatting, displaying on a device for others to see) Authentic or Synthetic Non-Consensual Intimate Imagery (NCII)^{13,14}
- Invasion of sexual privacy (e.g., doxxing)

¹² This offense is not classified as Sexual Harassment under Title IX, but it is included here in the Policy as a tool to address a wider range of behaviors.

¹³ Authentic Non-Consensual Intimate Imagery includes authentic (i.e., real) sexually explicit, nude, or intimate videos, photos, or audio recordings of an individual distributed without the consent of the individual depicted.

¹⁴ Synthetic Non-Consensual Intimate Imagery includes videos, photos, or audio representations of an individual that have been digitally manipulated (i.e., faked) to depict an individual in a sexually explicit, nude, or intimate manner or saying sexual or explicit words/statements and distributed without the consent of the individual depicted. Synthetic NCII depicts sexually related actions or behaviors that never happened, or places identifiable individuals in pornographic, nude, or sexual situations without their consent.

- Knowingly making an unwelcome disclosure of (or threatening to disclose) an individual's sexual orientation, gender identity, or gender expression
- Taking pictures, video, or audio recording of another person in a sexual act, or in any other sexually related activity when there is a reasonable expectation of privacy during the activity, without the consent of all involved in the activity; or exceeding the boundaries of consent (such as allowing another person to hide in a closet and observe sexual activity; or disseminating sexual pictures without the photographed person's consent)
- Prostituting another person
- Engaging in sexual activity with another person while knowingly infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) or a sexually transmitted disease (STD) or infection (STI), without informing the other person of the virus, disease, or infection
- Causing or attempting to cause the incapacitation of another person (through alcohol, drugs, or any other means) for the purpose of compromising that person's ability to give consent to sexual activity, or for the purpose of making that person vulnerable to non-consensual sexual activity
- Misappropriation of another person's identity on apps, websites, or other venues designed for dating or sexual connections (e.g., spoofing)
- Forcing a person to take an action against that person's will by threatening to show, post, or share information, video, audio, or an image that depicts the person's nudity or sexual activity
- Knowingly soliciting a minor for sexual activity
- Engaging in sex trafficking
- Knowingly creating, possessing, or disseminating child sexual abuse images or recordings
- Creating or disseminating synthetic media, including images, videos, or audio representations of individuals doing or saying sexually related things that never happened, or placing identifiable real people in fictitious pornographic or nude situations without their consent (i.e., Deepfakes)

E. Other Prohibited Conduct

1) Retaliation¹⁵

- Connecticut College or any member of Connecticut College's community,
- taking or attempting to take materially adverse action,

¹⁵ Retaliation allegations can be routed exclusively through Process B if Connecticut College so elects, but where Retaliation and Sexual Harassment allegations are both alleged, it will likely make more sense to use Process A to resolve all together.

- by intimidating, threatening, coercing, harassing, or discriminating against any individual,
- for the purpose of interfering with any right or privilege secured by law or Policy, or
- because the individual has made a report or complaint, testified, assisted, or participated or refused to participate in any manner in an investigation, proceeding, or hearing under the Policy and associated procedures.

The exercise of rights protected under academic freedom and/or free expression does not constitute Retaliation. It is also not Retaliation for Connecticut College to pursue disciplinary action against those who make materially false statements in bad faith in the course of a resolution process under the Policy. However, the determination of responsibility, by itself, is not sufficient to conclude that any party has made a materially false statement in bad faith.

2) **Failure to Comply/Process Interference**

- Intentional failure to comply with the reasonable directives of the Administrator in the performance of their official duties, including with the terms of a no contact order
- Intentional failure to comply with emergency removal or interim suspension terms
- Intentional failure to comply with sanctions
- Intentional failure to adhere to the terms of an Informal Resolution agreement
- Intentional failure to comply with mandated reporting duties as defined in the Policy
- Intentional interference with a resolution process, including, but not limited to:
 - Destroying or concealing evidence
 - Seeking or encouraging false testimony or providing false testimony or evidence
 - Intimidating or bribing a witness or party

F. Sanction Ranges

The following sanction ranges apply to Prohibited Conduct under the Policy. Sanctions can be assigned outside of the specified ranges based on aggravating or mitigating circumstances, or the Respondent's cumulative disciplinary record.

- **Discrimination:** reprimand/warning through expulsion or termination
- **Discriminatory Harassment:** reprimand/warning through expulsion or termination

- **Title VII/FHA Sexual Harassment:** reprimand/warning through expulsion or termination
- **Quid Pro Quo Harassment:** reprimand/warning through expulsion or termination
- **Sexual Harassment (Hostile Environment):** reprimand/warning through expulsion or termination
- **Rape:** suspension through expulsion or termination
- **Fondling:** reprimand/warning through expulsion or termination
- **Incest:** reprimand/warning through expulsion or termination
- **Statutory Rape:** reprimand/warning through expulsion or termination
- **Stalking:** restrictions/probation through expulsion or termination
- **Dating/Domestic Violence:** restrictions/probation through expulsion or termination
- **Sexual Exploitation:** reprimand/warning through expulsion or termination
- **Retaliation:** reprimand/warning through expulsion or termination
- **Failure to Comply/Process Interference:** reprimand/warning through expulsion or termination

G. Consent, Force, and Incapacitation

As used in the Policy, the following definitions and understandings apply:

1) Consent:

- knowing, and
- voluntary, and
- clear permission
- by word or action
- to engage in sexual activity.¹⁶

Individuals may perceive and experience the same interaction in different ways. Therefore, it is the responsibility of each party to determine that the other has consented before engaging in the activity.

If consent is not clearly provided prior to engaging in the activity, consent may be ratified by word or action at some point during the interaction or thereafter, but clear communication from the outset is strongly encouraged.

¹⁶ The state definition of consent is that lack of consent to sexual activity exists where: (1) the accused compels the victim to engage in sexual activity by the use or threat of force against the victim (or against a third person); (2) the victim is mentally incapacitated or impaired because of mental disability or disease to the extent that the person is unable to consent to sexual activity; or (3) the victim is physically helpless, and it is applicable to criminal prosecutions for sex offenses in Connecticut but may differ from the definition used by Connecticut College to address Policy violations. This is included for Clery/Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) Sec. 304 compliance purposes.

For consent to be valid, there must be a clear expression in words or actions that the other individual consented to that specific sexual activity. Consent is evaluated from the perspective of what a Reasonable Person would conclude are mutually understandable words or actions. Reasonable reciprocation can be implied consent. For example, if someone kisses you, you can kiss them back (if you want to) without the need to explicitly obtain their consent to being kissed back.

Consent can also be withdrawn once given, as long as the withdrawal is reasonably and clearly communicated. If consent is withdrawn, that sexual activity should cease within a reasonably immediate time.

Silence or the absence of resistance alone should not be interpreted as consent. Although resistance is not required or necessary, it is a clear demonstration of non-consent.

Consent to some sexual contact (such as kissing or fondling) cannot be assumed to be consent for other sexual activity (such as intercourse). A current or previous intimate relationship is not sufficient to constitute consent. If an individual expresses conditions on their willingness to consent (e.g., use of a condom) or limitations on the scope of their consent, those conditions and limitations must be respected. If a sexual partner shares the clear expectation for the use of a condom, or to avoid internal ejaculation, and those expectations are not honored, the failure to use a condom, removing a condom, or internal ejaculation can be considered acts of Sexual Assault.

Proof of consent or non-consent is not a burden placed on any party involved in a Formal Complaint. Instead, the burden remains on Connecticut College to determine whether its Policy has been violated. The existence of consent is based on the totality of the circumstances evaluated from the perspective of a Reasonable Person in the same or similar circumstances, including the context in which the alleged misconduct occurred and any similar and previous patterns that may be evidenced.

Going beyond the boundaries of consent is prohibited. Thus, unless a sexual partner has consented to slapping, hitting, hair pulling, strangulation, or other physical roughness during otherwise consensual sexual activity, those acts may constitute Dating Violence or Sexual Assault.¹⁷

Connecticut State Required Disclosure Regarding Affirmative Consent

¹⁷ Consent in relationships must also be considered in context. When Parties consent to BDSM (bondage, discipline, sadism, masochism) or other forms of kink, non-consent may be shown by the use of a safe word. Resistance, force, violence, or even saying “no” may be part of the kink and thus consensual.

Consent under this Policy is intended to be consistent with the requirements of CT Public Act No. 21-81, which provides that, in the context of an alleged violation of the policy or policies regarding sexual assault and intimate partner violence,

(A) affirmative consent is the standard used in determining whether consent to engage in sexual activity was given by all persons who engaged in the sexual activity,

(B) affirmative consent may be revoked at any time during the sexual activity by any person engaged in the sexual activity,

(C) it is the responsibility of each person to ensure that he or she has the affirmative consent of all persons engaged in the sexual activity to engage in the sexual activity and that the affirmative consent is sustained throughout the sexual activity,

(D) it shall not be a valid excuse to an alleged lack of affirmative consent that the student or employee responding to the alleged violation believed that the student or employee reporting or disclosing the alleged violation consented to the sexual activity (i) because the responding student or employee was intoxicated or reckless or failed to take reasonable steps to ascertain whether the student or employee reporting or disclosing the alleged violation affirmatively consented, or (ii) if the responding student or employee knew or should have known that the student or employee reporting or disclosing the alleged violation was unable to consent because such student or employee was unconscious, asleep, unable to communicate due to a mental or physical condition, or incapacitated due to the influence of drugs, alcohol or medication, and

(E) the existence of a past or current dating or sexual relationship between the student or employee reporting or disclosing the alleged violation and the responding student or employee, in and of itself, shall not be determinative of a finding of affirmative consent.

2) Force:

Force is the use of physical violence and/or physical imposition to gain sexual access. Sexual activity that is forced is, by definition, non-consensual, but non-consensual sexual activity is not necessarily forced.

Force also includes threats, intimidation (implied threats), and coercion that is intended to overcome resistance or produce consent (e.g., "Have sex with me or I'll hit you," which elicits the response, "Okay, don't hit me. I'll do what you want.").

Coercion is unreasonable pressure for sexual activity. Coercive conduct, if sufficiently severe, can render a person's consent ineffective, because it is not voluntary. When someone makes clear that they do not want to engage in sexual activity, that they want to stop, or that they do not want to go past a certain point of sexual interaction, continued pressure beyond that point can be coercive. Coercion

is evaluated based on the frequency, intensity, isolation, and duration of the pressure involved.

3) Incapacitation:

Incapacitation is a state where a person is incapable of giving consent. An incapacitated person cannot make rational, reasonable decisions because they lack the capacity to give knowing/informed consent (e.g., to understand the “who, what, when, where, why, and how” of their sexual interaction).

A person cannot consent if they are unable to understand what is happening or are disoriented, helpless, asleep, or unconscious for any reason, including as a result of alcohol or other drug consumption.

This Policy also covers a person whose incapacity results from a temporary or permanent physical or mental health condition, involuntary physical restraint, and/or the consumption of incapacitating substances.

Incapacitation is determined through consideration of all relevant indicators of a person’s state and is not synonymous with intoxication, impairment, blackout, and/or being drunk.

If the Respondent neither knew nor should have known the Complainant to be physically or mentally incapacitated, the Respondent is not in violation of the Policy. “Should have known” is an objective, Reasonable Person standard that assumes that a Reasonable Person is both sober and exercising sound judgment.

H. Unethical Relationships Policy (See [Appendix H](#))

13. Standard of Evidence

Connecticut College uses the preponderance of the evidence standard of evidence when determining whether a Policy violation occurred. This means that Connecticut College will decide whether it is more likely than not, based upon the available information at the time of the decision, that the Respondent violated the Policy.

14. Notice/Complaints of Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct

A report provides Connecticut College Notice of an allegation or concern about Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct and provides an opportunity for the Administrator to provide information, resources, and supportive measures. A Formal Complaint informs Connecticut College that the Complainant would like to initiate an investigation or other appropriate resolution procedures. A Complainant or individual may initially make a report and may decide later to make a Formal Complaint. An individual other than a

Complainant may make a report of Discrimination or Harassment on behalf of another person or group; however, that individual does not become the Complainant. Reports or Formal Complaints of Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct may be made using any of the following options:

- 1) File a report or Formal Complaint with, or give verbal Notice to, the Administrator or to any member of the Nondiscrimination Team. Such a report or Formal Complaint may be made at any time (including during non-business hours) by using the telephone number or email address, or by mail, to the office of the Administrator or any other Nondiscrimination Team member listed in the Policy.
- 2) Report online using the [Discrimination, Harassment, and Sexual Misconduct Reporting Form](#) on CamelWeb Anonymous reports are accepted but the report may give rise to a need to try to determine the Parties' identities if not provided. Anonymous reports may limit Connecticut College's ability to investigate, respond, and provide remedies, depending upon what information is shared. Measures intended to protect the community or redress or mitigate harm may be enacted. It also may not be possible to provide supportive measures to unidentified Complainants who are the subject of anonymous reports.

As used in the Policy, the term "Formal Complaint" means a document or electronic submission (such as by electronic mail or through an online portal provided by Connecticut College for this purpose) that contains the Complainant's physical or digital signature or otherwise indicates that the Complainant is the person filing the complaint, and requests that Connecticut College investigate the allegations. If Notice is submitted in a format that does not meet this standard, the Administrator will contact the Complainant to determine whether the Complainant is requesting that Connecticut College initiate an investigation or other appropriate resolution procedures.¹⁸

Reporting carries no obligation to file a Formal Complaint, and in most situations, Connecticut College is able to respect a Complainant's request to not initiate an investigation or other appropriate resolution procedures. However, there may be circumstances, such as pattern behavior, allegations of severe misconduct, or a compelling threat to health and/or safety, in which Connecticut College may need to initiate an investigation or other appropriate resolution procedures. If a Complainant does not wish to file a Formal Complaint, Connecticut College will maintain the privacy of information to the extent possible. The Complainant should not fear a loss of privacy by submitting a report that allows Connecticut College to discuss and/or provide supportive measures, in most circumstances.

¹⁸ As required by federal law, Connecticut College may not initiate a resolution process for allegations of Title IX Sexual Harassment without a Formal Complaint. For all other allegations of prohibited conduct under the Policy, a Formal Complaint is not required, and Connecticut College may initiate its resolution process upon Notice of the alleged misconduct. Notice can be substituted in place of references to a Formal Complaint for allegations other than Title IX Sexual Harassment throughout the Policy.

15. Limits on Reporting

There is no time limitation on providing Notice/Formal Complaints to the Administrator. However, if the Respondent is no longer subject to Connecticut College's jurisdiction, and/or significant time has passed, the ability to investigate, respond, and/or provide remedies may be limited or impossible.

Acting on Notice/Formal Complaints significantly impacted by the passage of time (including, but not limited to, the rescission or revision of Policy) is at the Administrator's discretion; they may document allegations for future reference, offer supportive measures and/or remedies, and/or engage in informal or formal action, as appropriate.

Once a Resolution has been reached, a Complainant may not refile the same complaint.

16. False Allegations and Evidence

Deliberately false and/or malicious accusations under the Policy are a serious offense and will be subject to appropriate disciplinary action. This does not include allegations that are made in good faith but are ultimately shown to be erroneous or do not result in a Policy violation determination. False allegations may be a form of Harassment and/or Retaliation or may fall within other Connecticut College policies.

Witnesses and Parties who knowingly provide false evidence, tamper with or destroy evidence, or deliberately mislead an official conducting an investigation, Informal Resolution, or hearing can be subject to discipline under appropriate Connecticut College policies.

17. Confidentiality/Privacy

Connecticut College makes every effort to preserve the Parties' privacy. Connecticut College will not share the identity of any individual who has made a report of Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, or Other Prohibited Conduct; any Complainant; any individual who has been reported to be the perpetrator of Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, or Other Prohibited Conduct; any Respondent; or any witness, except as permitted by, or to fulfill the purposes, of applicable laws and regulations (e.g., Title IX), the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) and its implementing regulations, or as required by law; including any investigation or resolution proceeding arising under these policies and procedures.^{19,20}

Further, Parties and Advisors are expected to maintain the confidentiality of all information created by or shared with them by Connecticut College during any investigation and/or resolution process. Parties are entitled to share their own accounts and experiences but are

¹⁹ 20 U.S.C. 1232g.

²⁰ 34 C.F.R. § 99.

encouraged to consider the sensitivity of the matter if they do so and should consult with their Advisors on any potential implications of doing so.

Additional information regarding confidentiality and privacy can be found in [Appendix G](#).

18. Emergency Removal/Interim Actions/Leaves

Connecticut College can act to remove a Student Respondent accused of Title IX Sexual Harassment from its Education Program or Activities, partially or entirely, on an emergency basis when an individualized safety and risk analysis has determined that an immediate threat to the physical health or safety of any Student or other individual justifies removal. This risk analysis is performed by the Administrator and may be done in conjunction with the Care Team using its standard objective violence risk assessment procedures. Students accused of other forms of Discrimination, Harassment, or Other Prohibited Conduct (not Sex-based) are subject to interim suspension, which can be imposed for safety reasons.

Employees are subject to existing procedures for interim actions and leaves.

19. Federal Timely Warning Obligations

Connecticut College must issue timely warnings for reported incidents that pose a serious or continuing threat of bodily harm or danger to members of the Connecticut College community.

Connecticut College will ensure that a Complainant's name and other identifying information is not disclosed, while still providing enough information for community members to make safety decisions considering the potential danger.

20. Amnesty

The Connecticut College community encourages the reporting of misconduct and crimes by Complainants and witnesses. Sometimes, Complainants or witnesses are hesitant to report alleged misconduct to Connecticut College officials or participate in resolution processes because they fear that they themselves may be in violation of certain policies, such as underage drinking or use of illicit drugs at the time of the incident. Respondents may hesitate to be forthcoming during the process for the same reasons.

It is in the best interests of the Connecticut College community that Complainants choose to report misconduct to Connecticut College officials, that witnesses come forward to share what they know, and that all Parties be forthcoming during the process.

To encourage reporting and participation in the process, Connecticut College offers Parties and witnesses amnesty from minor policy violations, such as underage alcohol consumption or the use of illicit drugs, related to the incident. Granting amnesty is a discretionary decision made by

Connecticut College, and amnesty does not apply to more serious allegations, such as physical abuse of another or illicit drug distribution.

A. Students

Connecticut College also maintains an amnesty policy for Students in addition to witnesses who offer help to others in need.

B. Employees

Sometimes, Employees are hesitant to report Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, or Other Prohibited Conduct they have experienced for fear that they may get in trouble themselves. Connecticut College may, at its discretion, offer Employee Complainants amnesty from such policy violations (typically more minor policy violations) related to the incident.

21. Preservation of Evidence

The preservation of evidence is critical to potential criminal prosecution and to obtaining restraining/protective orders and is particularly time sensitive. Connecticut College will inform the Complainant of the importance of preserving evidence by taking actions such as the following:

Sexual Assault

- Seek forensic medical assistance at the nearest hospital, ideally within 120 hours of the incident (sooner is better)
- Avoid urinating, showering, bathing, washing hands or face, or douching, if possible, but evidence may still be collected even if you do
- If oral sexual contact took place, refrain from smoking, eating, drinking, or brushing teeth
- If clothes are changed, place soiled clothes in a paper bag (plastic destroys evidence) or secure evidence container (if provided by law enforcement)
- Seeking medical treatment can be essential even if it is not for the purpose of collecting forensic evidence

Stalking/Dating Violence/Domestic Violence/Sexual Harassment

- Evidence in the form of text and voice messages will be lost in most cases if a party or witness changes their phone number
 - Make a secondary recording of any voice messages and/or save the audio files to a cloud server
 - Take screenshots and/or a video recording of any text messages or other electronic messages (e.g., Instagram, Snapchat, Facebook)

- Save copies of e-mail and social media correspondence, including notifications related to account access alerts
- Take time-stamped photographs of any physical evidence including notes, gifts, etc. in place when possible
- Save copies of any messages, to include those showing any request for no further contact
- Obtain copies of call logs showing the specific phone number being used rather than a saved contact name if possible
- If changing devices, make sure to transfer any files needed to the new device

During the initial meeting between the Complainant and the Administrator, the importance of taking these actions will be discussed, if timely.

22. Federal Statistical Reporting Obligations

Certain institutional officials (those deemed to be Campus Security Authorities) have a duty to report the following for federal statistical reporting purposes (Clery Act):

- 1) All “primary crimes,” which include criminal homicide, Sexual Assault, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, motor vehicle theft, and arson
- 2) Hate crimes, which include any bias-motivated primary crime as well as any bias-motivated larceny or theft, simple assault, intimidation, or destruction/damage/vandalism of property
- 3) Violence Against Women Act (VAWA-based crimes), which include Sexual Assault, Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, and Stalking²¹
- 4) Arrests and referrals for disciplinary action for weapons law violations, liquor law violations, and drug law violations

All personally identifiable information is kept private, but statistical information regarding the type of incident and its general location (on or off campus or in the surrounding area, but no addresses given) must be shared with the Campus Safety for publication in the Annual Security Report and daily campus crime log. Campus Security Authorities include student affairs, student conduct staff, campus safety, local police, coaches, athletic directors, residence life staff, student activities staff, human resources staff, advisors to student organizations, and any other official with significant responsibility for Student and campus activities.

23. Independence and Conflict of Interest

The Administrator manages the Nondiscrimination Team and acts with independence and authority, free from bias and conflicts of interest. The Administrator oversees all Resolutions under the Policy and associated procedures. The members of the Resolution Process Pool are

²¹ 42 U.S.C. sections 13701 through 14040.

vetted and trained to ensure they are not biased for or against any party in a specific Formal Complaint, or for or against Complainants and/or Respondents, generally.

To raise any concern involving bias, conflict of interest, misconduct, or Discrimination by the Administrator, contact the Connecticut College president or dean of the College. Concerns of bias, misconduct, Discrimination, or a potential conflict of interest by any other Nondiscrimination Team member should be raised with the Administrator.

24. Policy Revision

The Policy and associated procedures succeed all previous policies addressing Discrimination, Harassment, sexual misconduct, and/or Retaliation, for incidents occurring on or after August 14, 2020. The Administrator regularly reviews and updates the Policy and procedures. Incidents occurring before August 14, 2020, will be addressed using the policy that was in place at the time of the incident, but the procedures used will be those in place at the time of the Formal Complaint. Connecticut College reserves the right to make changes to this document as necessary, and those changes are effective once they are posted online.

If laws or regulations change or court decisions alter policy or procedural requirements in a way that impacts this document, this document will be construed to comply with the most recent laws, regulations, or court holdings.

This document does not create legally enforceable protections beyond the protections of the background federal and state laws that frame such policies and codes, generally.

A change required by a court or government order could occur during an active investigation or resolution process. If that happens, Connecticut College reserves the right to adjust the Policy and Procedures accordingly and notify the Parties of any necessary mid-process changes. This could include entirely replacing the Policy or associated procedures, which could necessitate restarting an investigation or resolution process. Connecticut College will make every effort to minimize the impact on the Parties as much as possible if changes are unavoidable.

The Policy is effective November 3, 2025.

BASED ON THE ATIXA 2020 ONE POLICY, TWO PROCEDURES (1P2P) MODEL.
©2025 ATIXA. USED WITH PERMISSION.

APPENDIX A: PROCEDURAL DEFINITIONS²²

The following definitions apply to the Harassment and Nondiscrimination Policies and Procedures:

- **Advisor.** Any person chosen by a party, or appointed by the institution, who may accompany the party to all meetings related to a resolution process, advise the party on that process, and conduct questioning for the party at the hearing, if any.
- **Administrator.** The person with primary responsibility for overseeing and enforcing the Harassment and Nondiscrimination Policy and Procedures. As used throughout the Policy and procedures, the “Administrator” also includes their designee(s).
- **Appeal Decision-maker.** The person or panel who accepts or rejects a submitted appeal request, determines whether any of the appeal grounds are met, and directs responsive action(s) accordingly.
- **Complainant.** An individual who has allegedly been subjected to conduct that could constitute Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, or Other Prohibited Conduct under the Policy.
- **Confidential Resource.** An Employee who is not a [Mandated Reporter](#) of Notice of Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct under the Policy (irrespective of Clery Act Campus Security Authority status).
- **Day.** All references to days in the Policy refer to business days when Connecticut College is in normal operation unless specifically noted as calendar days.
- **Decision-maker.** The person or panel who reviews evidence, determines relevance, and makes the Final Determination of whether the alleged conduct has violated the Policy and/or assigns sanctions.
- **Directly Related Evidence.** Evidence connected to the allegations, but which is neither inculpatory (tending to prove a violation) nor exculpatory (tending to disprove a violation) and which cannot be relied upon by the Decision-maker. Compare to [Relevant Evidence](#) below.
- **Education Program or Activity.** Locations, events, or circumstances in which Connecticut College exercises substantial control over both the Respondent and the context in which the Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct occurs. It also includes any building owned or controlled by a student organization that Connecticut College officially recognizes.

²² Defined terms are considered “terms of art” and are capitalized throughout this document.

- **Employee.** A person, including [Faculty](#), employed by Connecticut College, either full- or part-time, including Student-Employees when acting within the scope of their employment
- **Faculty.** Any member of the Connecticut College community who is responsible for academic activities, teaching, research, or the academic evaluation of Students.
- **Final Determination.** A conclusion by the standard of evidence that the alleged conduct did or did not violate the Policy.
- **Finding.** A conclusion by the standard of evidence that the conduct did or did not occur as alleged (as in a “finding of fact”).
- **Formal Complaint.** A document submitted or signed by a Complainant or signed by the Administrator alleging a Respondent engaged in Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Prohibited Conduct under the Policy and requesting that Connecticut College investigate the allegation(s).
- **Formal Grievance Process.** “Process A,” Connecticut College’s method of formal resolution to address [Title IX Sexual Harassment](#) allegations, which complies with the requirements of the Title IX regulations (34 C.F.R. § 106.45) and the Violence Against Women Act amendments to the Clery Act (34 C.F.R. § 668). See [Appendix D](#) for information on the Administrative Resolution Process (“Process B”) Connecticut College may use to resolve complaints in certain situations.
- **Informal Resolution.** A Resolution the Parties agree to, and the Administrator approves, which occurs prior to a Final Determination.
- **Investigation Report.** The Investigator’s written summary of all Relevant Evidence gathered during the investigation. Versions include the Draft Investigation Report and the Final Investigation Report.
- **Investigator.** The person(s) Connecticut College authorizes to gather facts about an alleged violation of the Policy, assess relevance and credibility, synthesize the evidence, and compile this information into an Investigation Report of Relevant Evidence and a file of Directly Related Evidence.
- **Mandated Reporter.** A Connecticut College Employee who is obligated by Policy to share knowledge, Notice, and/or reports of Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct with the Administrator.²³
- **Nondiscrimination Team.** The Administrator, any case managers or deputy coordinators, and any member of the [Resolution Process Pool](#).

²³ Not to be confused with those mandated by state law to report child abuse, elder abuse, and/or abuse of individuals with disabilities to appropriate officials, though these responsibilities may overlap with those who have mandated reporting responsibility under the Policy.

- **Notice.** When an Employee, Student, or third party informs the Administrator or other Official with Authority of the alleged occurrence of Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct.
- **Official with Authority (OWA).** A Connecticut College Employee who has responsibility to implement responsive measures for Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct on Connecticut College's behalf. (See [Connecticut College OWAs](#))
- **Parties.** The collective term for the Complainant(s) and Respondent(s) involved in a complaint.
- **Pattern.** Allegations or evidence that one person has engaged in two or more substantially similar actions toward one or more targets, wherein the proof of one action is likely to increase the proof/likelihood of the other(s).
- **Process A.** The Formal Grievance Process detailed in the procedures [below](#) and defined [above](#).
- **Process B.** The Administrative Resolution Process detailed in [Appendix D](#) that only applies when Process A does not, as determined by the Administrator.
- **Protected Characteristic.** Any characteristic for which a person is afforded protection against Discrimination and Harassment by law or Connecticut College policy.
- **Reasonable Person.** A reasonable person under similar circumstances and with similar identities to the Complainant.
- **Relevant Evidence.** Evidence that tends to prove or disprove any element of an offense or any issue materials to resolving a complaint.
- **Remedies.** Typically, post-Resolution actions directed to the Complainant and/or the community as mechanisms to address safety, prevent recurrence of behaviors prohibited by the Policy, and restore access to the Connecticut College's Education Program or Activity.
- **Resolution.** The result of an Informal Resolution, Formal Grievance Process, or Administrative Resolution Process.
- **Respondent.** A person who is alleged to have engaged in conduct that could constitute Discrimination based on a Protected Characteristic, Harassment, or Retaliation for engaging in a protected activity under the Policy, or Other Prohibited Conduct.
- **Sanction.** A consequence imposed on a Respondent who is found to have violated the Policy.
- **Student.** Any individual who has accepted an offer of admission, or who is registered for or enrolled in for-credit or non-credit-bearing coursework, and who maintains an

ongoing educational relationship with Connecticut College, even if not currently taking classes.

- ***Title IX Coordinator.*** At least one official designated by Connecticut College to ensure compliance with Title IX and Connecticut College's Title IX program. References to the Title IX Coordinator throughout the Policy may also encompass a Coordinator's designee for specific tasks.
- ***Title IX Team.*** The Title IX Coordinator, any case managers or deputy coordinators, and any member of the [Resolution Process Pool](#).
- ***Title VI Coordinator.*** At least one official designated by Connecticut College to ensure compliance with Title VI and Connecticut College's Title VI program. References to the Title VI Coordinator throughout the Policy may also encompass a Coordinator's designee for specific tasks.

APPENDIX B: PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS AND RELATED DEFINITIONS

This appendix includes definitions of the characteristics protected under this Policy, as well as associated terms. This Policy does not attempt to prohibit the conduct listed below, in and of itself. Being Antisemitic, Islamophobic, Racist, Ableist, etc., may be protected expression. Connecticut College prohibits only conduct that rises to the level of Discrimination, Discriminatory Harassment, Retaliation, and the Other Prohibited Conduct as defined in this Policy.

- **Age.** A person's actual or perceived age.
- **Antisemitism.** Conduct that excludes, harms, persecutes, expresses hatred or animus toward, or is unequal, based on an individual's or group's actual or perceived Jewish ancestry or ethnicity/ethnic characteristics, national origin, or is based on support for Jews, Israel (conceived as a Jewish state/collectivity), or Zionism (see the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition and examples of Antisemitism in Appendix O, that inform this definition, which the federal government uses as the Title VI standard to assess Policy violations when Antisemitism rises to the level of Discrimination, Harassment, or Retaliation).
- **Caste.** A person's actual or perceived descent-based or hereditary status, typically involving social stratification or classes.
- **Citizenship Status.** An individual's legal relationship with the United States acquired through birth, naturalization, or another legal process.
- **Color.** The actual or perceived pigmentation, complexion, or shade/tone of a person's skin (i.e., lightness, darkness, or other color characteristic of a person's skin), regardless of that person's Race or Ethnicity.
- **Colorism.** Conduct that excludes, harms, persecutes, or expresses hatred or animus toward individuals or groups, or that is unequal, based on actual or perceived Color.
- **Creed.** A set of moral or ethical beliefs and the practices and observances associated with those beliefs. Although Creed includes traditional religious beliefs, it also incorporates belief systems that may not be expressed by an organized religious group.
- **Disability.** A physical, intellectual, or psychiatric impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities, having a history or record of such an impairment, or being perceived by others as having such an impairment.
- **Domestic Violence Victim Status.** The actual or perceived experience of being a domestic violence victim; attending, participating in, preparing for or requesting leave to attend to a criminal or civil proceeding related to domestic violence for which the individual or family or household member is a victim; or requesting an academic or employment adjustment because of domestic violence.

- ***Ethnicity/Ethnic Characteristics.*** Belonging to a population, group, or subgroup of people based on shared culture/cultural background (e.g., language, food, music, dress, values, and beliefs), history, ancestry, or descent, or having the characteristics commonly attributed to such Ethnicity.
- ***Gender Expression.*** Outward expression of gender, often through clothing, behavior, mannerisms, speech patterns, and activities.
- ***Gender Identity.*** An individual's internal sense of their gender.
- ***Islamophobia.*** Conduct that excludes, harms, persecutes, expresses hatred or animus toward, or is unequal, based on an individual's or group's actual or perceived Muslim or Islamic ancestry or Ethnicity/Ethnic Characteristics, National Origin, or is based on support for Muslims or Muslim-majority countries or nations.
- ***Marital Status.*** The state of being married or unmarried.
- ***National Origin.*** A person's actual or perceived place of origin, ancestry, Ethnicity/Ethnic Characteristic, language or accent, cultural heritage, surname or name associated with a nation, or association with people of a particular nation or origin, regardless of a person's citizenship or immigration status. For the purposes of this definition, National Origin also encompasses actual or perceived Shared Ancestry, Ethnic Characteristics, or citizenship or residency in a country with a dominant religion or distinct religious identity.
- ***Pregnancy or Related Conditions.*** Pregnancy, childbirth, false pregnancy, termination of pregnancy, or lactation, medical conditions related thereto, or recovery therefrom.
- ***Race.*** A person's actual or perceived (by others) membership in a group characterized by shared physical, cultural, or ethnic traits. This includes traditional racial groups (e.g., White, Black, African American, Asian, American Indian, or Alaska Native) as well as those that may be defined by ancestry, ethnic identity, or perceived racial affiliation.
- ***Racism.*** Conduct that excludes, harms, persecutes, or expresses hatred or animus toward individuals or groups, or that is unequal, based on actual or perceived Race.
- ***Sex.*** Birth Sex (under Title IX). Outside Title IX, Sex can include gender identity, gender expression, sexual orientation, sex characteristics, and sex stereotypes.
- ***Shared Ancestry.*** Actual or perceived ethnic, cultural, or ancestral background (e.g., lineage, familial origins, genetic inheritance, language, customs, societal norms, and heritage). Discrimination on the ground of Shared Ancestry can include Discrimination based on actual or perceived religion (e.g., Jewish, Christian, Muslim, Sikh, Hindu, Buddhist, Shinto, indigenous/religious group), when that Discrimination is interwoven with Shared Ancestry or Ethnicity/Ethnic Characteristics.

CONNECTICUT COLLEGE PROCEDURES FOR ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF THE HARASSMENT AND NONDISCRIMINATION POLICY FOR ALL FACULTY, STUDENTS, EMPLOYEES, AND THIRD PARTIES

1. Overview

Connecticut College will act on any Notice/Formal Complaint of violation of the Harassment and Nondiscrimination Policy (“the Policy”) that the Administrator or any other Official with Authority receives. Connecticut College uses two sets of procedures, known as Process A and Process B. Process A is compliant with the federal Title IX regulations. It involves an investigation and live hearing, including cross-examination. It also includes an Informal Resolution option.

Process A is applicable to all Formal Complaints of Sexual Harassment, Sexual Assault, Dating Violence, Domestic Violence, and Stalking that occur within Connecticut College’s Education Program or Activity.

Process B applies to: (1) Formal Complaints of alleged Sexual Harassment, Sexual Assault, Dating Violence, Domestic Violence, or Stalking that fall outside of Connecticut College’s Education Program or Activity; and (2) all other complaints of Discrimination, Harassment, and Retaliation on the basis of all Protected Characteristics. The choice between applying Process A or B is solely at the Administrator’s discretion. Occasionally, a Formal Complaint will include conduct that falls within both Processes A and B. When that occurs, Process A will typically be used to address all allegations.

Unionized/other categorized Employees are subject to the terms of their agreements/employees’ rights to the extent those agreements do not conflict with federal or state compliance obligations.

2. Notice/Complaint

Upon receipt of Notice or a Formal Complaint of an alleged Policy violation, the Administrator will initiate a prompt initial assessment to determine Connecticut College’s next steps. The Administrator will contact the Complainant to offer supportive measures, provide information regarding resolution options, and determine how they wish to proceed.

3. Collateral Misconduct

Collateral misconduct includes potential violations of other Connecticut College policies that occur in conjunction with alleged violations of the Policy, or that arise through the course of the investigation, for which it makes sense to provide one resolution for all allegations. Thus, the collateral allegations may be charged along with potential violations of the Policy, to be

resolved jointly under these Procedures.²⁴ In such circumstances, the Administrator may consult with Connecticut College officials who typically oversee such conduct (e.g., human resources, student conduct, academic affairs) to solicit their input as needed on what charges should be filed, but the exercise of collateral charges under these procedures is within the Administrator's discretion. All other allegations of misconduct unrelated to incidents covered by the Policy will typically be addressed separately through procedures described in the applicable handbooks or similar documents.

²⁴ The same procedural rights apply to prohibited conduct under the Policy as well as to any collateral misconduct allegations that are charged.

APPENDIX C: FORMAL GRIEVANCE PROCESS FOR ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF THE HARASSMENT AND NONDISCRIMINATION POLICY (KNOWN AS PROCESS “A”)

1. Initial Assessment

The Administrator conducts an initial assessment, typically within five (5) business days of receiving Notice or a Formal Complaint.²⁵ The initial assessment typically includes:

- Assessing whether the reported conduct may reasonably constitute a Policy violation
- Determining whether the Connecticut College has jurisdiction over the reported conduct
- Offering and coordinating supportive measures for the Parties
- Notifying the Complainant, or the person who reported the allegation(s), of the available resolution options
- Determining whether the Complainant wishes to file a Formal Complaint
- Notifying the Respondent of the available resolution options if a Formal Complaint is made

Helping a Complainant Understand Resolution Options

If the Complainant indicates they wish to file a Formal Complaint, the Administrator will work with the Complainant to determine which resolution option they prefer. The Administrator will seek to abide by the Complaint’s wishes but may have to take another approach depending upon their assessment of the situation.

If the Formal Grievance Process is chosen, the Administrator will initiate an investigation.

If any party indicates that they want to pursue an Informal Resolution option, the Administrator will refer the matter to the appropriate individuals(s) if the Administrator determines Informal Resolution is available and the other Parties consent to participate. Informal Resolution cannot be used to resolve a Formal Complaint of Title IX Sexual Harassment involving an Employee Respondent and a Student Complainant.²⁶

If the Complainant does not want any action taken, the Administrator will consider that request. Typically, allegations of Student-on-Student and Employee-on-Employee misconduct will not prompt the Formal Grievance Process unless deemed necessary by the Administrator, though the Complainant can elect to pursue the formal process in the future. The Administrator may need to refer allegations of Employee-on-Student misconduct to the Formal Grievance Process regardless of the Complainant’s wishes, depending on the nature of the allegations.

²⁵ If circumstances require, the President or Administrator will designate another person to oversee the resolution process should an allegation be made about the Administrator or the Administrator be otherwise unavailable, unable to fulfill their duties, or have a conflict of interest.

²⁶ 34 C.F.R. § 106.45.

Administrator Authority to Initiate a Complaint

The Administrator has ultimate discretion as to whether a Formal Complaint is made and may consult with appropriate Connecticut College Employees, and/or conduct a violence risk assessment to aid their determination whether to sign a Formal Complaint on behalf of the Complainant. The Administrator may consider elements such as patterns of behavior, predation, threats, violence, use of weapons, or involvement of minors in determining whether to sign a Formal Complaint.

If a Complainant is not participating or attempting to participate in Connecticut College's Education Program or Activity at the time of making a Formal Complaint, they can request that the Administrator sign a Formal Complaint. When the Administrator signs a Formal Complaint, they do not become the Complainant. The Complainant is the person who experienced the alleged misconduct. If the Administrator declines to sign a Formal Complaint, alternative processes may be available and can be explored with the Administrator.

2. Dismissal (Mandatory and Discretionary)²⁷

Connecticut College **must** dismiss a Formal Complaint or any allegations therein if, at any time during the investigation or hearing, it is determined that:

- 1) The conduct alleged in the Formal Complaint would not constitute Title IX Sexual Harassment as defined above, even if proven
- 2) The Sex-based conduct did not occur in Connecticut College's Education Program or Activity (including buildings or property controlled by recognized student organizations) and/or Connecticut College does not have control of the Respondent
- 3) The Sex-based conduct did not occur against a person in the United States
- 4) The Complainant alleging Sex-based conduct is not participating in or attempting to participate in Connecticut College's Education Program or Activity at the time of filing the Formal Complaint, and based on the available information, the Administrator has determined that they do not need to sign a Formal Complaint on Connecticut College's behalf²⁸

Connecticut College **may** dismiss a Formal Complaint or any allegations therein if, at any time during the investigation or hearing:

- 1) A Complainant notifies the Administrator in writing that the Complainant would like to withdraw the Formal Complaint or any allegations therein
- 2) The Respondent is no longer enrolled in or employed by Connecticut College

²⁷ These dismissal requirements are mandated by 34 CFR § 106.45.

²⁸ A Complainant who is not participating or attempting to participate in Connecticut College's Education Program or Activity is still entitled to supportive measures, but the Formal Grievance Process is not applicable unless the Administrator signs the Formal Complaint in the event the Complainant cannot/will not do so.

- 3) Specific circumstances prevent Connecticut College from gathering evidence sufficient to reach a determination as to the Formal Complaint or allegations therein

A Complainant who decides to withdraw a Formal Complaint may later request to reinstate it or refile it.

Upon any dismissal, Connecticut College will promptly and simultaneously send the Parties written notice of the dismissal and the rationale for doing so.

This dismissal decision is appealable by any party.

When the Administrator has signed a Formal Complaint and later determines that the basis for signing is no longer compelling, the Administrator may rescind the Formal Complaint and notify the Parties accordingly. This is not a dismissal, and there is no opportunity to appeal because the Complainant may still file a Formal Complaint if they wish to, in most circumstances.

3. Appeal of Dismissal

The Parties may appeal a decision to dismiss their Formal Complaint. All dismissal appeal requests must be filed within three (3) business days of the notification of the dismissal decision.

A dismissal may be appealed on the following grounds:

- 1) A procedural irregularity affected the outcome of the matter
- 2) New evidence that was not reasonably available at the time the determination regarding dismissal was made, that could affect the outcome of the matter
- 3) The Administrator, Investigator(s), or Decision-maker(s) had a conflict of interest or bias for or against Complainants or Respondents generally or the specific Complainant or Respondent that affected the outcome of the matter
- 4) The dismissal was erroneously granted or denied

The appeal should specify at least one of the grounds above and provide any reasons or supporting evidence for why the ground is met. Upon receipt of a written dismissal appeal request from one or more Parties, the Administrator will share the request and supporting documentation with all other Parties and provide three (3) business days for other Parties and the Administrator to respond to the request. At the conclusion of the response period, the Administrator will forward the request, as well as any response provided by the other Parties and/or the Administrator to the Dismissal Appeal Decision-maker for consideration.

If the appeal request does not provide information that meets the grounds in the Policy, the Dismissal Appeal Decision-maker will deny the request, and the Parties, their Advisors, and the Administrator will be notified in writing of the denial and the rationale.

If any of the asserted grounds in the appeal satisfy the grounds described in the Policy, then the Dismissal Appeal Decision-maker will notify all Parties and their Advisors, and the Administrator of their decision and rationale in writing. The effect will be to reinstate the Complaint.

In most circumstances, appeals are confined to a review of the written documentation or record of the original determination and pertinent documentation regarding the specific appeal grounds. The Dismissal Appeal Decision-maker has seven (7) business days to review and decide on the appeal, though extensions can be granted at the Administrator's discretion, and the Parties will be notified of any extension.

Appeal decisions are deferential to the original determination, making changes only if there is a compelling justification to do so.

The Dismissal Appeal Decision-maker may consult with the Administrator and/or legal counsel on questions of procedure or rationale for clarification, if needed. The Administrator will maintain documentation of all such consultation.

4. Emergency Removal/Interim Suspension of a Student

Connecticut College may emergency remove a Student accused of Title IX Sexual Harassment upon receipt of a Formal Complaint or at any time during the Formal Grievance Process. Prior to an emergency removal, Connecticut College will conduct an individualized risk assessment and may remove the Student if that assessment determines that an immediate threat to the physical health or safety of any Student or other individual justifies removal. Students accused of other forms of Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, or Other Prohibited Conduct (not Sex-based) are subject to interim suspension, which can be imposed for safety reasons.

When an emergency removal or interim suspension is imposed, wholly or partially, the affected Student will be notified of the action, which will include a written rationale, and the option to challenge the emergency removal or interim suspension within two (2) business days of the notification. Upon receipt of a challenge, the Administrator will meet with the Student (and their Advisor, if desired) as soon as reasonably possible thereafter to allow them to show cause why the removal/action should not be implemented or should be modified.

This meeting is not a hearing on the merits of the allegation(s), but rather is an administrative process intended to determine solely whether the emergency removal or interim suspension is appropriate, should be modified, or should be lifted. When this meeting is not requested within two (2) business days, objections to the emergency removal or interim suspension will be deemed waived. A Student can later request a meeting to show why they are no longer an immediate threat because conditions related to the threat have changed. A Complainant and their Advisor may be permitted to participate in this meeting if the Administrator determines it is equitable for them to do so.

The Respondent may provide information, including expert reports, witness statements, communications, or other documentation for consideration prior to or during the meeting. When applicable, a Complainant may provide information to the Administrator for review.

An emergency removal or interim suspension may be affirmed, modified, or lifted as a result of a requested review or as new information becomes available. The Administrator will communicate the final decision in writing, typically within three (3) business days of the review meeting.

5. Placing Employees on Leave

When the Respondent is an Employee, or a Student-Employee, accused of misconduct in the course of their employment, existing employee provisions for interim action are typically applicable instead of the above emergency removal process.

6. Counter-Complaints

Connecticut College is obligated to ensure that the Formal Grievance Process is not abused for retaliatory purposes. Although the Connecticut College permits the filing of counter-complaints, the Administrator will use an initial assessment, described above, to assess whether the allegations in the counter-complaint are made in good faith. When counter-complaints are not made in good faith, they will not be permitted. They will be considered potentially retaliatory and may constitute a Policy violation.

Counter-complaints determined to have been reported in good faith will be processed using the Formal Grievance Process. At the Administrator's discretion, investigation of such claims may take place after resolution of the underlying initial Formal Complaint.

7. Advisors in the Formal Grievance Process

The Parties may each have an Advisor of their choice present with them for all meetings, interviews, and hearings within the Formal Grievance Process, if they so choose.

Choosing an Advisor who is also a witness in the process creates potential for bias and conflict of interest. A party who chooses an Advisor who is also a witness can anticipate that issues of potential bias will be explored by the Decision-maker.

A. Who Can Serve as an Advisor

The Parties may each have an Advisor (friend, mentor, family member, attorney, or any other individual a party chooses) present with them for all meetings, interviews, and hearings within the resolution process, including intake. The Parties may select whomever they wish to serve as their Advisor as long as the Advisor is eligible and

available.²⁹ Parties have the right to choose not to have an Advisor in the initial stages of the Formal Grievance Process, prior to a hearing.

The Administrator will offer to assign a trained Advisor to any party. If the Parties choose an Advisor from Connecticut College's Resolution Process Pool, Connecticut College will have trained the Advisor and familiarized them with Connecticut College's Formal Grievance Process.

Connecticut College cannot guarantee equal advisory rights, meaning that if one party selects an Advisor who is an attorney, but the other party does not, or cannot afford an attorney, Connecticut College is not obligated to provide an attorney to advise that party. However, all institutionally appointed Advisors will be provided with similar training.

If the Parties choose an Advisor from outside the Resolution Process Pool, the Advisor may not have been trained by Connecticut College and may not be familiar with Connecticut College policies and procedures.

A party may elect to change Advisors during the process and is not obligated to use the same Advisor throughout. Parties are expected to provide the Administrator with timely notification if they change Advisors. If a party changes Advisors, consent to share information with the previous Advisor is assumed to be terminated, and a release for the new Advisor must be submitted.

Connecticut College may permit Parties to have more than one Advisor, or an Advisor and a support person, upon special request to the Administrator. The decision to grant this request is at the Administrator's sole discretion and will be granted equitably to all Parties.

If a party requests that all communication be made through their attorney Advisor instead of to the party, Connecticut College will agree to copy both the party and their Advisor on all communications.

The following employees have volunteered to serve as and been trained as Advisors.

- Cheryl Banker (caban@conncoll.edu)
- Margaret Bounds (mbounds@conncoll.edu)
- Shirley Parson (sapar@conncoll.edu)
- Todd Radley (tradley@conncoll.edu)
- Kaylyn Smith (ksmith20@conncoll.edu)

²⁹ "Available" means the party cannot insist on an Advisor who simply doesn't have inclination, time, or availability. Also, the Advisor cannot have institutionally conflicting roles, such as being a Title IX administrator who has an active role in the matter, or a supervisor who must monitor and implement sanctions.

B. Advisor's Role in the Formal Grievance Process

Advisors should help the Parties to prepare for each meeting or hearing and are expected to advise ethically, with integrity, and in good faith. Advisors may not provide testimony or speak on behalf of their advisee unless given specific permission to do so.

The Parties are expected to respond to questions on their own behalf throughout the Formal Grievance Process. Although the Advisor generally may not speak on behalf of their advisee, except for conducting cross-examination during a hearing, the Advisor may consult with their advisee, either privately as needed, or by conferring or passing notes during any Resolution Process meeting or interview. For longer or more involved discussions, the Parties and their Advisors should ask for breaks to allow for private consultation.

The Title IX Regulations require a form of indirect questioning during the hearing, which must be conducted by the Parties' Advisors. The Parties are not permitted to directly question each other or any witnesses. If a party does not have an Advisor for a hearing, Connecticut College will appoint a trained Advisor for the limited purpose of conducting any questioning of the Parties and witnesses.

C. Records Shared with Advisors

Advisors are entitled to the same opportunity as their advisee to receive copies of the Draft and Final Investigation Reports, as well as the Directly Related Evidence file. Parties will be asked to sign releases for Connecticut College to share materials with an Advisor.

Advisors are expected to maintain the confidentiality of the records Connecticut College shares with them. Connecticut College may restrict the role of any Advisor who does not respect the sensitive nature of the process or who fails to abide by Connecticut College's confidentiality expectations.

D. Advisor Expectations

Connecticut College generally expects an Advisor to adjust their schedule to allow them to attend Connecticut College meetings/interviews/hearings when planned, but Connecticut College may change scheduled meetings/interviews/hearings to accommodate an Advisor's inability to attend, if doing so does not cause an unreasonable delay.

Connecticut College may also make reasonable provisions to allow an Advisor who cannot be present in person to attend a meeting/interview/hearing by telephone, video conferencing, or other similar technologies as may be convenient and available.

All Advisors are subject to the same Connecticut College policies and procedures, whether they are attorneys or not, and whether they are selected by a party or appointed by Connecticut College. Advisors are expected to advise without disrupting proceedings.

E. Advisor Policy Violations

Any Advisor who oversteps their role as defined by the Policy, who shares information or evidence in a manner inconsistent with the Policy, or who refuses to comply with Connecticut College's established rules of decorum will be warned. If the Advisor continues to disrupt or otherwise fails to respect the limits of the Advisor role, the meeting/interview/hearing may be ended, or other appropriate measures implemented, including Connecticut College requiring the party to use a different Advisor or providing a different Connecticut College-appointed Advisor. Subsequently, the Administrator will determine how to address the Advisor's non-compliance and future role.

8. Resolution Options Overview

The Formal Grievance Process is Connecticut College's primary resolution approach unless all Parties and Connecticut College agree to an Informal Resolution. The process considers the Parties' preferences but is ultimately determined at the Administrator's discretion.

Resolution proceedings are private. All persons present at any time during a resolution process are expected to maintain the privacy of the proceedings in accordance with the Policy.

There is an expectation of privacy around what Investigators share with Parties during interviews and for any materials the institution shares with the Parties during the resolution process. The Parties have discretion to share their own knowledge and evidence with others if they choose, except for information the Parties agree not to disclose as part of an Informal Resolution. Connecticut College encourages Parties to discuss any sharing of information with their Advisors before doing so.

A. Informal Resolution

To initiate Informal Resolution, a Complainant or Respondent may make such a request to the Administrator at any time prior to a Final Determination, or the Administrator may offer the option to the Parties. Connecticut College will obtain voluntary, written confirmation that all Parties wish to resolve the matter through Informal Resolution before proceeding and will not pressure the Parties to participate in Informal Resolution. To engage in Informal Resolution, a Complaint must first submit a Formal Complaint.

Three approaches to Informal Resolution are detailed in this section.

- 1) **Supportive Resolution.** When the Administrator can resolve the matter informally by providing supportive measures (only) designed to remedy the situation. Supportive Resolution involves only the party who opts for it.
- 2) **Accepted Responsibility.** When the Respondent accepts responsibility for violating Policy and accepts the recommended sanction(s), and the Complainant(s) and Administrator are agreeable to the resolution terms.
- 3) **Alternative Resolution.** When the Parties agree to resolve the matter through an alternative resolution mechanism (which could include, but is not limited to, mediation, shuttle negotiation, restorative practices, facilitated dialogue, etc.), as described below.

It is not necessary to pursue Informal Resolution first in order to pursue a Formal Grievance Process. Any party participating in Informal Resolution can withdraw from the Informal Resolution process at any time and initiate or resume the Formal Grievance Process.

The Parties may agree, as a condition of engaging in Informal Resolution, on what statements made or evidence shared during the Informal Resolution process will not be considered in the Formal Grievance Process should Informal Resolution not be successful.

If an investigation is already underway, the Administrator has discretion to determine if the investigation will be paused, if it will be limited, or if it will continue during the Informal Resolution process.

Prior to engaging in Informal Resolution, Connecticut College will provide the Parties with written notice of the reported misconduct and any sanctions (only in the case of Accepted Responsibility) or measures that may result from participating in such a process, including information regarding any records that Connecticut College will maintain and under which circumstances they may be released.

Informal Resolution Approaches

(1) Supportive Resolution

Most commonly offered once a Formal Complaint is filed (whereas supportive measures, as described in [Section 9](#) of the Policy, are offered in response to Notice). The Administrator will meet with the Complainant to determine reasonable supports that are designed to restore or preserve the Complainant's access to Connecticut College's Education Program and Activity. Such supports can be modified as the Complainant's needs evolve over time or circumstances change. If the Respondent

has received the NOIA, the Administrator may also provide reasonable supports for the Respondent as deemed appropriate. This option is available when the Complainant does not want to engage the other resolution options, and the Administrator does not believe there is a need to sign a Formal Complaint. At the discretion of the Administrator, this resolution option can result in an agreement between the Complainant and Connecticut College that does not require assent from any other party, as long as it does not unduly burden any other party or function punitively with respect to them.

(2) Accepted Responsibility

The Respondent may accept responsibility for any or all of the alleged Policy violations at any point during the Formal Grievance Process. If the Respondent indicates an intent to accept responsibility for **all** alleged Policy violations, the ongoing process will be paused, and the Administrator will determine whether Informal Resolution is an option.

If Informal Resolution is available, the Administrator will determine whether all Parties and Connecticut College are able to agree on responsibility, restrictions, sanctions, restorative measures, and/or remedies. If so, the Administrator implements the accepted Finding that the Respondent is in violation of Connecticut College Policy, implements agreed-upon restrictions and remedies, and determines any other appropriate responses in coordination with other appropriate administrator(s), as necessary.

This resolution is not subject to appeal once all Parties indicate their written agreement to all resolution terms. When the Parties cannot agree on all terms of resolution, the Formal Grievance Process will either begin or resume.

When a resolution is reached, the appropriate sanction(s) or responsive actions are promptly implemented to effectively stop the Discrimination or Harassment, prevent its recurrence, and remedy the effects of the discriminatory conduct, both on the Complainant and the community.

(3) Alternative Resolution

The institution offers a variety of alternative resolution mechanisms to best meet the specific needs of the Parties and the nature of the allegations. Alternative resolution may involve agreement to pursue individual or community remedies, including targeted or broad-based educational programming or training; supported direct conversation or interaction between the Parties; indirect action by the Administrator or other appropriate Connecticut College officials; and other forms of resolution that can be tailored to the needs of the Parties. Some alternative resolution mechanisms will result in an agreed-upon outcome, while others are

resolved through dialogue. All Parties must consent to the use of an alternative resolution approach, and the Parties may, but are not required to, have direct or indirect contact during an alternative resolution process.

The Administrator has the authority to determine whether alternative resolution is available or successful, to facilitate a resolution that is acceptable to all Parties, and/or to accept the Parties' proposed resolution, usually through their Advisors, often including terms of confidentiality, release, and non-disparagement.

Parties do not have the authority to stipulate restrictions or obligations for individuals or groups that are not involved in the alternative resolution process. The Administrator will determine whether additional individual or community remedies are necessary to meet the institution's compliance obligations in addition to the alternative resolution.

The Administrator maintains records of any resolution that is reached and will provide notification to the Parties of what information is maintained. Failure to abide by the resolution agreement may result in appropriate responsive/disciplinary actions (e.g., dissolution of the agreement and resumption of the Formal Grievance Process, referral to the conduct process for failure to comply, application of the enforcement terms of the agreement). Where the failure to abide by the Informal Resolution agreement terms results in a failure to remedy a Policy violation, the Administrator must consider whether to dissolve the agreement and reinstate the Formal Grievance Process to remedy the impact as required by law. The results of Formal Complaints resolved by alternative resolution are not appealable.

If an Informal Resolution option is not available or selected, Connecticut College will initiate or continue an investigation and subsequent Formal Grievance Process to determine whether the Policy has been violated.

B. Formal Grievance Process (begins at [Section 10](#) below)

9. Resolution Process Pool

Connecticut College relies on a pool of individuals ("the Pool") to carry out the resolution options.

A. Pool Member Roles³⁰

Pool members are trained annually, and can serve in any of the following roles at the Administrator's discretion:

³⁰ External, trained third-party neutral professionals may also serve in Pool roles.

- Appropriate intake of and initial guidance pertaining to Formal Complaints
- Perform or assist with initial assessment
- Advisor to Parties
- Informal Resolution Facilitator
- Investigator
- Hearing Facilitator
- Decision-maker
- Appeal of Dismissal Decision-maker
- Appeal Decision-maker

B. Pool Member Appointment

The Administrator, in consultation with senior administrators as necessary, appoints the Pool, which acts with independence and impartiality. Although members of the Pool are typically trained in a variety of skill sets and can rotate amongst the different roles listed above in different Formal Complaints, Connecticut College can also designate permanent roles for individuals in the Pool.

10. Notice of Investigation and Allegations (NOIA)

The Administrator will provide the Parties written Notice of the Investigation and Allegations (the “NOIA”) upon commencement of the Formal Grievance Process. Amendments and updates to the NOIA may be made as the investigation progresses and more information becomes available. For climate/culture investigations that do not have an identifiable Respondent, the NOIA will be sent to the department/office/program head for the area/program being investigated.

The NOIA will include:

- A meaningful summary of all allegations
- The names of the involved Parties (if known)
- The precise misconduct being alleged
- The date and location of the alleged incident(s) (if known)
- The specific policies/offenses implicated
- A description of, link to, or copy of the applicable procedures
- A statement that Connecticut College presumes the Respondent is not responsible for the reported misconduct unless and until the evidence supports a Final Determination that the Policy has been violated
- The name(s) of the Investigator(s), along with a process to notify the Administrator of any conflict of interest that the Investigator(s) may have in advance of the interview process

- A statement that determinations of responsibility are made at the conclusion of the process and that the Parties will be given an opportunity during the review and comment period to inspect and review all Relevant and Directly Related Evidence obtained
- A statement of the potential sanctions/responsive actions that could result
- A statement about Connecticut College's policy on Retaliation
- Information about process confidentiality
- Information on the need for each party to have an Advisor of their choosing and suggestions for ways to identify an Advisor
- A statement informing the Parties that Connecticut College's Policy prohibits knowingly making false statements, including knowingly submitting false information during the Formal Grievance Process
- Information about how a party may request disability accommodations or other support assistance during the Formal Grievance Process
- A link to Connecticut College's VAWA Brochure
- An instruction to preserve any evidence that is directly related to the allegations

Notification will be made in writing and may be delivered by one or more of the following methods: in person or emailed to the Parties' Connecticut College-issued email or other approved accounts. Once emailed, and/or received in-person, notice is presumptively delivered.

11. Resolution Timeline

Connecticut College will make a good faith effort to complete the Formal Grievance Process within sixty to ninety (60-90) business days, including any appeals, which the Administrator can extend as necessary for appropriate cause. The Parties will receive regular updates on the progress of the Formal Grievance Process, as well as notification and a rationale for any extensions or delays, and an estimate of how much additional time will be needed to complete the process.

Investigations are completed expeditiously, normally within sixty (60) business days, though some investigations may take longer, depending on the nature, extent, and complexity of the allegations, witness availability, law enforcement involvement, and other factors.

Connecticut College may undertake a short delay in its investigation (several days to a few weeks) if circumstances require. Such circumstances include, but are not limited to, a request from law enforcement to temporarily delay the investigation, the need for language assistance, the absence of Parties and/or witnesses, and/or health conditions. Connecticut College will promptly resume its Formal Grievance Process as soon as feasible. During such a delay, Connecticut College will implement and maintain supportive measures for the Parties as deemed appropriate.

Connecticut College action(s) or processes are not typically altered or precluded on the grounds that civil or criminal charges involving the underlying incident(s) have been filed or that criminal charges have been dismissed or reduced.

Connecticut College will make a good faith effort to complete the Formal Grievance Process as promptly as circumstances permit and will regularly communicate with the Parties to update them on the progress and timing of the process.

12. Ensuring Impartiality

No individual materially involved in the administration of the Formal Grievance Process, including the Administrator, Investigator(s), and Decision-maker(s), may have or demonstrate a conflict of interest or bias for a party generally, or for a specific Complainant or Respondent.

The Administrator will vet the assigned Investigator(s), Decision-maker(s), and Appeal Decision-maker(s) for impartiality by ensuring there are no actual or apparent conflicts of interest or disqualifying biases. The Parties may raise a concern regarding bias or conflict of interest at any time during the Formal Grievance Process, and the Administrator will determine whether the concern is reasonable and supportable. If so, another Pool member, or other trained individual, will be assigned, and the impact of the bias or conflict, if any, will be remedied. If the source of the conflict of interest or bias is the Administrator, concerns should be raised with the College president or dean of the College.

The Formal Grievance Process involves an objective evaluation of all Relevant Evidence obtained, including evidence that supports that the Respondent violated the Policy and evidence that supports that the Respondent did not violate the Policy. Credibility determinations may not be based solely on an individual's status or participation as a Complainant, Respondent, or witness. All Parties have a full and fair opportunity, through the investigation process, to suggest witnesses and questions, to provide evidence, and to receive a written Investigation Report that accurately summarizes this evidence.

13. Witness Role and Participation in the Investigation

Witnesses who are Connecticut College Employees are strongly encouraged to cooperate with and participate in Connecticut College's investigation and Formal Grievance Process. Student witnesses and witnesses from outside Connecticut College community are encouraged to cooperate with Connecticut College investigations and to share what they know about a Formal Complaint.

Interviews may be conducted in person, via online video platforms (e.g., Zoom, Microsoft Teams, FaceTime, WebEx), or, in limited circumstances, by telephone. Connecticut College will take appropriate steps to ensure the security/privacy of remote interviews.

Parties and witnesses may also provide written statements in lieu of interviews or choose to respond to written questions, if deemed appropriate by the Investigator(s), though not preferred.

14. Interview Recording

It is standard practice for Investigators to create a record of all interviews pertaining to the Formal Grievance Process, by recording, transcript, or written summary. The Parties may review copies of their own interviews upon request. No unauthorized audio or video recording of any kind is permitted during investigation meetings.

All interviews are recorded, and all involved persons should be made aware of the audio and/or video recording. The recording and/or transcript of those meetings will be provided to the Parties for their review, after which the Parties may suggest additional questions to be asked of another party or witness or additional witnesses. Those subsequent meetings or interviews are also recorded and/or transcribed.

15. Evidentiary Considerations

The Investigator(s) and the Decision-maker(s) will only consider Relevant or Directly Related Evidence.

Neither the investigation nor the hearing will consider:

- 1) Questions or evidence about the Complainant's sexual predisposition³¹
- 2) Questions or evidence about the Complainant's prior sexual behavior, unless such questions and evidence about the Complainant's prior sexual behavior are offered to prove that someone other than the Respondent committed the alleged conduct, or if the questions or evidence concern specific incidents of the Complainant's prior sexual behavior with respect to the Respondent and are offered to prove consent³²
- 3) Questions or evidence about a party or witness's records that are made or maintained by a physician, psychologist, or psychiatrist unless the party or witness provides voluntary, written consent for the records to be considered

Within the boundaries stated above, the investigation and the hearing can consider character evidence, if offered, but that evidence is unlikely to be relevant unless it is fact evidence or relates to a pattern of conduct.

³¹ Connecticut College defines "predisposition" in alignment with its commonly understood and dictionary definition of being inclined toward a thing, action, or person. Predisposition does not encompass an aversion, or being disinclined to a thing, action, or person.

³² Connecticut College defines "prior sexual behavior" to include only sexual actions taken by or involving a Complainant prior to the reported incident(s), not the absence of such actions.

Previous disciplinary action of any kind involving the Respondent may not be considered unless there is an allegation of a pattern of misconduct. Such information may also be considered in determining an appropriate sanction upon a determination of responsibility. Barring a pattern allegation, this information is only considered at the sanction stage of the process and is not shared until then.

16. Investigation

All investigations are thorough, reliable, impartial, prompt, and fair. They involve interviewing all available, relevant Parties and witnesses, obtaining Relevant Evidence, and identifying sources of expert information, as necessary.

After an interview, Parties and witnesses will be asked to verify the accuracy of the recording, transcript, or summary of their interview. They may submit changes, edits, or clarifications. If the Parties or witnesses do not respond within the time period designated for verification, objections to the accuracy of the recording, transcript, or summary will be deemed to have been waived, and no changes will be permitted.

Connecticut College may consolidate Complaints against more than one Respondent, or by more than one Complainant against one or more Respondent(s), when the allegations arise from the same facts or circumstances or implicate a pattern, collusion, and/or other shared or similar actions.

Investigations involve the following:

- Determining the names of and contacting all involved Parties and potential witnesses to participate in an investigation interview
- Identifying issues and developing a strategic investigation plan, including a witness list, evidence list, intended investigation timeframe, and order of interviews for the Parties and witnesses
- Providing written notification of the date, time, and location of all investigation meetings, including the expected participants and purpose
- Conducting any necessary follow-up interviews with Parties or witnesses
- Providing the Parties and witnesses an opportunity to verify the accuracy of either a summary or transcript of their interview(s)
- Soliciting the names of suggested witnesses and questions each party wishes to have asked of another party or witness
- Writing a Draft Investigation Report that gathers, assesses, and synthesizes the evidence, accurately summarizes the investigation and party and witness interviews, and provides all Relevant Evidence
- Compiling a Directly Related Evidence File
- Providing the Parties and their respective Advisors an electronic or hard copy of the Draft Investigation Report as well as an opportunity to inspect and review all of the

evidence obtained as part of the investigation that is directly related to the reported misconduct, including evidence upon which the Connecticut College does not intend to rely in reaching a determination, for a ten (10) business day review and comment period so that each party may meaningfully respond to the evidence. The Parties may elect to waive the full ten (10) days.

- Incorporating any new, Relevant Evidence and information obtained through the Parties' review of the Draft Investigation Report and any follow-up meetings into the Final Investigation Report
- Responding in writing (typically within the Final Investigation Report) to the relevant elements of the Parties' responses to the Draft Investigation Report
- Sharing the Final Investigation Report with the Administrator and/or legal counsel for their review and feedback
- Providing the Administrator with the Final Investigation Report and Directly Related Evidence File

17. Referral for Hearing

Provided that the Formal Complaint is not resolved through Informal Resolution, once the Final Investigation Report is shared with the Parties, the Administrator will refer the matter for a hearing.

The hearing cannot be held less than ten (10) business days from the conclusion of the investigation – when the Final Investigation Report is transmitted to the Parties and the Decision-maker – unless all Parties and the Decision-maker agree to an expedited timeline.

The Administrator will select an appropriate Decision-maker from the Pool and provide a copy of the Final Investigation Report and the file of Directly Related Evidence.

18. Hearing Decision-maker

The Decision-maker will not have had any previous involvement with the Formal Complaint. The Administrator may elect to have an alternate from the Pool sit in throughout the hearing process if a substitute is needed for any reason.

Those who have served as Investigators will be witnesses in the hearing and therefore may not serve as Decision-makers. Those who are serving as Advisors for any party may not serve as Decision-makers in that matter.

The Title IX Coordinator may not serve as a Decision-maker in the matter but may serve as an administrative facilitator of the hearing if their previous role(s) in the matter do not create a conflict of interest. Otherwise, a designee may fulfill the facilitator role. The hearing will convene at a time and venue determined by the Administrator.

19. Live Hearing Requirements

The following provisions apply to a live hearing:

- **Hearing Venue Options and Recordings.** The live hearing may occur in person or via video technology. The Decision-maker and Parties must be able to simultaneously see and hear a party or witness while that person is speaking. Both options are considered fair and equitable. Alternative arrangements may also be made at the Administrator's discretion.
 - The Parties may make a request to the Administrator that the hearing be held in person or via video technology, but they must do so at least three (3) business days prior to the hearing. The Administrator retains discretion to determine whether the hearing will occur in person or via video technology.
 - All hearings will be recorded, and Parties may request a copy of the recording from the Administrator following the live hearing.
 - No unauthorized recordings are permitted.
- **Scheduling.** Hearings for possible violations that occur near or after the end of an academic term (assuming the Respondent is still subject to the Policy) and are unable to be resolved prior to the end of term will typically be held immediately after the end of the term, including during the summer, as needed, to meet Connecticut College's resolution timeline and ensure a prompt resolution. Employees, including Parties and witnesses, who do not have 12-month contracts are still expected to participate in Formal Grievance Processes that occur during months between contracts.
- **Hearing Participants.** Persons who may be present for a hearing include the Decision-maker, hearing facilitator, Investigator(s), the Parties and their Advisors, anyone providing authorized accommodations, interpretation, and/or assistive services, and anyone else deemed necessary by the Decision-maker. Witnesses are present only during their portion of the testimony.
- **Advisors.** The Parties may have the assistance of an Advisor of their choice at the hearing or can request that Connecticut College appoint a trained Advisor for them. Appointed Advisors are not attorneys. If a party wishes to have an attorney as their Advisor, they must locate and pay for that attorney themselves.
 - During the pre-hearing meeting and live hearing, Parties may only be accompanied by their Advisor. No other persons (e.g., additional support persons, advisors, friends, family) may accompany, attend, or listen in on the hearing unless explicitly authorized by the Administrator, with each party being provided the same opportunity.
 - Parties and Advisors are permitted to have their phones and a laptop or tablet, but these should only be used during the hearing in a matter consistent with the Policy.
 - During the hearing, all questions that a party wishes to ask must be posed by the Advisor, not the Parties.
 - If the party does not have an Advisor, the Administrator will provide the party with an Advisor for the purpose of Advisor-conducted questioning.

- **Impact Statements.** Up to 48 hours post-hearing, each party may submit an impact and/or mitigation statement to the Administrator that the Decision-maker will review during any sanction determination.
 - Upon receipt of an impact and/or mitigation statement, the Administrator will review the impact/mitigation statement to determine whether any immediate needs exist.
 - The Administrator will only provide the impact statements to the Decision-maker if the Decision-maker determines that the Policy has been violated. When the Administrator shares the impact statements with the Decision-maker, they will also be shared with the Parties.
- **Disability Accommodations and Other Assistance.** Parties should contact the Administrator at least three (3) business days prior to the hearing to arrange any disability accommodations, language assistance, and/or interpretation services that may be needed at the hearing, if possible.
- **Conflicts of Interest or Bias.** The Decision-maker must not have a bias for or against Complainants or Respondents generally or the individual Complainant or Respondent involved in the Formal Complaint.
 - The Decision-maker must recuse themselves if such bias or conflict of interest exists.
 - If the Decision-maker believes there is possible conflict of interest or bias, they will consult with the Administrator about possible recusal or removal.
 - The Parties may raise challenges that the Decision-maker is biased or has a conflict of interest. The Parties must raise challenges with the Administrator within two (2) business days of receiving the hearing notice.
 - The Administrator will only remove and replace a Decision-maker in situations of demonstrated bias or conflicts of interest. Perceptions of bias or conflict are not sufficient to cause removal.
 - If a Decision-maker recuses themselves as the result of a conflict of interest or bias, or is removed, the Administrator will promptly appoint a new Decision-maker who does not have a conflict of interest or bias and notify the Parties accordingly.
- **Evidence Provided to Decision-maker and Parties.**
 - The Decision-maker will be provided electronic copies of the Final Investigation Report and all relevant but not impermissible evidence, including the names of all Parties, witnesses, and Advisors, at least ten (10) business days in advance of the hearing.
 - The Parties will be provided with electronic copies of all the materials provided to the Decision-maker as part of the hearing notice, unless those materials have already been provided.³³

³³ Hard-copy materials may be provided upon request to the Administrator. The Final Investigation Report and Relevant Evidence may be shared using electronic means that preclude downloading, forwarding, or otherwise sharing.

20. Hearing Notice

The Administrator will send the Parties a notice of hearing letter no less than ten (10) business days prior to the hearing. Once emailed, and/or received in-person, notice is presumptively delivered. The notice includes:

- A description of the alleged violation(s), a list of all policies allegedly violated, a description of the applicable hearing procedures, and a statement of the potential sanctions/responsive actions that could result
- The time, date, and location of the hearing
- A description of any technology that will be used to facilitate the hearing
- Relevant information regarding hearing logistics, pre-hearing meetings, the Final Investigation Report, the Parties and witnesses participating in the hearing, the identity of the Decision-maker, details related to questioning, the role of Advisors, impact/mitigation statements, and how to request disability accommodations or other assistance

21. Witness Participation

Witnesses are encouraged to participate in, and make themselves reasonably available for, the hearing. They may participate in-person or via video technology that allows the Decision-maker and the Parties to see and hear the witness while that person is speaking. Witnesses are not permitted to be accompanied by an Advisor without the Administrator's express permission. At the discretion of the Decision-maker, a witness may participate by phone if no other reasonable alternative is available.

The Administrator will notify all witnesses of their requested participation in the hearing at least five (5) business days prior to the hearing. Witnesses will be present for the hearing only during their testimony.

If any party or witness does not appear at the scheduled hearing, the hearing may be held in their absence. For compelling reasons, the Administrator may reschedule the hearing.

Any witness scheduled to participate in the hearing must have been first interviewed by the Investigator(s), unless:

- All Parties and the Decision-maker assent to the new witness's participation in the hearing without remanding the Formal Complaint back to the Investigator,
- The Decision-maker deems the evidence presented by the new witness to be relevant and not information already established in the record, and
- The witness's late involvement was not the result of bad faith by the witness, the Parties, or others.

If the above criteria are not met, but the witness's evidence is deemed relevant and not duplicative, the Decision-maker may, at their discretion, engage in any of the following actions:

- Delay the hearing
- Provide the Parties with at least five (5) business days to review the relevant portions of the new witness's statements, if such statements are submitted
- Remand the Formal Complaint back to the Investigator for further investigation or verification
- Allow the Parties to review and comment on the testimony of the new witness³⁴

If the evidence is deemed not relevant, the Decision-maker may proceed with the hearing absent the new witness's participation.

22. Pre-Hearing Meetings

The Decision-maker will offer to convene pre-hearing meeting(s) with the Parties and their Advisors to familiarize them with the hearing process and invite them to submit the questions or topics they wish to ask or discuss at the hearing. This allows the Decision-maker to consider their relevance ahead of time to avoid any improper evidentiary introduction in the hearing or to provide recommendations for more appropriate phrasing.

However, this advance review opportunity does not preclude the Advisors from asking a question for the first time at the hearing or from asking for a reconsideration on a Decision-maker's pre-hearing decision based on any new information or testimony offered at the hearing. The Decision-maker will consider arguments that evidence identified as relevant in the Final Investigation Report is, in fact, not relevant. Similarly, evidence identified by the Investigator(s) as directly related but not relevant may be argued to be relevant. The Decision-maker will document and share their rationale for any evidence or question exclusion or inclusion, if any, at a pre-hearing meeting with each party.

The Decision-maker will work with the Parties to finalize a witness list for the hearing, and the Administrator will notify any witnesses of the hearing's logistics. The Decision-maker, **only** with the agreement of all Parties, may decide in advance of the hearing that certain witnesses do not need to be present if their testimony can be adequately summarized by the Investigator(s) in the Final Investigation Report or during the hearing, and their presence is not essential to assess their credibility.

Pre-hearing meeting(s) will not be recorded. The pre-hearing meetings will typically be conducted as separate meetings with each party/Advisor, and can be done remotely, or as a written communication exchange. The Decision-maker will work with the Parties to establish

³⁴ 34 C.F.R. § 668.46(k)(3)(B)(3) requires "timely and equal access to the accuser, the accused, and appropriate officials to any information that will be used during informal and formal disciplinary meetings and hearings."

the format and timing of the meetings and will circulate a summary of any rulings made to ensure all Parties and Advisors are aware.

23. Hearing Procedures

A. Evidentiary Considerations

The Parties must provide all evidence to the Investigator(s) prior to completion of the Final Investigation Report. Evidence offered after that time will be evaluated by the Decision-maker for relevance. If deemed relevant, the Parties and Decision-maker must agree to admit it into the record. If the evidence is deemed not relevant, the Decision-maker may proceed with the hearing absent the new evidence.

The new Relevant Evidence will be admitted to the record if:

- All Parties and the Decision-maker assent to the new evidence being included in the hearing without remanding the Formal Complaint back to the Investigator,
- The evidence is not duplicative of evidence already in the record, and
- The new evidence was either not reasonably available prior to the conclusion of the Final Investigation Report, or the failure to provide it in a timely manner was not the result of bad faith by the Parties, witnesses, or others.

If the above criteria are not met, but the evidence is deemed materially relevant and not duplicative, the Decision-maker may, at their discretion, engage in any of the following actions:

- Delay the hearing
- Provide the Parties with at least five (5) business days to review the Relevant Evidence
- Remand the Formal Complaint back to the Investigator for further investigation or analysis
- Allow the Parties time to review and comment on the new evidence

If the evidence is deemed not relevant, the Decision-maker may proceed with the hearing without allowing the new evidence.

B. Collateral Misconduct

The Decision-maker has the authority to hear and make determinations on all allegations of Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and Other Prohibited Conduct under the Policy and may also hear and make determinations on any additional alleged collateral misconduct that occurred in concert with the Discrimination, Harassment,

Retaliation, or Other Prohibited Conduct, even though those collateral allegations may not specifically fall within the Policy.

C. Joint Hearings

In Complaints involving more than one Respondent and/or involving more than one Complainant accusing the same person of substantially similar conduct, the default procedure will be to hear the allegations jointly.

However, the Administrator may permit the investigation and/or hearings pertinent to each Respondent or Formal Complaint to be conducted separately if there is a compelling reason to do so. In joint hearings, separate determinations of responsibility will be made for each Respondent and/or for each Formal Complaint with respect to each alleged policy violation.

D. Introductions and Hearing Procedure Explanation

The Decision-maker will:

- Explain the hearing procedures
- Introduce the participants
- Answer any procedural questions prior to and as they arise throughout the hearing

E. Investigator Presentation of Final Investigation Report

The Investigator(s) will present a summary of the Final Investigation Report, including a review of the facts that are contested and those that are not. The Investigator may be questioned first by the Decision-maker and then by the Parties through their Advisors. The Investigator may attend the duration of the hearing or be excused after their testimony at the Decision-maker's discretion.

F. Testimony and Questioning

The Parties and witnesses may provide relevant information in turn, beginning with the Complainant's opening statement, then the Respondent's, and then questioning in the order determined by the Decision-maker. The Decision-maker will facilitate questioning of the Parties and witnesses first by the Decision-maker and then by the Parties through their Advisors.

All questions are subject to the Decision-maker's relevance determination. The Advisor will pose the proposed question orally, electronically, or in writing (orally is the default, but other means of submission may be permitted). The proceeding will pause to allow the Decision-maker to consider the question (and state it if it has not already been

stated aloud), and the Decision-maker will determine whether the question will be permitted, disallowed, or rephrased. The Decision-maker will explain any decision to exclude a question as not relevant, or to reframe it for relevance.

The Decision-maker will limit or disallow questions they deem not appropriate on the basis that they are irrelevant, unduly repetitious (and thus irrelevant), seek or pertain to impermissible evidence, or are abusive and has the final say on all questions and relevance determinations. The Decision-maker may consult with legal counsel on any admissibility questions.

If the Parties raise an issue of bias or conflict of interest of an Investigator or Decision-maker at the hearing, the Decision-maker may elect to address those issues, consult with legal counsel, refer them to the Administrator, and/or preserve them for appeal. If bias is not an issue at the hearing, the Decision-maker should not permit irrelevant questions that probe for Investigator bias.

G. Refusal to Submit to Questioning and Inferences

Any party or witness may choose not to offer evidence and/or answer questions at the hearing, either because they do not attend the hearing, or because they attend but refuse to participate in some or all questioning. The Decision-maker can only rely on the available Relevant Evidence in making a Final Determination. The Decision-maker may not draw any inference **solely** from a party's or witness's absence from the hearing or refusal to answer any or all questions. Typically, after brief opening statements, the order of questioning will be questions from the Decision-maker, questions from the party's own Advisor, then questions from the other Parties' Advisors. The same order will be used for questioning of witnesses, who do not typically make opening statements. The Parties then make brief closing statements, and then the hearing transitions into closed session for deliberation.

An Advisor may not be called as a witness at a hearing to testify to what their advisee has told them during their role as an Advisor unless the party being advised consents to that information being shared.

H. Hearing Recordings

Connecticut College records hearings (but not deliberations) for purposes of review in the event of an appeal. No unauthorized audio or video recording of any kind is permitted during the hearing.

The Decision-maker, the Parties, their Advisors, Appeal Decision-makers, and other appropriate Connecticut College officials will be permitted to review the recording or review a transcript of the recording upon request to the Administrator. No unauthorized

disclosure, including sharing, copying, or distribution of the recording or transcript, is permitted.

24. Deliberation and Determination

After closing statements from the Parties, the Decision-maker will deliberate in closed session to determine whether the Respondent is responsible for the alleged Policy violation(s) based on the standard of evidence. If a panel is used, a simple majority vote is required to determine the Finding. Deliberations are not recorded.

When there is a Finding of responsibility on one or more of the allegations, the Decision-maker may then consider the previously submitted party impact and/or mitigation statement(s) in determining appropriate sanction(s). The Administrator will ensure that each of the Parties has an opportunity to review any submitted impact and/or mitigation statement(s) once they are submitted.

The Decision-maker will also review any pertinent conduct history provided by the student conduct office and will determine the appropriate sanction(s) in consultation with other appropriate administrators, if required.

The Decision-maker will then prepare a written statement detailing all Findings and Final Determinations, the rationale(s) explaining the decision(s), the evidence used in support of the determination(s), the evidence not relied upon in the determination(s), any credibility assessments, and any sanction(s) and rationales explaining the sanction(s) and will deliver the statement to the Administrator.

This statement must be submitted to the Administrator within five (5) business days of the end of deliberations unless the Administrator grants an extension. If an extension is granted, the Administrator will notify the Parties.

25. Notice of Outcome

The Administrator will provide the Parties with a written outcome notification within ten (10) business days of the conclusion of the Formal Grievance Process. The outcome notification will specify the Finding for each alleged Policy violation, any sanction(s) that may result, which Connecticut College is permitted to share pursuant to federal or state law, and a detailed rationale, written by the Decision-maker, supporting the Findings to the extent Connecticut College is permitted to share under federal or state law.

The notification will also detail the Parties' equal rights to appeal, the grounds for appeal, the steps to request an appeal, and when the determination is considered final if no party appeals.

The Administrator will provide the Parties with the outcome notification simultaneously, or without significant time delay between notifications. The written outcome notification may be

delivered by one or more of the following methods: in person or emailed to the Parties' Connecticut College-issued or other approved email account. Once emailed, and/or received in person, the outcome notification is presumptively delivered.

26. Sanctions

Factors the Decision-maker may consider when determining sanctions and responsive actions include, but are not limited to:

- The nature, severity of, and circumstances surrounding the violation(s)
- The Respondent's disciplinary history
- The need for sanctions/responsive actions to bring an end to the Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct
- The need for sanctions/responsive actions to prevent the future recurrence of Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct
- The need to remedy the effects of Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct on the Complainant and the community
- The impact on the Parties
- The Respondent's acknowledgement of responsibility or contrition
- Any other information deemed relevant by the Decision-maker

The sanctions will be implemented as soon as is feasible once a Determination is final, either upon the outcome of any appeal or the expiration of the window to appeal, without an appeal being requested.

The sanctions described in the Procedures are not exclusive of, and may be in addition to, other actions taken, or sanctions imposed, by external authorities.

A. Student Sanctions

The following are the common sanctions that may be imposed upon Students singly or in combination:

- *Disciplinary Warning*: A letter indicating that a student has been found responsible for a violation. This sanction indicates that if the student is again found in violation, a sanction will likely be imposed that reflects a repeated violation.
- *Disciplinary Probation Level 1*: A status indicating that a student has been found responsible for a violation that reflects a more serious violation. This sanction will be in effect for a specified period of time and indicates that if the student is again found in violation during the period of this status, a sanction of Disciplinary Probation Level 2 or greater will likely be necessitated.

- *Disciplinary Probation Level 2*: Formal notice that a student's status at the College is in jeopardy as a result of one or more violations. This sanction will be in effect for a specified period of time and indicates that if the student is found in violation during the period of this status, the imposed sanction may include Loss of Housing, Suspension from the College, or Expulsion from the College. While on probation, a student will not be permitted to serve as a member of Student Government Association, an executive Board member of a student organization, or on any standing College committee. A student's ability to participate in other college programs may also be limited by this status as determined by those offices (i.e. Study Away programs, CELS internships). When placed on this status, the Office of the Dean of the College and the student's parent/guardian may be notified.
- *Loss of Housing*: Dismissal from College owned housing for a specified period of time. Financial reimbursement is made according to the refund schedule in the College Catalog. During this period, a student is not eligible for summer housing. After this period is concluded, the student may request the Dean of Students or their designee to re-evaluate the student's ability to return to the residence hall. Loss of housing may result in notification of the student's faculty and staff adviser, class dean, and parent/guardian.
- *Suspension from the College*: Suspension from the College is a temporary dismissal from the College for a specified period of time. After this period is concluded and any conditions of the suspension are satisfied, the student may resume studies following an interview with the Administrator or their designee. A suspended student may not engage in College activities, use any College facilities, or be on College property without express permission from the Dean of Students or their designee. Financial reimbursement is made according to the refund schedule in the College Catalog. When suspended from the College, the student's class dean, athletic coach, and parent/guardian may be notified. A suspended student does not have the opportunity to earn academic credit towards a Connecticut College degree unless granted permission by the Dean of Students.
- *Expulsion from the College*: Permanent dismissal from the College without the right to return. An expelled student no longer has the privileges of matriculated students and may not engage in College activities, use any College facilities, or be on College property. Financial reimbursement is made according to the refund schedule listed in the College Catalog. When expelled from the College, the student's parent/guardian may be notified as permitted by FERPA.
- *Other Actions*: In addition to, or in place of, the above sanctions, Connecticut College may assign any other sanctions as deemed appropriate.

B. Student Organization Sanctions

The following are the common sanctions that may be imposed upon student groups organizations singly or in combination:

- *Warning:* A formal statement that the conduct was unacceptable and a warning that further violation of any Connecticut College policy, procedure, or directive will result in more severe sanctions/responsive actions
- *Probation:* An official sanction for violation of Connecticut College policy, providing for more severe disciplinary sanctions if the group or organization is found in violation of any Connecticut College policy, procedure, or directive within a specified period of time. Terms of the probation will be articulated and may include denial of specified social and event privileges, denial of Connecticut College funds, ineligibility for honors and awards, restrictions on new member recruitment, no-contact orders, and/or other measures deemed appropriate.
- *Suspension:* Termination of student group or organization recognition and/or Connecticut College support for a defined period of time not to exceed two (2) years and/or until specific criteria are met. During the suspension period, a student group or organization may not conduct any formal or informal business or participate in Connecticut College-related activities, whether they occur on or off campus. Re-recognition is possible but not guaranteed and will only be considered after the end of the suspension period and based on meeting all re-recognition criteria and obtaining clearance from Connecticut College.
- *Expulsion:* Permanent termination of student group or organization recognition and revocation of the privilege to congregate and conduct business on campus as an organization for any reason
- *Loss of Privileges:* Restricted from accessing specific Connecticut College privileges for a specified period of time
- *Other Actions:* In addition to, or in place of, the above sanctions, Connecticut College may assign any other sanctions as deemed appropriate

C. Employee Sanctions/Responsive/Corrective Actions

Responsive actions for an Employee who has engaged in Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct include:

- *Verbal or Written Warning*
- *Performance Improvement Plan/Management Process*
- *Enhanced Supervision, Observation, or Review*
- *Required Counseling*
- *Required Training or Education*
- *Probation*
- *Denial of Pay Increase/Pay Grade*
- *Loss of Oversight or Supervisory Responsibility*
- *Demotion*
- *Transfer*
- *Shift or schedule adjustments*

- *Reassignment*
- *Delay of (or referral for delay of) Tenure Track Progress*
- *Assignment to a New Supervisor*
- *Restriction of Stipends, Research, and/or Professional Development Resources*
- *Suspension/Administrative Leave with Pay*
- *Suspension/Administrative Leave without Pay*
- *Termination*
- *Other Actions: In addition to, or in place of, the above sanctions/responsive actions, Connecticut College may assign any other responsive actions as deemed appropriate*

27. Withdrawal or Resignation Before Complaint Resolution

A. Students

Students with a complaint pending may not go on a leave or withdraw from the College before the resolution of a complaint unless they are granted permission by the Dean of Students. In such circumstances, the student's return to the College may be contingent upon the completion of resolution proceedings.

Should a Respondent decide not to participate in the Formal Grievance Process, the process proceeds absent their participation to a reasonable resolution. If a Student Respondent withdraws from Connecticut College, the Formal Grievance Process typically ends with a dismissal, as Connecticut College has lost primary disciplinary jurisdiction over the withdrawn Student. However, Connecticut College may continue the Formal Grievance Process when, at the discretion of the Administrator, doing so may be necessary to address safety and/or remedy any ongoing effects of the alleged Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct.

Regardless of whether the Formal Complaint is dismissed or pursued to completion of the Formal Grievance Process, Connecticut College will continue to address and remedy any systemic issues or concerns that may have contributed to the alleged violation(s), and any ongoing effects of the alleged Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct.

When a Student withdraws or takes a leave of absence while the process is pending, the Student may not return to Connecticut College in any capacity until the Formal Complaint is resolved and any sanctions imposed are satisfied. If the Student indicates they will not return, the Administrator has discretion to dismiss the Formal Complaint and bar the Student from returning. The Registrar, Office of Admissions, and HR may be notified accordingly.

If the Student Respondent takes a leave of absence for a specified period of time (e.g., one semester or term), the Formal Grievance Process may continue remotely. If found in violation, that Student is not permitted to return to Connecticut College unless and until all sanctions, if any, have been satisfied.

B. Employees

Should an Employee Respondent decide not to participate in the Formal Grievance Process, the process proceeds absent their participation to a reasonable resolution. If an Employee Respondent leaves their employment with Connecticut College with unresolved allegations pending, the Formal Grievance Process typically ends with dismissal, as Connecticut College has lost primary disciplinary jurisdiction over the former Employee. However, Connecticut College may continue the Formal Grievance Process when, at the discretion of the Administrator, doing so may be necessary to address safety and/or remedy any ongoing effects of the alleged Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct.

Regardless of whether the Formal Complaint is dismissed or pursued to completion of the Formal Grievance Process, Connecticut College will continue to address and remedy any systemic issues or concerns that may have contributed to the alleged violation(s), and any ongoing effects of the alleged Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct.

When an Employee resigns and the Formal Complaint is dismissed, the Employee may not return to Connecticut College in any capacity. The Registrar, Office of Admissions, and HR will be notified accordingly. A note will be placed in the Employee's file that they resigned with allegations pending and are not eligible for academic admission or rehire with Connecticut College. The records retained by the Administrator will reflect that status.

28. Appeal of the Final Determination

The Administrator will designate an Appeal Decision-maker from the Pool, or other trained internal or external individuals, to hear the appeal. No Appeal Decision-maker will have been previously involved in the Formal Grievance Process for the Formal Complaint. If a panel is used, the Administrator will designate a voting chair.

A. Appeal Grounds

Appeals are limited to the following grounds:

- 1) A procedural irregularity affected the outcome of the matter

- 2) There is new evidence that was not reasonably available at the time the determination regarding responsibility was made that could affect the outcome of the matter
- 3) The Administrator, Investigator(s), or Decision-maker(s) had a conflict of interest or bias for or against Complainants or Respondents generally or the specific Complainant or Respondent that affected the outcome of the matter

B. Appeal Request

Any party may submit a written appeal request to the Administrator within five (5) business days of the delivery of the notice of outcome.

The appeal request will be forwarded to the Appeal Decision-maker for consideration to determine if the request meets the appeal grounds (a Review for Standing). This is not a review of the merits of the appeal, but solely a determination as to whether the request could reasonably be construed to meet the grounds and is timely filed.

If the appeal request does not provide information that meets the grounds in the Procedures, the request will be denied by the Appeal Decision-maker, and the Parties and their Advisors will be simultaneously notified in writing of the denial and the rationale.

If any of the information in the appeal request meets the grounds in the Procedures, then the Appeal Decision-maker will notify all Parties and their Advisors, the Administrator, and, when appropriate, the Investigator(s) and/or the original Decision-maker.

All other Parties and their Advisors, the Administrator, and, when appropriate, the Investigator(s) and/or the Decision-maker will be provided a copy of the appeal request with the approved grounds and then be given five (5) business days to submit a response to the portion of the appeal that was approved and involves them. The Appeal Decision-maker will forward all responses, if any, to all Parties for review and comment.

The non-appealing party (if any) may also choose to appeal at this time. If so, that appeal request will be reviewed by the Appeal Decision-maker to determine if it meets the grounds in the Procedures and will either be approved or denied. If approved, it will be forwarded to the party who initially requested an appeal, the Administrator, and the Investigator(s) and/or original Decision-maker, as necessary, who will submit their responses, if any, within five (5) business days. Any such responses will be circulated for review and comment by all Parties. If denied, the Parties and their Advisors will be notified in writing.

No party may submit any new appeal request after this time period. The Appeal Decision-maker will collect any additional information needed and all documentation

regarding the approved appeal grounds, and the subsequent responses will be shared with the Appeal Decision-maker, who will promptly render a decision.

C. Appeal Determination Process

In most circumstances, appeals are confined to a review of the written documentation or record of the original determination and pertinent documentation regarding the specific appeal grounds. The Appeal Decision-maker will deliberate as soon as is practicable and discuss the merits of the appeal.

Appeal decisions are to be deferential to the original determination, making changes to the Finding/Final Determination only when there is clear error and to the sanction(s)/responsive action(s) only if there is a compelling justification to do so. All decisions are made by majority vote and apply the preponderance of the evidence standard of evidence.

An appeal is not an opportunity for the Appeal Decision-maker to substitute their judgment for that of the original Decision-maker merely because they disagree with the Finding and/or sanction(s).

The Appeal Decision-maker may consult with the Administrator and/or legal counsel on questions of procedure or rationale, for clarification, if needed. The Administrator will maintain documentation of all such consultation.

D. Appeal Outcome

An appeal may be granted or denied. Appeals that are granted should normally be remanded (or partially remanded) to the original Investigator(s) and/or Decision-maker with corrective instructions for reconsideration. In rare circumstances where an error cannot be cured by the original Investigator(s) and/or Decision-maker or the Administrator (as in cases of bias), the Appeal Decision-maker may order a new investigation and/or a new hearing with new Pool members serving in the Investigator and Decision-maker roles.

A notice of appeal outcome letter will be sent to all Parties simultaneously, or without significant time delay between notifications. The appeal outcome letter will specify the Finding on each appeal ground, any specific instructions for remand or reconsideration, all sanction(s) that may result which Connecticut College is permitted to share according to federal or state law, and the rationale supporting the essential Findings to the extent Connecticut College is permitted to share under federal or state law.

Written notification may be delivered by one or more of the following methods: in person or emailed to the Parties' Connecticut College-issued email or other approved

account. Once emailed, and/or received in person, the appeal outcome letter will be presumptively delivered.

Once an appeal is decided, the outcome is final and constitutes the Final Determination; further appeals are not permitted, even if a decision or sanction is changed on remand (except in the case of a new determination). When appeals result in no change to the finding or sanction, that decision is final. When an appeal results in a new Finding or sanction, that Finding or sanction can be appealed one final time on the grounds listed above and in accordance with the Procedures.

If a remand results in a new Finding or sanction that is different from the original Finding or sanction, that new Finding or sanction can be appealed, once, on any of the available appeal grounds.

E. Sanction Status During the Appeal

Any sanctions imposed as a result of the Final Determination are stayed (i.e., not implemented) during the appeal process, and supportive measures may be maintained or reinstated until the appeal determination is made.

If any of the sanctions are to be implemented immediately post-determination, but pre-appeal, then the emergency removal and interim suspension procedures (detailed above) for a show cause meeting on the justification for doing so must be permitted within two (2) business days of implementation.

29. Long-Term Remedies/Other Actions

Following the conclusion of the Formal Grievance Process, and in addition to any sanctions implemented or Informal Resolution terms, the Administrator may implement additional long-term remedies or actions with respect to the Parties and/or the Connecticut College community that are intended to stop the Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct, remedy the effects, and prevent recurrence.

These remedies/actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Referral to counseling and health services
- Referral to the Employee Assistance Program
- Course and registration adjustments, such as retroactive withdrawals
- Education to the individual and/or the community
- Permanent alteration of housing assignments
- Permanent alteration of work arrangements for Employees
- Provision of campus safety escorts
- Climate surveys

- Policy modification and/or training
- Provision of transportation assistance
- Implementation of long-term contact limitations between the Parties
- Implementation of adjustments to academic deadlines, course schedules, etc.

At the Administrator's discretion, the Parties may be provided certain long-term support or measures even if no Policy violation is found.

When no Policy violation is found, the Administrator will address any remedies Connecticut College owes the Respondent to ensure no effective denial of educational access.

Connecticut College will maintain the confidentiality of any long-term remedies/actions/measures, provided confidentiality does not impair Connecticut College's ability to provide these services.

30. Failure to Comply with Sanctions and/or Responsive Actions

All Respondents are expected to comply with the assigned sanctions, responsive actions, corrective actions, and/or Informal Resolution terms within the timeframe specified by the final Decision-maker, including the Appeal Decision-maker, or the Informal Resolution agreement.

Failure to abide by the sanction(s)/action(s) imposed by the date specified, whether by refusal, neglect, or any other reason, may result in additional sanction(s)/action(s), including suspension, expulsion, and/or termination from Connecticut College.

Supervisors are expected to enforce completion of sanctions/responsive actions for their Employees.

A suspension imposed for non-compliance with sanctions will only be lifted when compliance is achieved to the Administrator's satisfaction.

31. Recordkeeping

For a period of at least seven (7) years following the conclusion of the Formal Grievance Process, Connecticut College will maintain records of:

- 1) Each Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and Other Prohibited Conduct Formal Grievance Process, including any Final Determination regarding responsibility or appeal, and any audio or audiovisual recording or transcript required under federal regulation
- 2) Any disciplinary sanctions imposed on the Respondent
- 3) Any supportive measures provided to the Parties and any remedies provided to the Complainant or the community designed to restore or preserve equal access to Connecticut College's Education Program or Activity
- 4) Any appeal and the result therefrom

- 5) Any Informal Resolution and the result therefrom
- 6) All materials used to train the Administrator, Title IX Coordinator and designees, Investigators, Decision-makers, Appeal Decision-makers, Informal Resolution Facilitators, and any person who is responsible for implementing Connecticut College's resolution processes. Connecticut College will make these training materials publicly available on Connecticut College's website
- 7) Any other actions taken in response to a report or Formal Complaint including:
 - a. The basis for all conclusions that the response was not deliberately indifferent
 - b. Any measures designed to restore or preserve equal access to Connecticut College's Education Program or Activity

Connecticut College will also maintain any and all records in accordance with federal and state laws.

32. Disability Accommodations

Connecticut College is committed to providing reasonable accommodations and support to qualified Students, Employees, or others with disabilities to ensure equal access to Connecticut College's resolution processes.

Anyone needing such accommodations or support should contact the Administrator, who will work with Student Accessibility Services (SAS) as appropriate to review the request and, in consultation with the person requesting the accommodation, determine which accommodations are appropriate and necessary for full process participation.

33. Other Support

Connecticut College will address other reasonable requests for support for the Parties and witnesses, including:

- Language services/Interpreters
- Access and training regarding use of technology throughout a resolution process
- Other support as deemed reasonable and necessary to facilitate participation in a resolution process

APPENDIX D: ADMINISTRATIVE RESOLUTION FOR ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF THE HARASSMENT AND NONDISCRIMINATION POLICY (KNOWN AS PROCESS “B”)³⁵

1. Initial Assessment

The Administrator conducts an initial assessment, typically within five (5) business days of receiving Notice.³⁶ The initial assessment typically includes:

- Assessing whether the reported conduct may reasonably constitute a Policy violation
- Determining whether Connecticut College has jurisdiction over the reported conduct
- Offering and coordinating supportive measures for the Parties
- Notifying the Complainant, or the person who reported the allegation(s), of the available resolution options
- Determining whether the Complainant wishes to file a Formal Complaint
- Notifying the Respondent of the available resolution option if a Formal Complaint is made

Helping a Complainant to Understand Resolution Options

If the Complainant indicates they wish to initiate the Administrative Resolution Process, the Administrator will work with the Complainant to determine which resolution option they want to pursue. The Administrator will seek to abide by the wishes of the Complainant but may have to take an alternative approach depending on their analysis of the situation.

Upon receiving a complaint that falls under the Administrative Resolution Process, the Administrator will initiate an investigation.

If any party indicates that they want to pursue an Informal Resolution option, the Administrator will refer the matter to the appropriate individuals(s) if the Administrator determines Informal Resolution is available and the other Parties consent to participate.

If the Complainant does not want any action taken, the Administrator will consider that request, and in most circumstances no resolution process will be initiated (unless deemed necessary by the Administrator), though the Complainant can elect to initiate one later, if desired.

³⁵ Connecticut Colleges can substitute any alternative process instead of Process B, if desired. VAWA Section 304 requirements apply to Process B or any alternative process for reports that fall under VAWA. Title IX requirements outside of Section 106.30 (based on the original 1975 regulations, etc.) may also be resolved using Process B.

³⁶ If circumstances require, the President or Administrator will designate another person to oversee the resolution process should an allegation be made about the Administrator or the Administrator be otherwise unavailable, unable to fulfill their duties, or have a conflict of interest.

The Administrator may consider elements such as patterns of behavior, predation, threats, violence, use of weapons, or involvement of minors in determining whether to initiate a resolution process.

Administrator Authority to Initiate the Administrative Resolution Process

The Administrator has ultimate discretion as to whether to pursue an Administrative Resolution Process and may consult with appropriate Connecticut College Employees, and/or conduct a violence risk assessment to aid their determination whether to initiate a complaint.

When the Administrator initiates a complaint, they do not become the Complainant. The Complainant is the person who experienced the alleged misconduct. If the Administrator declines to initiate a complaint, alternative processes may be available and can be explored with the Administrator.

The process followed considers the Parties' preference but is ultimately determined at the Administrator's discretion. If at any point during the initial assessment or investigation the Administrator determines that reasonable cause does not support the conclusion that Respondent violated the Policy, the process will end, and the Parties will be notified.

The Complainant may request that the Administrator review the reasonable cause determination and/or re-open the investigation. This decision lies in the sole discretion of the Administrator, but the request is usually only granted in extraordinary circumstances.

2. Interim Suspension

Connecticut College may interim suspend a Student accused of Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, or Other Prohibited Conduct upon receipt of Notice or at any time during the Administrative Resolution Process.

When an interim suspension is imposed, wholly or partially, the affected Student will be notified of the action, which will include a written rationale, and the option to challenge the interim suspension within two (2) business days of the notification. Upon receipt of a challenge, the Administrator will meet with the student (and their Advisor, if desired) as soon as reasonably possible thereafter to allow them to show cause why the removal/action should not be implemented or should be modified.

This meeting is not a hearing on the merits of the allegation(s), but rather is an administrative process intended to determine solely whether the interim suspension is appropriate, should be modified, or should be lifted. When this meeting is not requested within two (2) business days, objections to the interim suspension will be deemed waived. A Student can later request a meeting to show why they no longer pose a safety concern because the related conditions have changed. A Complainant and their Advisor may be permitted to participate in this meeting if the Administrator determines it is fair for them to do so.

The Respondent may provide information, including expert reports, witness statements, communications, or other documentation for consideration prior to or during the meeting. When applicable, a Complainant may provide information to the Administrator for review.

An interim suspension may be affirmed, modified, or lifted as a result of a requested review or as new information becomes available. The Administrator will communicate the final decision in writing, typically within three (3) business days of the review meeting.

3. Placing an Employee on Leave

When the Respondent is an Employee, or a Student-Employee accused of misconduct in the course of their employment, existing employee provisions for interim action are typically applicable instead of the above emergency removal process.

4. Counter-Complaints

Connecticut College is obligated to ensure that the Administrative Resolution Process is not abused for retaliatory purposes. Although Connecticut College permits the filing of counter-complaints, the Administrator will use an initial assessment, described above, to assess whether the allegations in the counterclaim are made in good faith. When counter-complaints are not made in good faith, they will not be permitted. They will be considered potentially retaliatory and may constitute a Policy violation.

Counter-complaints determined to have been reported in good faith will be processed using the Administrative Resolution Process below. At the Administrator's discretion, investigation of such claims may take place after resolution of the underlying initial allegations.

5. Advisors in the Administrative Resolution Process

The Parties may each have an Advisor of their choice present with them for all meetings and interviews within the Administrative Resolution Process.

Choosing an Advisor who is also a witness in the process creates potential for bias and conflict of interest. A party who chooses an Advisor who is also a witness can anticipate that issues of potential bias will be explored by the Decision-maker.

A party may elect to change Advisors during the process and is not obligated to use the same Advisor throughout. Parties are expected to provide the Administrator with timely notification if they change Advisors. If a party changes Advisors, consent to share information with the previous Advisor is assumed to be terminated, and a release for the new Advisor must be submitted.

A. Who Can Serve as an Advisor?

The Parties may each have an Advisor (friend, mentor, family member, attorney, or any other individual a party chooses) present with them for all meetings, interviews, and hearings within the resolution process. The Parties may select whomever they wish to serve as their Advisor as long as the Advisor is eligible and available.³⁷

Connecticut College cannot guarantee equal advisory rights, meaning that if one party selects an Advisor who is an attorney, but the other party does not, or cannot afford an attorney, Connecticut College is not obligated to provide an attorney to advise that party.

A party may elect to change Advisors during the process and is not obligated to use the same Advisor throughout. Parties are expected to provide the Administrator with timely notification if they change Advisors. If a party changes Advisors, consent to share information with the previous Advisor is assumed to be terminated, and a release for the new Advisor must be submitted.

Connecticut College may permit Parties to have more than one Advisor, or an Advisor and a support person, upon special request to the Administrator. The decision to grant this request is at the Administrator's sole discretion and will be granted equitably to all Parties.

If a party requests that all communication be made through their attorney Advisor instead of to the party, Connecticut College will agree to copy both the party and their Advisor on all communications.

The following employees have volunteered to serve as and been trained as Advisors.

- Cheryl Banker (caban@conncoll.edu)
- Margaret Bounds (mbounds@conncoll.edu)
- Shirley Parson (sapar@conncoll.edu)
- Todd Radley (tradley@conncoll.edu)
- Kaylyn Smith (ksmith20@conncoll.edu)

B. Advisor's Role in the Administrative Resolution Process

Advisors should help the Parties to prepare for each meeting and are expected to advise ethically, with integrity, and in good faith. Advisors may not provide testimony or speak on behalf of their advisee unless given specific permission to do so.

³⁷ "Available" means the party cannot insist on an Advisor who simply doesn't have inclination, time, or availability. Also, the Advisor cannot have institutionally conflicting roles, such as being a Title IX administrator who has an active role in the matter, or a supervisor who must monitor and implement sanctions.

The Parties are expected to respond to questions on their own behalf throughout the Administrative Resolution Process. Although the Advisor generally may not speak on behalf of their advisee, the Advisor may consult with their advisee, either privately as needed, or by conferring or passing notes during any Administrative Resolution Process meeting or interview. For longer or more involved discussions, the Parties and their Advisors should ask for breaks to allow for private consultation.

C. Records Shared with Advisors

Advisors are entitled to the same opportunity as their advisee to receive copies of the Draft and Final Investigation Reports. Parties will be asked to sign releases for Connecticut College to share materials with an Advisor.

Advisors are expected to maintain the confidentiality of the records Connecticut College shares with them. Connecticut College may restrict the role of any Advisor who does not respect the sensitive nature of the process or who fails to abide by Connecticut College's confidentiality expectations.

D. Advisor Expectations

Connecticut College generally expects an Advisor to adjust their schedule to allow them to attend Connecticut College meetings/interviews when planned, but Connecticut College may change scheduled meetings to accommodate an Advisor's inability to attend, if doing so does not cause an unreasonable delay.

Connecticut College may also make reasonable provisions to allow an Advisor who cannot be present in person to attend a meeting/interview by telephone, video conferencing, or other similar technologies as may be convenient and available.

All Advisors are subject to the same Connecticut College policies and procedures, whether they are attorneys or not. Advisors are expected to advise without disrupting proceedings.

E. Advisor Policy Violations

Any Advisor who oversteps their role as defined by the Policy, who shares information or evidence in a manner inconsistent with the Policy, or who refuses to comply with Connecticut College's established rules of decorum will be warned. If the Advisor continues to disrupt or otherwise fails to respect the limits of the Advisor role, the meeting/interview/hearing may be ended, or other appropriate measures implemented, including Connecticut College requiring the party to use a different Advisor. Subsequently, the Administrator will determine how to address the Advisor's non-compliance and future role.

6. Resolution Options Overview

The Administrative Resolution Process is Connecticut College's primary resolution approach unless all Parties and Connecticut College agree to an Informal Resolution. The process considers the Parties' preferences but is ultimately determined at the Administrator's discretion.

Resolution proceedings are private. All persons present at any time during a resolution process are expected to maintain the privacy of the proceedings in accordance with the Policy.

A. Informal Resolution

To initiate Informal Resolution, a Complainant or Respondent may make such a request to the Administrator at any time prior to a Final Determination, or the Administrator may offer the option to the Parties. Connecticut College will obtain voluntary, written confirmation that all Parties wish to resolve the matter through Informal Resolution before proceeding and will not pressure the Parties to participate in Informal Resolution.

Four approaches to Informal Resolution are detailed in this section.

- 1) **Supportive Resolution.** When the Administrator can resolve the matter informally by providing supportive measures (only) designed to remedy the situation. Supportive Resolution involves only the party who opts for it.
- 2) **Educational Conversation.** When the Administrator can resolve the matter informally by having a conversation with the Respondent to discuss the Complainant's concerns and institutional expectations or can accompany the Complainant in their desire to confront the conduct.
- 3) **Accepted Responsibility.** When the Respondent accepts responsibility for violating Policy and accepts the recommended sanction(s), and the Complainant(s) and Connecticut College are agreeable to the resolution terms.
- 4) **Alternative Resolution.** When the Parties agree to resolve the matter through an alternative resolution mechanism (which could include, but is not limited to, mediation, shuttle negotiation, restorative practices, facilitated dialogue, etc.), as described below.

It is not necessary to pursue Informal Resolution first in order to pursue an Administrative Process. Any party participating in Informal Resolution can withdraw from the Informal Resolution Process at any time and initiate or resume the Administrative Resolution Process.

The Parties may agree, as a condition of engaging in Informal Resolution, on what statements made or evidence shared during the Informal Resolution process will not be considered in the Administrative Resolution Process should Informal Resolution not be successful.

If an investigation is already underway, the Administrator has discretion to determine if an investigation will be paused, if it will be limited, or if it will continue during the Informal Resolution process.

Prior to implementing Informal Resolution, Connecticut College will provide the Parties with written notice of the reported misconduct and any sanctions (only in the case of Accepted Responsibility) or measures that may result from participating in such a process, including information regarding any records that will be maintained or shared by Connecticut College.

Informal Resolution Approaches

(1) Supportive Resolution

Most commonly offered once a complaint is filed (whereas supportive measures, as described in [Section 9](#) of the Harassment and Nondiscrimination Policy, are offered in response to Notice). The Administrator will meet with the Complainant to determine reasonable supports that are designed to restore or preserve the Complainant's access to Connecticut College's education program and activity. Such supports can be modified as the Complainant's needs evolve over time or circumstances change. If the Respondent has received the NOIA, the Administrator may also provide reasonable supports for the Respondent as deemed appropriate. This option is available when the Complainant does not want to engage the other resolution options, and the Administrator does not believe there is a need to sign a complaint. At the discretion of the Administrator, this resolution option can result in an agreement between the Complainant and Connecticut College that does not require assent from any other party, as long as it does not unduly burden any other party or function punitively with respect to them.

(2) Educational Conversation

The Complainant(s) may request that the Administrator address their allegations by meeting (with or without the Complainant) with the Respondent(s) to discuss concerning behavior and institutional policies and expectations. Such a conversation is non-disciplinary and non-punitive. Respondent(s) are not required to attend such meetings, nor are they compelled to provide any information if they attend. The conversation will be documented as the Informal Resolution for the matter, if it takes place. In light of this conversation, or the Respondent's decision not to attend, the Administrator may also implement remedial actions to ensure that policies and

expectations are clear and to minimize the risk of the recurrence of any behaviors that may not align with Policy.

(3) Accepted Responsibility

The Respondent may accept responsibility for any or all of the alleged Policy violations at any point during the Administrative Resolution Process. If the Respondent indicates an intent to accept responsibility for **all** alleged Policy violations, the ongoing process will be paused, and the Administrator will determine whether Informal Resolution is an option.

If Informal Resolution is available, the Administrator will determine whether all Parties and Connecticut College are able to agree on responsibility, restrictions, sanctions, restorative measures, and/or remedies. If so, the Administrator implements the accepted finding that the Respondent is in violation of Connecticut College Policy, implements agreed-upon restrictions and remedies, and determines the appropriate responses in coordination with other appropriate administrator(s), as necessary.

This resolution is not subject to appeal once all Parties indicate their written agreement to all resolution terms. When the Parties cannot agree on all terms of resolution, the Administrative Resolution Process will either begin or resume.

When a resolution is reached, the appropriate sanction(s) or responsive actions are promptly implemented to effectively stop the Discrimination or Harassment, prevent its recurrence, and remedy the effects of the discriminatory conduct, both on the Complainant and the community.

(4) Alternative Resolution

The institution offers a variety of alternative resolution mechanisms to best meet the specific needs of the Parties and the nature of the allegations. Alternative resolution may involve agreement to pursue individual or community remedies, including targeted or broad-based educational programming or training; supported direct conversation or interaction with the Respondent(s); indirect action by the Administrator or other appropriate Connecticut College officials; and other forms of resolution that can be tailored to the needs of the Parties. Some alternative resolution mechanisms will result in an agreed-upon outcome, while others are resolved through dialogue. All Parties must consent to the use of an alternative resolution approach, and the Parties may, but are not required to, have direct or indirect contact during an alternative resolution process.

The Administrator may consider the following factors to assess whether alternative resolution is appropriate, or which form of alternative resolution may be most successful for the Parties:

- The Parties' amenability to alternative resolution
- Likelihood of potential resolution, considering any power dynamics between the Parties
- The nature and severity of the alleged misconduct
- The Parties' motivation to participate
- Civility of the Parties
- Results of a violence risk assessment/ongoing risk analysis
- Respondent's disciplinary history
- Whether interim action is needed
- Skill of the alternative resolution facilitator with this type of Complaint
- Complaint complexity
- Emotional investment/capability of the Parties
- Rationality of the Parties
- Goals of the Parties
- Adequate resources to invest in alternative resolution (e.g., time, staff)

The Administrator has the authority to determine whether alternative resolution is available or successful, to facilitate a resolution that is acceptable to all Parties, and/or to accept the Parties' proposed resolution, usually through their Advisors, often including terms of confidentiality, release, and non-disparagement.

Parties do not have the authority to stipulate restrictions or obligations for individuals or groups that are not involved in the alternative resolution process. The Administrator will determine whether additional individual or community remedies are necessary to meet the institution's compliance obligations in addition to the alternative resolution.

The Administrator maintains records of any resolution that is reached and will provide notification to the Parties of what information is maintained. Failure to abide by the resolution agreement may result in appropriate responsive/disciplinary actions (e.g., dissolution of the agreement and resumption of the Administrative Resolution Process, referral to the conduct process for failure to comply, application of the enforcement terms of the agreement). Where the failure to abide by the Informal Resolution agreement terms results in a failure to remedy a Policy violation, the Administrator must consider whether to dissolve the agreement and reinstate the Administrative Resolution Process to remedy the impact as required by law. The results of reports resolved by alternative resolution are not appealable.

If an Informal Resolution option is not available or selected, Connecticut College will initiate or continue an investigation and subsequent Administrative Resolution Process to determine whether the Policy has been violated.

B. Administrative Resolution Process

Administrative Resolution can be pursued at any time during the process for any behavior for which the Respondent has not accepted responsibility that would constitute conduct covered by the Harassment and Nondiscrimination Policy if proven. Administrative Resolution starts with a thorough, reliable, and impartial investigation.

If Administrative Resolution is initiated, the Administrator will provide written notification of the investigation to the Parties at an appropriate time during the investigation. Typically, notice is given at least two (2) business days in advance of an interview. Advanced notice facilitates the Parties' ability to identify and choose an Advisor, if any, to accompany them to the interview.

Written notification will include a meaningful summary of the allegations and the policies alleged to have been violated and may be delivered by one or more of the following methods: in person or emailed to the Parties' Connecticut College-issued or other approved email account. Once emailed, and/or received in-person, notice is presumptively delivered.

Connecticut College aims to complete all investigations within a sixty (60) business day time period, which can be extended by the Administrator as necessary for appropriate cause. Investigations can take weeks or even months, depending on the nature, extent, and complexity of the allegations, availability of witnesses, police involvement, etc.

Once an investigation is initiated, the Administrator appoints an Investigator(s) to conduct it. These Investigators may be members of the Pool, or any other properly trained Investigator, whether internal or external to the Connecticut College community.

Connecticut College will make a good faith effort to complete the investigation as promptly as circumstances permit and will communicate regularly with the Parties to update them on the progress and timing of the investigation.

Connecticut College action(s) are not typically altered or precluded on the grounds that civil or criminal charges involving the underlying incident(s) have been filed or that criminal charges have been dismissed or reduced.

All investigations are thorough, reliable, impartial, prompt, and fair. They involve interviewing all available, relevant Parties and witnesses, obtaining Relevant Evidence, and identifying sources of expert information, as necessary.

7. Resolution Process Pool

Connecticut College relies on a pool of individuals (the “Pool”) to carry out the resolution options.

A. Pool Member Roles³⁸

Pool members are trained annually, and can serve in any of the following roles, at the Administrator’s discretion:

- Appropriate intake of and initial guidance pertaining to Notice
- Perform or assist with initial assessment
- Advisor to Parties
- Informal Resolution Facilitator
- Investigator
- Decision-maker
- Appeal Decision-maker

B. Pool Member Appointment

The Administrator, in consultation with senior administrators as necessary, appoints the Pool, which acts with independence and impartiality. Although members of the Pool are typically trained in a variety of skill sets and can rotate amongst the different roles listed above in different complaints, Connecticut College can also designate permanent roles for individuals in the Pool.

8. Notice of Investigation and Allegations

The Administrator will provide the Parties written Notice of the Investigation and Allegations (the “NOIA”) upon commencement of the Administrative Resolution Process. Amendments and updates to the NOIA may be made as the investigation progresses and more information becomes available. For climate/culture investigations that do not have an identifiable Respondent, the NOIA will be sent to the department/office/program head for the area/program being investigated.

The NOIA will include:

- A meaningful summary of all allegations
- The names of the involved Parties (if known)

³⁸ External, trained third-party neutral professionals may also serve in Pool roles.

- The precise misconduct being alleged
- The date and location of the alleged incident(s) (if known)
- The specific policies/offenses implicated
- A description of, link to, or copy of the applicable procedures
- A statement that Connecticut College presumes the Respondent is not responsible for the reported misconduct unless and until the evidence supports a Final Determination that the Policy has been violated
- The name(s) of the Investigator(s), along with a process to notify the Administrator of any conflict of interest that the Investigator(s) may have in advance of the interview process
- A statement that determinations of responsibility are made at the conclusion of the process and that the Parties will be given an opportunity during the review and comment period to inspect and review all Relevant Evidence obtained
- A statement of the potential sanctions/responsive actions that could result
- A statement about Connecticut College's policy on Retaliation
- Information about process confidentiality
- Information on the need for each party to have an Advisor of their choosing and suggestions for ways to identify an Advisor
- A statement informing the Parties that Connecticut College's Policy prohibits knowingly making false statements, including knowingly submitting false information during the Administrative Resolution Process
- Information about how a party may request disability accommodations or other support assistance during the Administrative Resolution Process
- A link to Connecticut College's VAWA Brochure, if applicable
- An instruction to preserve any evidence that is directly related to the allegations

Notification will be made in writing and may be delivered by one or more of the following methods: in person or emailed to the Parties' Connecticut College-issued email or other approved accounts. Once emailed, and/or received in-person, notice is presumptively delivered.

9. Resolution Timeline

Connecticut College will make a good faith effort to complete the Administrative Resolution Process within thirty to sixty (30-60) business days, including any appeals, which the Administrator can extend as necessary for appropriate cause. The Parties will receive regular updates on the progress of the Administrative Resolution Process, as well as notification and a rationale for any extensions or delays, and an estimate of how much additional time will be needed to complete the process.

Investigations are completed expeditiously, normally within thirty (30) business days, though some investigations may take longer, depending on the nature, extent, and complexity of the allegations, witness availability, law enforcement involvement, and other factors.

Connecticut College may undertake a short delay in its investigation (several days to a few weeks) if circumstances require. Such circumstances include, but are not limited to, a request from law enforcement to temporarily delay the investigation, the need for language assistance, the absence of Parties and/or witnesses, and/or health conditions. Connecticut College will promptly resume its Administrative Resolution Process as soon as feasible. During such a delay, Connecticut College will implement and maintain supportive measures for the Parties as deemed appropriate.

Connecticut College action(s) or processes are not typically altered or precluded on the grounds that civil or criminal charges involving the underlying incident(s) have been filed or that criminal charges have been dismissed or reduced.

Connecticut College will make a good faith effort to complete the Administrative Resolution Process as promptly as circumstances permit and will regularly communicate with the Parties to update them on the progress and timing of the process.

10. Ensuring Impartiality

No individual materially involved in the administration of the Administrative Resolution Process, including the Administrator, Investigator(s), and Decision-maker(s), may have or demonstrate a conflict of interest or bias for a party generally, or for a specific Complainant or Respondent.

The Administrator will vet the assigned Investigator(s), Decision-maker(s), and Appeal Decision-maker(s) for impartiality by ensuring there are no actual or apparent conflicts of interest or disqualifying biases. The Parties may raise a concern regarding bias or conflict of interest at any time during the Administrative Resolution Process, and the Administrator will determine whether the concern is reasonable and supportable. If so, another Pool member, or other trained individual, will be assigned, and the impact of the bias or conflict, if any, will be remedied. If the source of the conflict of interest or bias is the Administrator, concerns should be raised with the College president or the dean of the College.

The Administrative Resolution Process involves an objective evaluation of all Relevant Evidence obtained, including evidence that supports that the Respondent violated the Policy and evidence that supports that the Respondent did not violate the Policy. Credibility determinations will not be based solely on an individual's status or participation as a Complainant, Respondent, or witness. All Parties have a full and fair opportunity, through the investigation process, to suggest witnesses and questions, to provide evidence, and to receive a written Investigation Report that accurately summarizes this evidence.

11. Investigation

All investigations are thorough, reliable, impartial, prompt, and fair. They involve interviewing all available, relevant Parties and witnesses, obtaining Relevant Evidence, and identifying sources of expert information, as necessary.

After an interview, Parties and witnesses will be asked to verify the accuracy of the recording, transcript, or summary of their interview. They may submit changes, edits, or clarifications. If the Parties or witnesses do not respond within the time period designated for verification, objections to the accuracy of the recording, transcript, or summary will be deemed to have been waived, and no changes will be permitted.

Connecticut College may consolidate Complaints against more than one Respondent, or by more than one Complainant against one or more Respondent(s), when the allegations arise from the same facts or circumstances or implicate a pattern, collusion, and/or other shared or similar actions.

Investigations involve the following:

- Determining the identity of and contacting all involved Parties and potential witnesses to participate in an investigation interview
- Identifying issues and developing a strategic investigation plan, including a witness list, evidence list, intended investigation timeframe, and order of interviews for the Parties and witnesses
- Providing written notification of the date, time, and location of all investigation meetings, including the expected participants and purpose
- Conducting any necessary follow-up interviews with Parties or witnesses
- Providing the Parties and witnesses an opportunity to verify the accuracy of either a summary or transcript of their interview(s)
- Soliciting the names of suggested witnesses and questions each party wishes to have asked of another party or witness
- Writing a Draft Investigation Report that gathers, assesses, and synthesizes the evidence, accurately summarizes the investigation and party and witness interviews, and provides all Relevant Evidence
- Providing the Parties and their respective Advisors an electronic or hard copy of the Draft Investigation Report for a ten (10) business-day review and comment period so that each party may meaningfully respond to the evidence. The Parties may elect to waive the full ten (10) days.
- Incorporating any new, Relevant Evidence and information obtained through the Parties' review of the Draft Investigation Report and any follow-up meetings into the Final Investigation Report
- Responding in writing (typically within the Final Investigation Report) to the relevant elements of the Parties' responses to the Draft Investigation Report
Sharing the Final Investigation Report with the Administrator and/or legal counsel for their review and feedback

- Providing the Administrator with the Final Investigation Report, including recommending Findings and a Final Determination of whether the Respondent violated the Policy.

12. Investigation Process Details

A. Witness Role and Participation in the Investigation

Witnesses who are Connecticut College Employees are strongly encouraged to cooperate with and participate in the Connecticut College's investigation and Administrative Resolution Process. Student witnesses and witnesses from outside the Connecticut College community are encouraged to cooperate with Connecticut College investigations and to share what they know about a complaint.

Party and/or witness interviews may be conducted in person, via online video platforms (e.g., Zoom, Microsoft Teams, FaceTime, WebEx), or, in limited circumstances, by telephone. Connecticut College will take appropriate steps to ensure the security/privacy of remote interviews.

Parties and witnesses may also provide written statements in lieu of interviews or choose to respond to written questions, if deemed appropriate by the Investigator(s), though not preferred.

B. Interview Recording

It is standard practice for Investigators to create a record of all interviews pertaining to the Administrative Resolution Process, by recording, transcript, or written summary. The Parties may review copies of their own interviews upon request. No unauthorized audio or video recording of any kind is permitted during investigation meetings.

All interviews are recorded, and all involved persons should be made aware of the audio and/or video recording. The recording and/or transcript of those meetings will be provided to the Parties for their review, after which the Parties may suggest additional questions to be asked of another party or witness or additional witnesses. Those subsequent meetings or interviews are also recorded and/or transcribed.

C. Evidentiary Considerations

The Parties must provide all evidence to the Investigator(s) prior to completion of the Final Investigation Report. Evidence offered after that time will be evaluated by the Decision-maker for relevance. If deemed relevant, the Parties and Decision-maker must agree to admit it into the record. If the evidence is deemed not relevant, the Decision-maker may proceed with making Findings and a Final Determination absent the new evidence.

The new Relevant Evidence will be admitted to the record if:

- All Parties and the Decision-maker assent to the new evidence being considered without remanding the matter back to the Investigator,
- The evidence is not duplicative of evidence already in the record, and
- The new evidence was either not reasonably available prior to the conclusion of the Final Investigation Report, or the failure to provide it in a timely manner was not the result of bad faith by the Parties, witnesses, or others.

If the above criteria are not met, but the evidence is deemed materially relevant and not duplicative, the Decision-maker may, at their discretion, engage in any of the following actions:

- Provide the Parties with at least five (5) business days to review the Relevant Evidence
- Remand the matter back to the Investigator for further investigation or analysis
- Allow the Parties time to review and comment on the new evidence

If the evidence is deemed not relevant, the Decision-maker may proceed with making Findings and a Final Determination without allowing the new evidence.

Any evidence that is relevant and credible may be considered, including a Respondent's prior disciplinary history as well as evidence indicating a pattern of misconduct, subject to the limitation in (D) below. The process should exclude irrelevant or immaterial evidence and may disregard evidence lacking in credibility or that is improperly prejudicial.

D. Evidentiary Exclusions

Unless the Decision-maker determines it is appropriate, the investigation and the Finding do not consider: (1) incidents not directly related to the possible violation(s), unless they evidence a pattern; (2) the irrelevant sexual behavior of the Parties (though there may be a limited exception made with regard to the sexual behavior between the Parties); (3) irrelevant character evidence.

Although the Respondent's previous conduct violations (if any) are not generally admissible as information supporting the current allegation(s), the Investigator(s) may supply the Decision-maker with information about previous good faith allegations and/or findings when that information suggests potential pattern and/or predatory conduct.

Connecticut College uses a progressive discipline system, thus previous disciplinary action of any kind involving the Respondent may be considered in determining the appropriate sanction(s).

Character witnesses or evidence may be offered. The Decision-maker will determine if the character evidence is relevant. If so, it may be considered. If not, it will be excluded.

A party or witness's records that are made or maintained by a physician, psychologist, or psychiatrist are inadmissible unless the party or witness provides voluntary, written consent for the records to be considered.

13. Respondent Admits Responsibility

If a Respondent elects to admit to the charged violations and waive further process at any point in the Administrative Resolution Process, the Decision-maker is authorized to accept that admission, adopt it as their Finding/Final Determination, and administer sanctions. This would waive the Respondent's right to appeal. If the Respondent rejects the Finding/Final Determination/sanctions, or does not admit to all charged violations, the Administrative Resolution Process continues to its conclusion. The Complainant retains their right to appeal a Final Determination when a Respondent admits responsibility.

14. Determination

Within two to three (2-3) business days of receiving the Investigator's Final Investigation Report, the Administrator reviews the report and all responses, and then makes the Final Determination by applying the standard of evidence.³⁹

If the record is incomplete, the Decision-maker may direct the Investigator(s) to re-open the investigation, or may direct or conduct any additional inquiry necessary, including meeting informally with the Parties or any witnesses if needed.

The Decision-maker may invite and consider impact and/or mitigation statements from the Parties when determining appropriate sanction(s), if any.

15. Notice of Outcome

The Administrator will provide the Parties a written outcome notification within three (3) business days of the Resolution. The outcome notification will specify the Finding for each alleged Policy violation, any sanction(s) that may result, which Connecticut College is permitted to share pursuant to federal or state law, and a detailed rationale, written by the Decision-maker, supporting the Findings to the extent Connecticut College is permitted to share under federal or state law.

³⁹ When the Administrator is the Investigator or has been heavily involved in the process prior to a determination, a Decision-maker should be designated from the Pool to ensure there is no conflict of interest.

The notification will also detail the Parties' equal rights to appeal, the grounds for appeal, the steps to request an appeal, and when the determination is considered final if no party appeals. Unless based on the Respondent's admission of responsibility, the Determination may be appealed by any party.

The Administrator will provide the Parties with the outcome notification, or without significant time delay between notifications. Notice may be delivered by one or more of the following methods: in person or emailed to the Parties' Connecticut College-issued or other approved email account. Once emailed, and/or received in-person, notice is presumptively delivered.

16. Sanctions

Factors the Decision-maker may consider when determining sanctions and responsive action include, but are not limited to:

- The nature, severity of, and circumstances surrounding the violation(s)
- The Respondent's disciplinary history
- The need for sanctions/responsive actions to bring an end to the Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct
- The need for sanctions/responsive actions to prevent the future recurrence of Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct
- The need to remedy the effects of Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct on the Complainant and the community
- The impact on the Parties
- The Respondent's acknowledgement of responsibility or contrition
- Any other information deemed relevant by the Decision-maker

The sanctions will be implemented as soon as is feasible once a Determination is final, either upon the outcome of any appeal or the expiration of the window to appeal, without an appeal being requested.

The sanctions described in the Procedures are not exclusive of, and may be in addition to, other actions taken, or sanctions imposed, by external authorities.

A. Student Sanctions

The following are the common sanctions that may be imposed upon Students singly or in combination:

- *Disciplinary Warning*: A letter indicating that a student has been found responsible for a violation. This sanction indicates that if the student is again found in violation, a sanction will likely be imposed that reflects a repeated violation.

- *Disciplinary Probation Level 1:* A status indicating that a student has been found responsible for a violation that reflects a serious violation. This sanction will be in effect for a specified period of time and indicates that if the student is again found in violation during the period of this status, a sanction of Disciplinary Probation Level 2 or greater will likely be necessitated.
- *Disciplinary Probation Level 2:* Formal notice that a student's status at the College is in jeopardy as a result of one or more violations. This sanction will be in effect for a specified period of time and indicates that if the student is found in violation of College policies during the period of this status, additional imposed sanctions may include Loss of Housing, Suspension from the College, or Expulsion from the College. While on Level 2 probation, a student will not be permitted to serve as a member of Student Government Association, an executive Board member of a student organization, or on any standing College committee. A student's ability to participate in other college programs may also be limited by this status as determined by those offices (e.g., Study Away programs, Career and Professional Development internships). When placed on this status, the Office of the Dean of the College and the student's parent/guardian may be notified as permitted by FERPA.
- *Loss of Housing:* Dismissal from College owned housing for a specified period of time. Financial reimbursement is made according to the refund schedule in the College Catalog. During this period, a student is not eligible for summer housing. After this period is concluded, the student may request the Dean of Students or their designee to re-evaluate the student's ability to return to the residence hall. Loss of housing may result in notification of the student's faculty and staff adviser, class dean, and parent/guardian as permitted by FERPA.
- *Suspension from the College:* Suspension from the College is a temporary dismissal from the College for a specified period of time. After this period is concluded, the student may resume studies following an interview with the Title IX Coordinator or their designee. A suspended student may not engage in College activities, use any College facilities, or be on College property without express permission from the Dean of Students or their designee. Financial reimbursement is made according to the refund schedule in the College Catalog. When suspended from the College, the student's class dean, athletic coach, and parent/guardian may be notified. A suspended student does not have the opportunity to earn academic credit towards a Connecticut College degree unless granted permission by the Dean of Students. Conditions for return from suspension may be established at the time of the suspension.
- *Expulsion from the College:* Permanent dismissal from the College without the right to return. An expelled student no longer has the privileges of matriculated students and may not engage in College activities, use any College facilities, or be on College property. Financial reimbursement is made according to the refund schedule listed in the College Catalog. When expelled from the College, the student's parent/guardian may be notified as permitted by FERPA.

- *Other Actions:* In addition to, or in place of, the above sanctions, Connecticut College may assign any other sanctions as deemed appropriate.

B. Student Organization Sanctions

The following are the common sanctions that may be imposed upon student groups organizations singly or in combination:

- *Warning:* A formal statement that the conduct was unacceptable and a warning that further violation of any Connecticut College policy, procedure, or directive will result in more severe sanctions/responsive actions
- *Probation:* An official sanction for violation of Connecticut College policy, providing for more severe disciplinary sanctions if the group or organization is found in violation of any Connecticut College policy, procedure, or directive within a specified period of time. Terms of the probation will be articulated and may include denial of specified social and event privileges, denial of Connecticut College funds, ineligibility for honors and awards, restrictions on new member recruitment, no-contact orders, and/or other measures deemed appropriate.
- *Suspension:* Termination of student group or organization recognition and/or Connecticut College support for a defined period of time not to exceed two (2) years and/or until specific criteria are met. During the suspension period, a student group or organization may not conduct any formal or informal business or participate in Connecticut College-related activities, whether they occur on or off campus. Re-recognition is possible but not guaranteed and will only be considered after the end of the suspension period and based on meeting all re-recognition criteria and obtaining clearance from Connecticut College.
- *Expulsion:* Permanent termination of student group or organization recognition and revocation of the privilege to congregate and conduct business on campus as an organization for any reason
- *Loss of Privileges:* Restricted from accessing specific Connecticut College privileges for a specified period of time
- *Other Actions:* In addition to, or in place of, the above sanctions, Connecticut College may assign any other sanctions as deemed appropriate

C. Employee Sanctions/Responsive/Corrective Actions

Responsive actions for an Employee who has engaged in Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct include:

- *Verbal or Written Warning*
- *Performance Improvement Plan/Management Process*
- *Enhanced Supervision, Observation, or Review*
- *Required Counseling*

- *Required Training or Education*
- *Probation*
- *Denial of Pay Increase/Pay Grade*
- *Loss of Oversight or Supervisory Responsibility*
- *Demotion*
- *Transfer*
- *Shift or schedule adjustments*
- *Reassignment*
- *Delay of (or referral for delay of) Tenure Track Progress*
- *Assignment to a New Supervisor*
- *Restriction of Stipends, Research, and/or Professional Development Resources*
- *Suspension/Administrative Leave with Pay*
- *Suspension/Administrative Leave without Pay*
- *Termination*
- *Other Actions: In addition to, or in place of, the above sanctions/responsive actions, Connecticut College may assign any other responsive actions as deemed appropriate*

17. Withdrawal or Resignation Before Complaint Resolution

A. Students

Students with a complaint pending may not go on a leave or withdraw from the College before the resolution of a complaint unless they are granted permission by the Dean of Students. In such circumstances, the student's return to the College may be contingent upon the completion of resolution proceedings.

Should a Respondent decide not to participate in the Administrative Resolution Process, the process proceeds absent their participation to a reasonable resolution. If a Student Respondent withdraws from Connecticut College, the Administrative Resolution Process typically ends with a dismissal, as Connecticut College has lost primary disciplinary jurisdiction over the withdrawn Student. However, Connecticut College may continue the Administrative Resolution Process when, at the discretion of the Administrator, doing so may be necessary to address safety and/or remedy any ongoing effects of the alleged Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct.

Regardless of whether the Formal Complaint is dismissed or pursued to completion of the Administrative Resolution Process, Connecticut College will continue to address and remedy any systemic issues or concerns that may have contributed to the alleged violation(s), and any ongoing effects of the alleged Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct.

When a Student withdraws or takes a leave of absence while the process is pending, the Student may not return to Connecticut College in any capacity until the allegations are resolved and any sanctions imposed are satisfied. If the Student indicates they will not return, the Administrator has discretion to dismiss the allegations and bar the Student from returning. The Registrar, Office of Admissions, and HR may be notified accordingly.

If the Student Respondent takes a leave of absence for a specified period of time (e.g., one semester or term), the Administrative Resolution Process may continue remotely. If found in violation, that Student is not permitted to return to Connecticut College unless and until all sanctions, if any, have been satisfied.

B. Employees

Should an Employee Respondent decide not to participate in the Administrative Resolution Process, the process proceeds absent their participation to a reasonable resolution. If an Employee Respondent leaves their employment with Connecticut College with unresolved allegations pending, the Administrative Resolution Process typically ends with dismissal, as Connecticut College has lost primary disciplinary jurisdiction over the former Employee. However, Connecticut College may continue the Administrative Resolution Process when, at the discretion of the Administrator, doing so may be necessary to address safety and/or remedy any ongoing effects of the alleged Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct.

Regardless of whether the allegations are dismissed or pursued to completion of the Administrative Resolution Process, Connecticut College will continue to address and remedy any systemic issues or concerns that may have contributed to the alleged violation(s), and any ongoing effects of the alleged Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct.

When an Employee resigns and the allegations are dismissed, the Employee may not return to Connecticut College in any capacity. The Registrar, Office of Admissions, and HR will be notified accordingly. A note will be placed in the Employee's file that they resigned with allegations pending and are not eligible for academic admission or rehire with Connecticut College. The records retained by the Administrator will reflect that status.

18. Appeals

The Administrator will designate an Appeal Decision-maker from the Pool, or other trained internal or external individuals, to hear the appeal. No Appeal Decision-maker will have been previously involved in the Administrative Resolution Process for the matter. The Administrator will designate a voting chair if a panel is used.

A. Appeal Grounds

Appeals are limited to the following grounds:

- 1) A procedural irregularity affected the outcome of the matter
- 2) There is new evidence that was not reasonably available at the time the determination regarding responsibility was made that could affect the outcome of the matter
- 3) The Administrator, Investigator(s), or Decision-maker(s) had a conflict of interest or bias for or against Complainants or Respondents generally or the specific Complainant or Respondent that affected the outcome of the matter

B. Appeal Request

Any party may submit a written appeal request to the Administrator within five (5) business days of the delivery of the notice of outcome.

The appeal request will be forwarded to the Appeal Decision-maker for consideration to determine if the request meets the appeal grounds for appeal (a Review for Standing). This is not a review of the merits of the appeal, but solely a determination as to whether the request could reasonably be construed to meet the grounds and is timely filed.

If the appeal request does not provide information that meets the grounds in the procedures, the request will be denied by the Appeal Decision-maker, and the Parties and their Advisors will be simultaneously notified in writing of the denial and the rationale.

If any of the information in the appeal request meets the grounds in the Procedures, then the Appeal Decision-maker will notify all Parties and their Advisors, the Administrator, and, when appropriate, the Investigator(s) and/or the original Decision-maker.

All other Parties and their Advisors, the Administrator, and, when appropriate, the Investigator(s) and/or the Decision-maker will be provided a copy of the appeal request with the approved grounds and then be given five (5) business days to submit a response to the portion of the appeal that was approved and involves them. The Appeal Decision-maker will forward all responses, if any, to all Parties for review and comment.

The non-appealing party (if any) may also choose to appeal at this time. If so, that appeal request will be reviewed by the Appeal Decision-maker to determine if it meets the grounds in the Procedures and will either be approved or denied. If approved, it will be forwarded to the party who initially requested an appeal, the Administrator, and the Investigator(s) and/or original Decision-maker, as necessary, who will submit their responses, if any, within five (5) business days. Any such responses will be circulated for

review and comment by all Parties. If denied, the Parties and their Advisors will be notified in writing.

No party may submit any new appeal request after this time period. The Appeal Decision-maker will collect any additional information needed and all documentation regarding the approved appeal grounds, and the subsequent responses will be shared with the Appeal Decision-maker, who will promptly render a decision.

C. Appeal Determination Process

In most circumstances, appeals are confined to a review of the written documentation or record of the original determination and pertinent documentation regarding the specific appeal grounds. The Appeal Decision-maker will deliberate as soon as is practicable and discuss the merits of the appeal.

Appeal decisions are to be deferential to the original determination, making changes to the Finding/Final Determination only when there is clear error and to the sanction(s)/responsive action(s) only if there is a compelling justification to do so. All decisions are made by majority vote and apply the preponderance of the evidence standard of evidence.

An appeal is not an opportunity for the Appeal Decision-maker to substitute their judgment for that of the original Decision-maker merely because they disagree with the Finding and/or sanction(s).

The Appeal Decision-maker may consult with the Administrator and/or legal counsel on questions of procedure or rationale, for clarification, if needed. The Administrator will maintain documentation of all such consultation.

D. Appeal Outcome

An appeal may be granted or denied. Appeals that are granted should normally be remanded (or partially remanded) to the original Investigator(s) and/or Decision-maker with corrective instructions for reconsideration. In rare circumstances where an error cannot be cured by the original Investigator(s) and/or Decision-maker or the Administrator (as in cases of bias), the Appeal Decision-maker may order a new investigation and/or a new hearing with new Pool members serving in the Investigator and Decision-maker roles.

A notice of appeal outcome letter will be sent to all Parties simultaneously, or without significant time delay between notifications. The appeal outcome letter will specify the Finding on each appeal ground, any specific instructions for remand or reconsideration, all sanction(s) that may result which Connecticut College is permitted to share according

to federal or state law, and the rationale supporting the essential Findings to the extent Connecticut College is permitted to share under federal or state law.

Written notification may be delivered by one or more of the following methods: in person or emailed to the Parties' Connecticut College-issued email or other approved account. Once emailed, and/or received in person, the appeal outcome will be presumptively delivered.

Once an appeal is decided, the outcome is final and constitutes the Final Determination; further appeals are not permitted, even if a decision or sanction is changed on remand (except in the case of a new determination). When appeals result in no change to the finding or sanction, that decision is final. When an appeal results in a new Finding or sanction, that Finding or sanction can be appealed one final time on the grounds listed above and in accordance with the Procedures.

If a remand results in a new Finding or sanction that is different from the original Finding or sanction, that new Finding or sanction can be appealed, once, on any of the available appeal grounds.

E. Sanction Status During the Appeal

Any sanctions imposed as a result of the Final Determination are stayed (i.e., not implemented) during the appeal process, and supportive measures may be maintained or reinstated until the appeal determination is made.

If any of the sanctions are to be implemented immediately post-determination, but pre-appeal, then the interim suspension procedures (detailed above) for a show cause meeting on the justification for doing so must be permitted within two (2) business days of implementation.

19. Long-Term Remedies/Actions

Following the conclusion of the Administrative Resolution Process, and in addition to any sanctions implemented or Informal Resolution terms, the Administrator may implement additional long-term remedies or actions with respect to the Parties and/or the Connecticut College community that are intended to stop the Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and/or Other Prohibited Conduct, remedy the effects, and prevent its recurrence.

These remedies/actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Referral to counseling and health services
- Referral to the Employee Assistance Program
- Course and registration adjustments, such as retroactive withdrawals
- Community education

- Permanent alteration of housing assignments
- Permanent alteration of work arrangements for Employees
- Provision of campus safety escorts
- Climate surveys
- Policy modification and/or training
- Provision of transportation assistance
- Implementation of long-term contact limitations between the Parties
- Implementation of adjustments to academic deadlines, course schedules, etc.

At the Administrator's discretion, the Parties may be provided certain long-term support or measures even if no Policy violation is found.

When no Policy violation is found, the Administrator will address any remedies Connecticut College owes the Respondent to ensure no effective denial of educational access.

Connecticut College will maintain the confidentiality of any long-term remedies/actions/measures, provided confidentiality does not impair Connecticut College's ability to provide these services.

20. Failure to Comply with Sanctions and/or Responsive Actions

All Respondents are expected to comply with the assigned sanctions, responsive actions, corrective actions, and/or Informal Resolution terms within the timeframe specified by the final Decision-maker, including the Appeal Decision-maker or the Informal Resolution agreement.

Failure to abide by the sanction(s)/action(s) imposed by the date specified, whether by refusal, neglect, or any other reason, may result in additional sanction(s)/action(s), including suspension, expulsion, and/or termination from Connecticut College.

Supervisors are expected to enforce completion of sanctions/responsive actions for their Employees.

A suspension imposed for non-compliance with sanctions will only be lifted when compliance is achieved to the Administrator's satisfaction.

21. Recordkeeping

In implementing the Policy and Procedures, the Administrator will maintain records of all allegations, investigations, and Resolutions, indefinitely, or as required by federal or state law or institutional policy.

22. Disability Accommodations

Connecticut College is committed to providing reasonable accommodations and support to qualified Students, Employees, or others with disabilities to ensure equal access to Connecticut College's Resolution Process.

Anyone needing such accommodations or support should contact the Administrator, who will work with Student Accessibility Services (SAS) as appropriate to review the request and, in consultation with the person requesting the accommodation, determine which accommodations are appropriate and necessary for full process participation.

23. Other Support

Connecticut College will address other reasonable requests for support for the Parties and witnesses, including:

- Language services/Interpreters
- Access and training regarding use of technology throughout a resolution process
- Other support as deemed reasonable and necessary to facilitate participation in a resolution process

APPENDIX G: PRIVACY AND CONFIDENTIALITY

For the purpose of the Policy, privacy and confidentiality have distinct meanings.

Privacy means that information related to Notice or a Formal Complaint will be shared with a limited number of Connecticut College Employees who “need to know” in order to assist in the assessment, investigation, and resolution of the Formal Complaint. All Employees who are involved in Connecticut College’s response to Notice under the Policy receive specific training and guidance about sharing and safeguarding private information in accordance with state and federal law. The privacy of Student education records will be protected in accordance with the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA), as outlined in Connecticut College’s Student Records Policy. The privacy of Employee records will be protected in accordance with Human Resources policies.

Confidentiality exists in the context of laws (including Title IX) that protect certain relationships, including those who provide services related to medical and clinical care, mental health providers, counselors, and ordained clergy. The law creates a privilege between certain health care providers, mental health care providers, attorneys, clergy, spouses, and others, with their patients, clients, parishioners, and spouses. Connecticut College has designated individuals who can have privileged communications as Confidential Resources. See [Section 6](#) of the Policy for more information about Confidential Resources.

When information is shared by a Complainant with a Confidential Resource, the Confidential Resource cannot reveal the information to any third party except when an applicable law or a court order requires or permits disclosure of such information. For example, information may be disclosed when: (1) the individual gives written consent for its disclosure; (2) there is a concern that the individual will likely cause serious physical harm to self or others; or (3) the information concerns conduct involving suspected abuse or neglect of a minor under the age of 18, elders, or individuals with disabilities. Confidential Resources may share non-identifiable information for statistical tracking purposes as required by the federal Clergy Act. Other information may be shared as required by law.

APPENDIX H: UNETHICAL RELATIONSHIPS POLICY

Expectations Regarding Unethical Relationships

There are inherent risks in any romantic or sexual relationship between individuals in unequal positions of power or authority. Concerns about conflicts of interest and unfair treatment of others not involved in the relationship may arise. These relationships or interactions may be less consensual than perceived by the individual whose position confers power. The relationship also may be viewed in different ways by each of the parties, particularly in retrospect. Furthermore, circumstances may change, and conduct that was previously welcome may become unwelcome.

To minimize these risks, Connecticut College prohibits sexual or intimate activities and/or relationships between:

- Any Faculty member (anyone with instructional responsibilities, including some athletic coaches, guest artists, postdocs) and a Student
- Any staff member or (including graduate interns and AmeriCorps VISTA volunteers) and a Student
- Any supervisor and a subordinate

This policy does not apply to pre-existing relationships that were known to Human Resources before this policy took effect, or to relationships that occurred prior to the role of the employee or student falling within these parameters.

Persons with direct supervisory or otherwise evaluative responsibilities who are involved in such relationships must promptly inform their supervisor and Human Resources. The existence of this type of relationship will likely result in removing the supervisory or evaluative responsibilities from the Employee or shifting a party from being supervised or evaluated by someone with whom they have established a consensual relationship. When an applicable relationship existed prior to adoption of the Policy or prior to employment, the duty to notify the appropriate supervisor still pertains.

All reported violations of this policy will be investigated, and if it is determined that a violation occurred, the faculty and/or staff member(s), and in certain situations the student(s), will be subject to disciplinary proceedings. Violations of this policy are typically resolved by referral to Human Resources or the Grievances and Hearing Committee (for faculty), rather than by use of the procedures below.

If sexual activity or a relationship occurs between a supervisor and a subordinate and goes unreported, the supervisor will ordinarily bear the primary burden of accountability, but both employees may be subject to disciplinary action up to and including termination of employment. Occasionally student workers are in a supervisory role - one in which they hire, evaluate, direct the work and/or approve time sheets of another student worker. This policy

applies to sexual activity and relationships between such student workers and their subordinates but does not apply to sexual activity and relationships between Residential Education and Living student-staff and their residents. Even when there is no supervision or evaluative authority, sexual activity or relationships between co-workers may lead to workplace difficulties. If such a relationship negatively impacts the workplace, the matter may be referred to the appropriate office (Human Resources, Dean of the Faculty, or Student Life) for resolution. The person in the position of greater authority or power will ordinarily bear the primary burden of accountability. Connecticut College reserves the right to impose any level of sanction, ranging from a reprimand up to and including suspension or expulsion/termination, for any offense under this policy.

APPENDIX O: INTERNATIONAL HOLOCAUST REMEMBRANCE ALLIANCE DEFINITION

“Antisemitism is a certain perception of Jews, which may be expressed as hatred toward Jews. Rhetorical and physical manifestations of antisemitism are directed toward Jewish or non- Jewish individuals and/or their property, toward Jewish community institutions and religious facilities.”

To guide IHRA in its work, the following examples may serve as illustrations: Manifestations might include the targeting of the state of Israel, conceived as a Jewish collectivity. However, criticism of Israel similar to that leveled against any other country cannot be regarded as antisemitic. Antisemitism frequently charges Jews with conspiring to harm humanity, and it is often used to blame Jews for “why things go wrong.” It is expressed in speech, writing, visual forms and action, and employs sinister stereotypes and negative character traits.

Contemporary examples of antisemitism in public life, the media, schools, the workplace, and in the religious sphere could, taking into account the overall context, include, but are not limited to:

- Calling for, aiding, or justifying the killing or harming of Jews in the name of a radical ideology or an extremist view of religion.
- Making mendacious, dehumanizing, demonizing, or stereotypical allegations about Jews as such or the power of Jews as collective — such as, especially but not exclusively, the myth about a world Jewish conspiracy or of Jews controlling the media, economy, government or other societal institutions.
- Accusing Jews as a people of being responsible for real or imagined wrongdoing committed by a single Jewish person or group, or even for acts committed by non-Jews.
- Denying the fact, scope, mechanisms (e.g., gas chambers) or intentionality of the genocide of the Jewish people at the hands of National Socialist Germany and its supporters and accomplices during World War II (the Holocaust).
- Accusing the Jews as a people, or Israel as a state, of inventing or exaggerating the Holocaust.
- Accusing Jewish citizens of being more loyal to Israel, or to the alleged priorities of Jews worldwide, than to the interests of their own nations.
- Denying the Jewish people their right to self-determination, e.g., by claiming that the existence of a State of Israel is a racist endeavor.
- Applying double standards by requiring of it a behavior not expected or demanded of any other democratic nation.
- Using the symbols and images associated with classic antisemitism (e.g., claims of Jews killing Jesus or blood libel) to characterize Israel or Israelis.
- Drawing comparisons of contemporary Israeli policy to that of the Nazis.
- Holding Jews collectively responsible for actions of the state of Israel.

Antisemitic acts are criminal when they are so defined by law (for example, denial of the Holocaust or distribution of antisemitic materials in some countries).

Criminal acts are antisemitic when the targets of attacks, whether they are people or property – such as buildings, schools, places of worship and cemeteries – are selected because they are, or are perceived to be, Jewish or linked to Jews.

Antisemitic discrimination is the denial to Jews of opportunities or services available to others and is illegal in many countries.”⁴⁰

BASED ON THE ATIXA 2020 ONE POLICY, TWO PROCEDURES (1P2P) MODEL.
©2025 ATIXA. USED WITH PERMISSION.

⁴⁰ <https://holocaustremembrance.com/resources/working-definition-antisemitism>