

Genetic Modifications of Pigs for Medicine and Agriculture

JEFFREY J. WHYTE^{1,2,3} AND RANDALL S. PRATHER^{1,3*}

¹ National Swine Resource and Research Center, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri

² Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri

³ Division of Animal Science, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri



SUMMARY

Genetically modified swine hold great promise in the fields of agriculture and medicine. Currently, these swine are being used to optimize production of quality meat, to improve our understanding of the biology of disease resistance, and to reduced waste. In the field of biomedicine, swine are anatomically and physiologically analogous to humans. Alterations of key swine genes in disease pathways provide model animals to improve our understanding of the causes and potential treatments of many human genetic disorders. The completed sequencing of the swine genome will significantly enhance the specificity of genetic modifications, and allow for more accurate representations of human disease based on syntenic genes between the two species. Improvements in both methods of gene alteration and efficiency of model animal production are key to enabling routine use of these swine models in medicine and agriculture.

Improvements in methods to generate such swine has led to an expansive growth in the number of pig models specifically developed to enhance human health and nutrition.

Mol. Reprod. Dev. 78: 879–891, 2011. © 2011 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

* Corresponding author:
920 East Campus Drive
Columbia, MO 65211
E-mail: PratherR@missouri.edu

Grant sponsor: National Institutes of Health; Grant numbers: R01 RR13438, U42 RR018877, R21 NS59510, P01 HL51670, U01 HL102288; Grant sponsor: Food for the 21st Century (University of Missouri)

Published online 10 June 2011 in Wiley Online Library
(wileyonlinelibrary.com).
DOI 10.1002/mrd.21333

Received 21 March 2011; Accepted 9 May 2011

INTRODUCTION

The domestic swine genome has been altered through selective breeding to produce pigs with desired characteristics in agriculture for many centuries. The first “nontraditional” genetic modification in pigs was accomplished through microinjection of hundreds of copies of a foreign DNA fragment into the pronuclei of pig zygotes. The stable integration of a non-native gene into the swine genome resulted in the generation of the first transgenic pigs (Hammer et al., 1985). This ability to insert new genetic material and/or subsequently delete or replace genes

opened new possibilities for the use of pigs as a research animal. The medical community had already accepted pigs as an excellent model for surgical testing based on their respective organs’ similarity to human heart, coronary vasculature, liver, kidney, lungs, and uterine histology (Swindle, 2007). The prospect of obtaining genetically modified pigs further extended their biomedical potential, especially to mimic inherited human diseases and to estab-

Abbreviations: ES, embryonic stem; ICSI, intracytoplasmic sperm injection; NT, nuclear transfer.

lish experimental DNA-altering treatments (i.e., gene therapy). Pigs are ideal for this type of genetic research as the size and composition of the porcine genome is similar to that of humans (Bendixen et al., 2010). The completed draft of the pig genome will be based on the most recent assembly (*Sus scrofa* genome build 10 (Sscrofa10); currently being annotated), which represents about 98% of the porcine genome (Groenen et al., 2011). The use of organs from transgenic pigs for xenotransplantation into humans (Lai et al., 2002a) and the production of pharmaceuticals (Park et al., 2006) are also being explored. From an agricultural standpoint, the worldwide demand for pork continues to rise as the populations and incomes of developing countries increase (United Nations FAO, 2009). Genetically altered swine have the potential to meet this demand by enabling development of strains with improved production traits, more efficient feed usage, increased reproduction, and greater protection from acquiring and transmitting disease.

This review covers, to the best of our knowledge, the various genetically modified pig models that have been produced to date. Improvements in methods to generate such swine has led to an expansive growth in the number of pig models specifically developed to enhance human health and nutrition. In addition to the genetically modified pigs described in the text of this review, several swine models are also listed in Table 1.

PRODUCTION OF TRANSGENIC PIGS

The first method to produce transgenic pigs, *pronuclear microinjection*, was originally established in mice (Brinster et al., 1981). DNA is microinjected into the pronuclei of zygotes collected from a superovulated female, and then transferred to a recipient pig by embryo transfer (Hammer et al., 1985). The technique is reliable and widely used, but only about 1% of injected eggs produce transgenic pigs (Niemann, 2004; Prather et al., 2008). An alternative method, *oocyte transduction*, uses a replication deficient virus to deliver the transgene to the porcine oocytes and can increase the percentage of transgenic offspring (Cabot et al., 2001; Hofmann et al., 2003). *Sperm-mediated DNA transfer* has also been used to produce genetically modified pigs with high efficiency based on the ability of sperm cells to internalize and integrate exogenous DNA during fertilization (reviewed in Lavitrano et al., 2005). Each of these methods can successfully make transgenic swine, but limitations include the inability to prescreen embryos for transgene integration prior to embryo transfer, the lack of expression specificity arising from random integration of foreign DNA, and the fact that only transgene addition is permitted, not deletion (i.e., gene knockout).

Targeted introduction of transgenes and loss-of-function mutations via homologous recombination in embryonic stem (ES) cells has been used for genetic manipulation of mice for decades (Capecchi, 2000). The lack of a stable source of true pig ES cells, however, has impeded the use of this method for creating chimeric, transgenic pigs with germline cells that participate in gamete formation (germline transmission). One novel alternative approach

is to graft transgenic male pig germ cells into immunodeficient mice (ectopic xenograft), resulting in the production of fully functional xenogeneic sperm for fertilization after intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI; Honaramooz et al., 2008). This method is still in development, but viable non-transgenic piglets produced using sperm from ectopic testicular xenografts have been reported (Nakai et al., 2010). It remains to be seen how successful transgenic, ectopic xenografts will be in the production of genetically modified pigs.

The most popular method of producing genetically modified pigs to date is through genomic modification of somatic cells followed by nuclear transfer (NT), first reported by Park et al. (2001). In this process, the nuclei of somatic cells are transferred into enucleated metaphase II oocytes, and then this complex is activated by electrofusion. Reconstructed embryos are then cultured and transferred to synchronized recipients for gestation. The advantages of NT for gene transfer in pigs was described by Robl and First (1985), and nuclei from porcine blastomeres were used to produce the first cloned pigs from embryonic donor cells (Prather et al., 1989). Soon after the demonstration that the nuclei of adult somatic cells could undergo proper reprogramming to produce viable mammalian offspring (Wilmut et al., 1997), the first cloned pig derived from differentiated cells (porcine fetal fibroblasts) was reported (Onishi et al., 2000) and was soon followed by the cloning of pigs from cultured adult granulosa cells (Polejaeva et al., 2000). In the same year, Betthausen et al. (2000) published significant procedural improvements to NT, including extension of the in vitro culture of fetal cells preceding nuclear transfer, in vitro maturation and activation of oocytes, and in vitro embryo culture.

As described in this review, the combination of genetically modified somatic pig cells and NT technology has produced many valuable biomedical and agricultural swine models. Despite these achievements, NT remains an inefficient process in pigs, as it does in all mammals without stably cultured ES cells. Many reconstructed pig embryos fail early in pregnancy, requiring large numbers of embryo transfers per recipient. The prevailing view is that incomplete epigenetic reprogramming of donor cell nuclei results in aberrant gene expression during development (reviewed in Zhao et al., 2010). Embryo culture conditions that alter epigenetic modifications of DNA, including histone deacetylase inhibitors (e.g., Trichostatin A, Scriptaid), can have a beneficial effect on the development of cloned pig embryos (Zhao et al., 2009; Martinez-Diaz et al., 2010). Pregnancy rates in recipients may also be improved by treating reconstructed embryos with the proteasome inhibitor MG132 after electrical fusion/activation (Whitworth et al., 2009). Transient treatment with MG132 likely prevents premature oocyte activation and lowers the rate of embryo fragmentation after NT (Sutovsky and Prather, 2004). Petersen et al. (2008b) found that by transferring cloned embryos immediately after activation to the oviducts of recipients that were 24 hr asynchronous to the embryos increased pregnancy rate (9% with synchronous recipients vs. 53% with asynchronous recipients) and survival of porcine embryos (1.8%

TABLE 1. Genetically Modified Pigs for Use in Biomedicine and Agriculture

| Use/modification | Issue addressed/transgene activity | References |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| Xenotransplantation | | |
| Membrane cofactor protein, MCP (CD46) ⁺ | Hyperacute rejection: complement modifier | Diamond et al. (2001) |
| Human-decay accelerating factor, hDAF (CD55) ⁺ | Hyperacute rejection: complement modifier | Langford et al. (1994) |
| CD59 ⁺ (MIRL) | Hyperacute rejection: complement modifier | Fodor et al. (1994) |
| α -1,2-Fucosyltransferase (FUT2) ⁺ | Hyperacute rejection: carbohydrate remodeling | Koike et al. (1996) |
| β -1,4-Acetylglucosaminyltransferase (MGAT2) ⁺ | Hyperacute rejection: carbohydrate remodeling | Miyagawa et al. (2001) |
| α -1,3-Galactosyltransferase (GGTA1) ^{-/-} | Hyperacute rejection | Lai et al. (2002a) |
| Heme oxygenase I (HMOX1) ⁺ | Post-hyperacute rejection | Petersen et al. (2008a) |
| Ecto-ATPase (CD39) ⁺ | Post-hyperacute rejection | Dwyer et al. (2007) |
| Thrombomodulin (THBD) ⁺ | Post-hyperacute rejection | Petersen et al. (2007) |
| Human leukocyte antigen, DP (HLA-DP) ⁺ | Cell mediated rejection | Tu et al. (1999) |
| Human leukocyte antigen, DR (HLA-DR) ⁺ | Cell mediated rejection | Huang et al. (2006) |
| Human leukocyte antigen, E (HLA-E) ⁺ /B2M ⁺ | Cell mediated rejection | Weiss et al. (2009) |
| Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily, member 10 (TNFSF10) ⁺ | Cell mediated rejection | Klose et al. (2005) |
| Tumor necrosis factor-alpha-induced protein 3 (TNFAIP3) ⁺ | Cell mediated rejection | Oropeza et al. (2008) |
| Fas ligand (FASLG) ⁺ | Cell mediated rejection | Choi et al. (2010) |
| Cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated 4 (CTLA4) ⁺ | Nonvascular rejection | Martin et al. (2005) |
| Belatacept (LEA29Y) | Nonvascular rejection | Klymiuk et al. (2009) |
| Porcine endogenous retroviruses (PERV) knock-down shRNA | Porcine endogenous retrovirus | Dieckhoff et al. (2008) |
| Liver transplantation | | |
| Alpha-ferroprotein cytosine deaminase (AFP-CDA) ⁺ | Liver specific expression of cytosine deaminase | Beschorner et al. (2003a) |
| Albumin thymidine kinase 1 (ALB-TK1) ⁺ | Liver specific expression of thymidine kinase | Beschorner et al. (2003b) |
| Liver support | | |
| Albumin (ALB) ⁺ | Artificial liver | Naruse et al. (2005) |
| Immune function | | |
| Immunoglobulin kappa, constant region (IGKC) ^{-/-} | Delete light chain expression | Ramsoundar et al. (2010) |
| Immunoglobulin heavy chain, joining gene cluster (IGHJ) ^{-/-} | Delete antibodies and B cells | Mendicino et al. (2010) |
| Disease model | | |
| Rhodopsin, mutant P347L (RHO P347L) ⁺ | Retinitis pigmentosa | Petters et al. (1997) |
| Rhodopsin, mutant P23H (RHO P23H) ⁺ | Retinitis pigmentosa | Ross et al. (unpublished work) |
| Cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) ^{+/-} and CFTR ^{ΔF508/+} | Cystic fibrosis | Rogers et al. (2008) |
| Huntington (HTT) ⁺ | Huntington's disease | Uchida et al. (2001) |
| Omega 3 fatty acid desaturase ⁺ | Cardiovascular disease | Lai et al. (2006) |
| Catalase (CAT) ⁺ | Cardiovascular disease | Whyte et al. (2011b) |
| Endothelial nitric oxide synthase 3 (NOS3) ⁺ | Cardiovascular disease | Whyte and Laughlin (2010) |
| Proprotein convertase, subtilisin/kexin-type, 9 (PCSK9) ⁺ | Familial hypercholesterolemia | Bolund et al. (2010) |
| Apolipoprotein E (APOE) knock-down shRNA ⁺ | Familial hyperlipidemia | El-Beirouthi et al. (2009) |
| Gastric inhibitory polypeptide receptor, dominant negative (GIPR(dn)) ⁺ | Diabetes | Renner et al. (2010) |
| Hepatocyte nuclear factor-1 homeobox A, dominant negative (HNF1A(dn)) ⁺ | Diabetes | Umeyama et al. (2009) |
| Insulin 2, mutant C93S (INS2 C93S) ⁺ | Diabetes | Renner et al. (2010) |
| Amyloid precursor protein (APP) K670N ⁺ /M671L ⁺ | Alzheimer's disease | Kragh et al. (2009) |
| Mouse mammary tumor virus (MMTV)/v-Ha-ras ⁺ | Mammary tumors | Yamakawa et al. (1999) |
| Survival motor neuron (SMN) ^{+/-} | Spinal muscle atrophy | Lorson et al. (2011) |
| Pharmaceuticals | | |
| Protein C (PROC) ⁺ | Protein C and anticoagulation | Van Cott et al. (1997) |
| Factor VIII (FVIII) ⁺ | Human coagulation Factor VIII | Paleyanda et al. (1997) |
| Factor IX (FIX) ⁺ | Human coagulation Factor IX | Lindsay et al. (2004) |
| Hemoglobin B, beta (HBB) ⁺ | Human hemoglobin beta | Sharma et al. (1994) |
| Colony-stimulating factor 2 (CSF2) ⁺ | Human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor | Park et al. (2008) |
| Production agriculture | | |
| Growth hormone (GH) ⁺ | Growth hormone | Hammer et al. (1985) |
| Lactalbumin (bLALBA) ⁺ | Alpha-lactalbumin | Bleck et al. (1998) |
| Insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1) ⁺ | Increase muscle mass | Pursel et al. (1999) |
| Sloan-Kettering viruses (c-Ski) ⁺ | Increase muscle mass | Pursel et al. (1992) |
| Phytase ⁺ | Metabolize inorganic phosphorus | Golovan et al. (2001) |

TABLE 1. (Continued)

| Use/modification | Issue addressed/transgene activity | References |
|---|---|--|
| Delta 12 fatty acid desaturase ⁺ Heat-shock protein 70-kDa protein 2 (HSPA2) ⁺ B-Cell leukemia 2 (BCL2) ⁺ Myostatin pro-domain ⁺ | Spinach gene to change body composition Create animals resistant to heat stress Increase ovulation rate Increase muscle mass | Saeki et al. (2004) Chen et al. (2005) Guthrie et al. (2005) Mitchell and Wall (2008) |
| Tool pig | | |
| Enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) ⁺ | Cell tracking | Cabot et al. (2001), Park et al. (2001) |
| Enhanced blue fluorescent protein (eBFP) ⁺ , DsRed2 ⁺ | Cell tracking | Webster et al. (2005) |
| Kusabira-orange ⁺ | Cell tracking | Matsunari et al. (2008) |
| Tetracycline-inducible human-decay accelerating factor (TET-hDAF) ⁺ , tetracycline-inducible CD95 (TET-CD59) ⁺ | Tetracycline dependent expression | Kues et al. (2006) |
| Octamer-binding transcription factor 4 (Oct4)-eGFP ⁺ β -Galactosidase (LacZ) ⁺ | Germline-specific eGFP expression Cell tracking | Nowak-Imialek et al. (2009) Jackson et al. (2010) |
| eGFP-Proteasome subunit, alpha-type, 1 (eGFP-PSMA1) ⁺ | Green labeling of proteasomes | O'Gorman et al. (2010) |
| Technique demonstration | | |
| Knock out eGFP | KO of eGFP by zinc-finger nucleases | Whyte et al. (2011a) |
| α -1,3-Galactosyltransferase (GGTA1) ^{-/-} | KO of GGTA1 by using zinc-finger nucleases | Hauschild et al. (2010) |
| Phosphoglycerate kinase-eGFP (PGK-eGFP) ⁺ | Lental virus vectors | Hofmann et al. (2003) |
| Human-decay accelerating factor (hDAF) ⁺ | Sperm mediated gene transfer | Lavitrano et al. (1997) |
| Enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) ⁺ | Oocyte transduction | Cabot et al. (2001) |
| Cytomegalovirus enhancer/chicken beta-actin promoter::Venus (CAGGS-Venus) ⁺ | Sleeping beauty transposon-mediated transfection | Garrels et al. (2010) |
| PiggyBac-mediated transposition | Tetracycline-dependent expression of RFP | Kim et al. (2010) |
| Retinoic acid 8-stimulated mitochondrial-localized enhanced yellow fluorescent protein (STRA8-mEYFP) | Retinoic acid inducible expression | Sommer et al. (2010) |
| eGFP ⁺ | ICSI Transgenesis | Yong et al., (2006) |

^aDP-N-acetylglucosamine:alpha-6-D-mannoside-beta-1,2-N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase II.

vs. 12.5%). The authors hypothesize that this increased cloning success is related to the freshly reconstructed embryos having more time for proper genetic reprogramming. Additional optimized methods of swine genetic modification (Whyte et al., 2011a) and transfection (Ross et al., 2010) have reduced donor cell culture times in an effort to improve NT offspring viability. After transfer to recipients, inadequate placental development is frequently observed in NT-derived pigs (Niemann et al., 2008). Patterns of gene and protein expression in the extraembryonic membranes of NT pigs indicate improper development at the fetal-maternal interface (Whitworth et al., 2010), observations that imply a need for pharmacological interventions to improve development of the extraembryonic tissue. These advances have improved the ability to genetically modify porcine donor fibroblasts to produce cloned transgenic pigs to a state that a number of useful NT disease models exist, and are poised to have significant impacts in biomedicine and agriculture.

BIOMEDICAL APPLICATIONS

Cell Tracking

Some of the first "proof-of-principle" models of transgenic pigs included NT clones that expressed enhanced

green fluorescent protein (eGFP) (Park et al., 2001; Lai et al., 2002b). Since that time, pigs that express red fluorescent protein (Matsunari et al., 2008) and multi-gene fluorescent proteins (blue, green, and red) have been produced (Webster et al., 2005). Tissues from these transgenic swine are useful in biomedical research requiring genetically and phenotypically marked cells or organs. In one such study, cells isolated from fetal eGFP-transgenic pigs were used to evaluate the survival of porcine retinal progenitor cells as allografts in wild-type recipient pigs with damaged retinas (Klassen et al., 2008). Following transplantation, eGFP expression allowed histological visualization of cell integration in the recipient pigs, demonstrating the utility of these transgenic pigs as a large animal model.

Pig-to-Human Xenotransplantation

The first landmark swine model derived specifically for human health was in the field of xenotransplantation. The urgent need for replacement organs is unmet by human organ donations, with over 110,460 recipient candidates awaiting organ transplants in the United States (United Network for Organ Sharing, 2011). This has spurred a large scientific effort to make pig organs transplantable to humans. Rejection of pig organs by human recipients is caused by humoral and cell-mediated responses (reviewed

in Klymiuk et al., 2010). Hyperacute rejection (HAR) occurs within 24 hr of transplantation and results from antibody recognition of galactose α 1,3-galactose (α -Gal); epitopes synthesized by the enzyme α -1,3-galactosyltransferase (GGTA1). Acute humoral xenograft rejection (AHXR), usually begins after the first week of transplantation, and is caused by mismatched human leukocyte antigen (HLA) complex antigens that are present on all cells. To circumvent HAR, swine fibroblasts were produced with a knockout of the gene GGTA1. Production of cloned swine from these fibroblasts was a major victory in the search for human organ replacements (Lai et al., 2002a). To augment protection against HAR, cloned GGTA1 knockout swine were produced with a transgene overexpressing α 1,2-fucosyltransferase, which uses the same substrate that produces α -Gal, but instead produces a universally tolerated human antigen (Ramsoondar et al., 2003). Antibody-initiated complement activation is one dominant mechanism through which AHXR occurs. Transgenic cloned swine that overexpress the complement regulatory protein human-decay accelerating factor (hDAF) and an enzyme that modifies α -Gal, N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase III (GnT-III) have been developed to protect against AHXR and HAR, respectively (Fujimura et al., 2004). Swine with multiple genetic modifications (e.g., GGTA1 knockout combined with hDAF overexpression) appear to be the most promising route to widespread availability of organs for human transplant.

All pig breeds carry porcine endogenous retroviruses (PERVs) due to their integration in the swine genome (Patience et al., 2001). Although no known swine disease has been definitively attributed to PERVs, elevated viral expression in melanomas and pulmonary metastasis-derived cell cultures from selectively bred Munich miniature swine has been observed (Dieckhoff et al., 2007). The function of the virus in tumor development is still unclear. The greater concern for PERVs is the risk of infection of human cells during xenotransplantation of pig organs to humans, presenting a major hurdle to the use of pig organs in human recipients. To inhibit infection of human cells with PERVs, Dieckhoff et al. (2008) transfected porcine fibroblasts with a lentiviral vector expressing a short hairpin RNA (shRNA). The expression of a specific shRNA initiates the RNA interference (RNAi) cascade (Whitehead et al., 2009), prompting a significant inhibition of PERV expression in cloned piglets. If this level of PERV inhibition is sufficient to prevent human infection, these pigs may be combined with other genetically modifications described previously to advance successful organ xenotransplantation.

Cardiovascular Disease

Swine are historically considered an excellent model for the human cardiovascular system (Turk and Laughlin, 2004). As a potential dietary source of essential and beneficial fatty acids, genetically modified pigs have been developed to express Δ 12 fatty acid desaturase (FAD2) from spinach (*Spinacia oleracea*; Saeki et al., 2004) to increase linoleic acid, as well as pigs expressing a humanized

Caenorhabditis elegans gene, fat-1, encoding an *n*-3 fatty acid desaturase to increase the *n*-3/*n*-6 fatty acid ratio in meat (Lai et al., 2006). These pig models can also be used to examine the cardiovascular effects of an altered *n*-3/*n*-6 fatty acid ratio in the swine themselves. Another important regulator of vascular health is the signaling molecule, nitric oxide (NO). Transgenic swine that overexpress endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS; Hao et al., 2006; Whyte and Laughlin, 2010), responsible for producing NO in the inner lining of blood vessels, will increase our understanding of the complex regulation of vasodilation and potential therapies for diseases related to endothelial dysfunction. Vascular signaling by NO is closely associated with endothelial hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), a molecule that plays key roles in cardiovascular regulation (Drouin and Thorin, 2009) and age-accelerated vascular disorders (Collins et al., 2009). To further explore the vascular role of H₂O₂, transgenic Yucatan minipigs that overexpress human catalase in the endothelium were developed (Whyte et al., 2011b). These large-animal cardiovascular models will enable real-time measurement of functional parameters including blood flow, temperature, tissue oxygenation, perfusion and diffusion that are difficult or impossible to monitor in similar genetically modified rodent models.

Cystic Fibrosis

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is an autosomal recessive disease affecting about 30,000 individuals in the United States (reviewed in Messick, 2010). CF is caused by mutations in the gene that encodes for the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) anion channel. Symptoms of CF arise from faulty water and ion transport across epithelial tissues, leading to inadequate hydration of mucous secretions. These thick secretions in organs such as the lungs initiate progressive cycles of infection and inflammation, the main cause of morbidity and mortality. Over 1,600 mutations related to CF have been described, and although several CFTR knockout mouse models have been developed, none of them develop the obstructive lung disease symptoms seen in humans (Bragonzi, 2010). In an effort to produce an accurate CF model, pigs were cloned from fetal fibroblasts in which the CFTR gene was mutated to produce null and Δ F508 (the most common genetic mutation in CF) alleles (Rogers et al., 2008; Welsh et al., 2009). These newborn CF pigs display defective chloride transport, meconium ileus, pancreatic destruction, and early focal biliary cirrhosis that occur in newborn humans with CF (Meyerholz et al., 2010). In contrast to CF mouse models, these CF pigs develop the principal characteristics of human CF lung disease (Stoltz et al., 2010; Ostedgaard et al., 2011). Recently, the phenotype of CF pigs resolved a long-misunderstood question about the order of events in the inflammation/infection pathogenic cycle. The initial event displayed in CF pigs is impaired bacterial elimination from the lungs, followed by a cascade of inflammation and pathology (Stoltz et al., 2010). This key finding about CF pathogenesis provides new options for CF therapy and prevention.

Alzheimer's Disease

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a progressive manifestation of dementia that typically begins with subtle and poorly recognized failure of memory, gradually becoming more severe, and eventually, incapacitating the patient (reviewed in Avramopoulos, 2009). The genetic basis for familial, autosomal dominant AD (FAD) lies in mutations to the genes PSEN1, PSEN2, and the amyloid precursor protein gene (APP). These mutations are associated with increased production of proteolytic fragment A β which aggregates into fibrils and toxic oligomeric forms, initiating synaptic damage and neurodegeneration (Walsh et al., 2005). A genetically modified pig model for FAD was developed based on similarities in size, physical features, and rate of growth between the porcine and human brain (Kragh et al., 2009). Porcine fibroblasts hemizygous (carrying a single copy) for a neuron-specific splice variant of human APP were generated via transfection with a cDNA construct, followed by NT cloning. This splice-variant carries an AD-causing dominant mutation known as the Swedish mutation (Kragh et al., 2009). The transgene was expressed in the brain, but the authors speculate that it may take until the age of 1–2 years before A β accumulates to symptomatic levels in the porcine brain (Götz and Götz, 2009). Most (95%) AD cases of later onset, however, do not follow Mendelian inheritance, despite showing significant heritability (Avramopoulos, 2009). Pig models that address the genetic foundations of other forms of AD could provide translational data for early detection and new treatment in patients.

Spinal Muscular Atrophy

Spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) is an autosomal-recessive neurodegenerative disease, and the most common genetic cause of infant mortality (reviewed in Prior, 2010). Clinical manifestations of SMA include motor neuron loss and skeletal muscle wasting. SMA is caused by a deletion or mutation of the survival motor neuron (SMN1) gene. A highly conserved gene (SMN2) is also present exclusively in humans. Mutations in SMN2 have no clinical consequence if SMN1 is retained. In the case where SMN1 is mutated, the disease severity correlates inversely with SMN2 gene copy number, although SMN2 alone cannot prevent the disease.

A recent porcine animal model for SMA was developed specifically to evaluate the efficacy, pharmacology, and toxicology of SMA therapeutics (Lorson et al., 2011). The authors highlighted the importance of demonstrating the conservation of alternative splicing events of both human SMN1 and SMN2 in the pig in order to produce a successful transgenic model of SMA. The porcine model of human SMA is being produced in three stages: First, a knockout of the SMN allele produced SMN^{+/-} pigs. Next, a human SMN2 transgene is being added to the pig genome (currently in progress at the time of this review). Finally, SMN^{-/-}; SMN2 pigs will be generated through breeding and a second round of nuclear transfer (Lorson et al., 2011). Nuclear transfer of SMN targeted fetal fibroblasts produced

healthy SMN^{+/-} piglets that, like their human SMN^{+/-} counterparts, are phenotypically normal. Efforts are underway to introduce the human SMN2 transgene into swine fetal fibroblasts, leading to completion of the pig model of SMA. Effective SMA therapeutics do not currently exist, highlighting the value of a genetically modified pig model for this disease.

Diabetes

Another transgenic swine model for human disease is for the phenotype of diabetes mellitus (Umeyama et al., 2009). Diabetes mellitus (DM) is defined by the American Diabetes Association as a group of metabolic diseases characterized by hyperglycemia resulting from defects in insulin secretion, insulin action, or both (reviewed in Raslova, 2010). Type 1 DM results from autoimmune destruction of β -cells in the pancreas, while Type 2 DM is a generalized metabolic disorder resulting from reduced tissue sensitivity to insulin. Chronic hyperglycemia caused by absent or ineffective insulin is associated with long-term damage to organs, especially the eyes, kidney, nerves, heart and blood vessels. Type 2 DM represents more than 80% of the DM cases, and approximately 57 million Americans are classified as "prediabetic" (Pour and Dagogo-Jack, 2010). Type 3 DM is a maturity-onset diabetes of the young (MODY3), an early onset noninsulin-dependent type of diabetes characterized by autosomal dominant inheritance.

A transgenic pig model for Type 3 DM was produced based on a mutation in the human hepatocyte nuclear factor 1 α (HNF-1 α) gene (Umeyama et al., 2009). The mutated HNF-1 α construct was delivered by ICSI-mediated gene transfer followed by NT. Although 22 live transgenic piglets were farrowed, close to 80% of these clones died within 10 days of birth. The role of transgenesis and the cloning procedure in this mortality could not be determined. The surviving piglets, however, exhibited a diabetic phenotype, including high blood glucose and poor insulin secretion from the Islets of Langerhans. Although pig models of diabetes have previously been generated by chemical destruction of the β -cells, these cloned pigs form the first transgenic model where pigs exhibit the pathophysiological characteristics of diabetes. More recently, transgenic pigs expressing an impaired glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) receptor were generated via lentiviral transgenesis (Renner et al., 2010). Normally, insulin secretion is induced by GIP resulting from hyperosmolarity of glucose in the duodenum. This pig model exhibited significantly reduced oral glucose tolerance, demonstrating an essential role of GIP for insulin secretion. Additionally, proliferation of β -cells and physiological expansion of β -cell mass were significantly reduced in these transgenic pigs, closely resembling the characteristic features of human type 2 diabetes.

Pharmaceutical Production

The high cost of purified proteins required to treat diseases like hemophilia limits treatment in most developed countries, and is prohibitive in developing nations (Fischer

et al., 2002). The predominant methods of isolation of proteins from human plasma donations or from transgenic proteins produced in mammalian cell culture are costly and inefficient. For instance, only 10% of factor VIII present in 1 L of donated plasma can be isolated (Mannucci, 2004). As an alternative, pig mammary bioreactors would be an excellent system for large-scale production of therapeutic proteins due to the high volume of milk that can be harvested per day (2–3 L) and the quality of post-translational processing of complex proteins (Van Cott et al., 2004). It is estimated that the amount of transgenic protein isolated per milliliter of porcine milk, only 60 transgenic pigs would be required to supply the *entire* amount of factor IX needed in the United States (Van Cott et al., 2004). To put this in perspective, to isolate the same quantity of protein, 1,200,000 L of plasma would be required, the equivalent of approximately 1,600,000 plasma donations.

There are several reports of using pigs to produce human recombinant proteins, by either pronuclear injection or NT, such as human factor VIII (Paleyanda et al., 1997) and IX (Van Cott et al., 1999), hemoglobin (Swanson et al., 1992), human protein C (Van Cott et al., 2001), human erythropoietin (Park et al., 2006), human granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor (Park et al., 2008), and von Willibrand factor (Lee et al., 2009). For such proteins to be used as replacements in human patients, herds of transgenic swine must be isolated in pathogen-free facilities, and there must be routine testing of isolated protein lots for quality and purity (Van Cott et al., 2004).

AGRICULTURAL APPLICATIONS

Meat production, disease resistance, and efficient use of feed are the areas of agriculture that stand to benefit most from genetically modified swine production. The use of genetically modified pigs as a source of higher quality, more efficiently produced food with reduced environmental impact tends to fall under greater governmental and public scrutiny than the biomedical models previously discussed. This is likely due to the fact that biomedical pig models are restricted to the laboratory, whereas transgenic pigs in agriculture would present direct entry of transgenic material into the human food chain. It is prudent to assess the safety of any product entering the marketplace for human consumption, but genetically modified crops and animals are held to a higher approval standard (for recommendations, see FDA-CVM, 2009). It is agreed that the risks must be addressed, but the assessment should constitute a *true* risk-benefit analysis (as outlined by Murray and Maga, 2010) that considers the risk, if any, against the potential benefits and the cost to the consumer, the producer, the environment and to the animals themselves if the technology is *not* used.

Meat Production

Early work by Pursel et al. (1990) showed that genes for growth-related hormones (e.g., growth hormone, insulin-

like growth factor) could be expressed in pigs produced by DNA microinjection. These transgenic pigs had markedly reduced subcutaneous fat and variable growth enhancement relative to control pigs, but also exhibited problems such as fatigue, gastric ulcers, and low libido (although fertile). These effects were suggested to be due to the growth hormone produced, and suggestions were made to improve the health of the pigs generated.

Myostatin (MSTN) is the only secreted protein demonstrated to negatively influence muscle mass in vivo (Long et al., 2009). Targeting this gene could result in enhanced muscle growth in pigs, yielding more lean meat per animal. To date, no myostatin knockout pigs have been generated, but porcine fetal fibroblasts transfected with a MSTN targeting vector have been reported to have significantly lower expression of MSTN mRNA compared to control fibroblasts (Li et al., 2009). This suggests the potential for cloned MSTN-knockout pigs derived from these fibroblasts to have more muscle growth than wild-type pigs.

Enhanced production can also be achieved by improving the milk quality and/or amount available to newborn pigs. Wheeler et al. (2001) produced transgenic sows that express bovine α -lactalbumin in the mammary gland, which leads to an increase in milk production. Weight gain was higher in piglets suckling from α -lactalbumin gilts as compared to piglets suckling from controls.

Disease and Stress Resistance

Model pigs with enhanced defenses against pathogenic or environmental stresses are few, at present, but genetic modification could improve survivability and ultimately meat quality. Under high heat conditions, finishing pigs have reduced growth performance and poorer carcass characteristics due to their limited thermoregulation capacity (Spencer et al., 2005). To improve pig thermotolerance, transgenic pigs overexpressing porcine heat shock protein HSP70.2 were produced by pronuclear microinjection (Chen et al., 2005). The survival rate of primary fibroblast cells under 45°C culture conditions was significantly higher from the transgenic pig compared to the nontransgenic pig. The authors speculate that transgenic pigs overexpressing HSP70 might be more resistant to summer temperatures, thereby reducing mortality and economic loss in animal production.

Feed Efficiency

Increasing the absorption of nutrients from pig diets has the dual benefit of efficient food usage while reducing manure-based environmental pollution. The majority of phosphorus in livestock grain feed is in the form of natural phytate, a more stable and complex phosphorus compound that pigs are not able to digest completely, leading to large quantities of phosphorus in the pig manure (Emiola et al., 2009). To address these concerns, Golovan et al. (2001) developed transgenic pigs by pronuclear microinjection that express a protease-resistant *Escherichia coli* phytase transgene in the pig salivary gland. The transgenic phytase

functions under the low-pH conditions of the pig digestive tract. Variability in phytase activity among transgenic pig lines was attributed to the positional effect of the transgene insertion. This genetic modification resulted in essentially complete digestion of dietary phytate and reduced fecal phosphorus excretion by up to 75%. Transgenic model pigs such as this present a new biological approach for reducing waste/pollution in swine farming and decrease the need for supplemental nutrients to be added to feeds.

CONCLUSION

The number of genetically modified pigs produced in the last 15 years has grown exponentially as techniques have been standardized and become globally accessible to more researchers. The combination of emerging technologies for gene modification with the completion of the swine genome will bring to reality model pigs that can provide a safe, reliable source of urgently needed organs and therapeutic proteins for humans, and provide realistic representations of human disease. Production pigs that can adapt to a variety of environmental conditions while being less susceptible to acquiring and/or transmitting disease will help to meet the nutritional demands of the ever-expanding world population. Surely, the greatest advances from genetically modified pigs will arise from ideas and concepts not yet envisioned.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The efforts of several collaborating research groups lead to the creation of the genetically modified pigs described in this review. These projects would not have been possible but for the generous support of the National Institutes of Health (R01 RR13438, U42 RR018877, R21 NS59510, P01 HL51670, and U01 HL102288) and the Food for the 21st Century (University of Missouri).

REFERENCES

- Avramopoulos D. 2009. Genetics of Alzheimer's disease: Recent advances. *Genome Med* 1:34.
- Bendixen EK, Danielsen M, Larsen K, Bendixen C. 2010. Advances in porcine genomics and proteomics—A toolbox for developing the pig as a model organism for molecular biomedical research. *Brief Funct Genomics* 9:208–219.
- Beschoner W, Joshi SS, Prather RS, Schieber T, Thompson SC, Yang T, Zhou L, Mirvish S. 2003a. Selective and conditional depletion of pig cells with transgenic pigs and specific liposomes. *Xenotransplantation* 10:497.
- Beschoner W, Prather RS, Sosa C, Thompson SC, Schieber T, Yang T. 2003b. Transgenic pigs expressing the suicide gene thymidine kinase in the liver. *Xenotransplantation* 10:530.
- Bethhauser J, Forsberg E, Augenstein M, Childs L, Eilertsen K, Enos J, Forsythe T, Golueke P, Jurgella G, Koppang R, Lesmeister T, Mallon K, Mell G, Misica P, Pace M, Pfister-Genskow M, Strelchenko N, Voelker G, Watt S, Thompson S, Bishop M. 2000. Production of cloned pigs from in vitro systems. *Nat Biotechnol* 18:1055–1059.
- Bleck GT, White BR, Miller DJ, Wheeler MB. 1998. Production of bovine alpha-lactalbumin in the milk of transgenic pigs. *J Anim Sci* 76:3072–3078.
- Bolund LA, Kragh PM, Sorensen CB, Corydon TJ, Mikkelsen JG, Bentzon JF, Falk E. 2010. Pig model for atherosclerosis. US Patent Application 20100138939.
- Bragonzi A. 2010. Murine models of acute and chronic lung infection with cystic fibrosis pathogens. *Int J Med Microbiol* 300:584–593.
- Brinster RL, Chen HY, Trumbauer M, Senear AW, Warren R, Palmiter RD. 1981. Somatic expression of herpes thymidine kinase in mice following injection of a fusion gene into eggs. *Cell* 27:223–231.
- Cabot RA, Kühholzer B, Chan AW, Lai L, Park KW, Chong KY, Schatten G, Murphy CN, Abeydeera LR, Day BN, Prather RS. 2001. Transgenic pigs produced using in vitro matured oocytes infected with a retroviral vector. *Anim Biotechnol* 12:205–214.
- Capecchi MR. 2000. How close are we to implementing gene targeting in animals other than the mouse? *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 97:956–957.
- Chen M, Tu C, Huang J, Lin J, Tzang B, Hseu T, Lee W. 2005. Augmentation of thermotolerance in primary skin fibroblasts from a transgenic pig overexpressing the porcine hsp70.2. *Asian Aust J Anim Sci* 18:107–112.
- Choi KM, Jin DH, Hong SP, Yoo JY, Kim SH, Park YC, Yun YJ, Park KW, Seol JG. 2010. Production of transgenic cloned miniature pigs with membrane-bound human Fas ligand (FasL) by somatic cell nuclear transfer. Available from <http://hdl.handle.net/10101/npre.2010.4539.1>
- Collins AR, Lyon CJ, Xia X, Liu JZ, Tangirala RK, Yin F, Boyadjian R, Bikineyeva A, Praticò D, Harrison DG, Hsueh WA. 2009. Age-accelerated atherosclerosis correlates with failure to upregulate antioxidant genes. *Circ Res* 104:e42–e54.
- Diamond LE, Quinn CM, Martin MJ, Lawson J, Platt JL, Logan JS. 2001. A human CD46 transgenic pig model system for the study of discordant xenotransplantation. *Transplantation* 71:132–142.
- Dieckhoff B, Puhlmann J, Büscher K, Hafner-Marx A, Herbach N, Bannert N, Büttner M, Wanke R, Kurth R, Denner J. 2007. Expression of porcine endogenous retroviruses (PERVs) in melanomas of Munich miniature swine (MMS) TROLL. *Vet Microbiol* 123:53–68.
- Dieckhoff B, Petersen B, Kues WA, Kurth R, Niemann H, Denner J. 2008. Knockdown of porcine endogenous retrovirus (PERV) expression by PERV-specific shRNA in transgenic pigs. *Xenotransplantation* 15:36–45.

- Drouin A, Thorin E. 2009. Flow-induced dilation is mediated by Akt-dependent activation of endothelial nitric oxide synthase-derived hydrogen peroxide in mouse cerebral arteries. *Stroke* 40:1827–1833.
- Dwyer KM, Deaglio S, Crikis S, Gao W, Enyoji K, Strom TB, Cowan PJ, D'Apice AJF, Robson SC. 2007. Salutary roles of CD39 in transplantation. *Transpl Rev* 21:54–63.
- El-Beirouthi M, Albornoz MS, Martinez-Diaz MA, Zadworny D, Agellon LB, Bordignon V. 2009. Production of cloned pigs expressing apoprotein E-specific small hairpin (shRNA). *Reprod Fertil Dev* 22:369.
- Emiola A, Akinremi O, Slominski B, Nyachoti CM. 2009. Nutrient utilization and manure P excretion in growing pigs fed corn-barley-soybean based diets supplemented with microbial phytase. *Anim Sci J* 80:19–26.
- Food FDA-CVM Drug Administration Center for Veterinary Medicine. 2009. Guidance for industry: Regulation of genetically engineered animals containing heritable recombinant DNA constructs. Rockville, MD. pp 1–25.
- Fischer K, van der Bom JG, Molho P, Negrier C, Mauser-Bunschoten EP, Roosendaal G, De Kleijn P, Grobbee DE, van den Berg HM. 2002. Prophylactic versus on-demand treatment strategies for severe haemophilia: A comparison of costs and long-term outcome. *Haemophilia* 8:745–752.
- Fodor WL, Williams BL, Matis LA, Madri JA, Rollins SA, Knight JW, Velander WH, Squinto SP. 1994. Expression of a functional human complement inhibitor in a transgenic pig as a model for the prevention of xenogeneic hyperacute organ rejection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 91:11153–11157.
- Fujimura T, Kurome M, Murakami H, Takahagi Y, Matsunami K, Shimanuki S, Suzuki K, Miyagawa S, Shirakura R, Shigehisa T, Nagashima H. 2004. Cloning of the transgenic pigs expressing human decay accelerating factor and N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase III. *Cloning Stem Cells* 6:294–301.
- Garrels W, Holler S, Struckmann C, Taylor U, Ehling C, Rath D, Niemann H, Ivics Z, Kues WA. 2010. Analysis of fluorophore-expressing spermatozoa from transgenic boars produced by sleeping beauty transposition. *Reprod Fertil Dev* 23:260.
- Golovan SP, Meidinger RG, Ajakaiye A, Cottrill M, Wiederkehr MZ, Barney DJ, Plante C, Pollard JW, Fan MZ, Hayes MA, Laursen J, Hjorth JP, Hacker RR, Phillips JP, Forsberg CW. 2001. Pigs expressing salivary phytase produce low-phosphorus manure. *Nat Biotechnol* 19:741–745.
- Götz J, Götz NN. 2009. Animal models for Alzheimer's disease and frontotemporal dementia: A perspective. *ASN Neuro* 1:pii:e00019.
- Groenen MAM, Schook LB, Archibald AL. 2011. Pig genomics. In: Rothschild MF, Ruvinsky A, editors. *The genetics of the pig*. Wallingford: CABI. pp 179–199.
- Guthrie HD, Wall RJ, Pursel VG, Foster-Frey JA, Donovan DM, Dawson HD, Welch GR, Garrett WG. 2005. Follicular expression of a human beta-cell leukaemia/lymphoma-2 (Bcl-2) transgene does not decrease atresia or increase ovulation rate in swine. *Reprod Fertil Dev* 17:457–466.
- Hammer RE, Pursel VG, Rexroad CE, Wall RJ, Bolt DJ, Ebert KM, Palmiter RD, Brinster RL. 1985. Production of transgenic rabbits, sheep and pigs by microinjection. *Nature* 315:680–683.
- Hao YH, Yong HY, Murphy CN, Wax D, Samuel M, Rieke A, Lai L, Liu Z, Durtschi DC, Welbern VR, Price EM, McAllister RM, Turk JR, Laughlin MH, Prather RS, Rucker EB. 2006. Production of endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) over-expressing piglets. *Transgenic Res* 15:739–750.
- Hauschild J, Queisser AL, Carnwath JW, Cost G, Santiago Y, Rebar E, Gregory P, Niemann H, Petersen B. 2010. Genetic targeting of the porcine alpha1,3-galactosyltransferase gene in fetal fibroblasts cell using zinc finger nucleases. *Reprod Fertil Dev* 23:260–261.
- Hofmann A, Kessler B, Ewerling S, Weppert M, Vogg B, Ludwig H, Stojkovic M, Boelhaue M, Brem G, Wolf E, Pfeifer A. 2003. Efficient transgenesis in farm animals by lentiviral vectors. *EMBO Rep* 4:1054–1058.
- Honaramooz A, Cui X, Kim N, Dobrinski I. 2008. Porcine embryos produced after intracytoplasmic sperm injection using xenogeneic pig sperm from neonatal testis tissue grafted in mice. *Reprod Fertil Dev* 20:802–807.
- Huang S, Chen Y, Teng S, Chen I, Ho L, Tu C. 2006. Protein expression of lymphocytes in HLA-DR transgenic pigs by a proteomic approach. *Proteomics* 6:5815–5825.
- Jackson LR, Caballero I, Tsai S, Piedrahita JA. 2010. Development of a transgenic pig ubiquitously expressing B-Galactosidase (LacZ) using amniotic fluid mesenchymal stem cells (AFS) as nuclear donors. *Transgenic Res* 19:149–150.
- Kim SJ, Koo OJ, Park SJ, Moon JH, Kwon DK, Kang JT, Gomez MN, Atikuzzaman M, Lee BC, Jang G. 2010. Inducible red fluorescent protein (RFP) expression in porcine fibroblasts and transgenic cloned embryos using piggyBac transposition. *Reprod Fertil Dev* 23:262.
- Klassen H, Warfvinge K, Schwartz PH, Kiilgaard JF, Shamie N, Jiang C, Samuel MS, Scherfig E, Prather RS, Young MJ. 2008. Isolation of progenitor cells from GFP-transgenic pigs and transplantation to the retina of allorecipients. *Cloning Stem Cells* 10:391–402.
- Klose R, Kemter E, Bedke T, Bittmann I, Kelsser B, Endres R, Pfeffer K, Schwitzer R, Wolf E. 2005. Expression of biologically active human TRAIL in transgenic pigs. *Transplantation* 80:222–230.
- Klymiuk N, Baehr A, Kessler B, Kurome M, Wuensch A, Herbach N, Wanke R, Nagashima H, Wolf E. 2009. High-level expression of LEA29Y in pancreatic islets of transgenic pigs. *Reprod Fertil Dev* 22:370.
- Klymiuk N, Aigner B, Brem G, Wolf E. 2010. Genetic modification of pigs as organ donors for xenotransplantation. *Mol Reprod Dev* 77:209–221.

- Koike C, Kannagi R, Takuma Y, Akutsu F, Hayashi S, Hiraiwa N, Kadomatsu K, Muramatsu T, Yamakawa H, Nagai T, Kobayashi S, Okada H, Nakashima I, Uchida K, Yokoyama I, Takagi H. 1996. Introduction of $\alpha(1,2)$ -fucosyltransferase and its effect on a-Gal epitopes in transgenic pig. *Xenotransplantation* 3: 81–86.
- Kragh PM, Nielsen AL, Li J, Du Y, Lin L, Schmidt M, Bøgh IB, Holm IE, Jakobsen JE, Johansen MG, Purup S, Bolund L, Vajta G, Jørgensen AL. 2009. Hemizygous minipigs produced by random gene insertion and handmade cloning express the Alzheimer's disease-causing dominant mutation APPsw. *Transgenic Res* 18:545–558.
- Kues WA, Schwinzer R, Wirth D, Verhoeven E, Lemme E, Herrmann D, Barg-Kues B, Hauser H, Wonigeit K, Niemann H. 2006. Epigenetic silencing and tissue independent expression of a novel tetracycline inducible system in double-transgenic pigs. *FASEB J* 20:1200–1202.
- Lai L, Kolber-Simonds D, Park KW, Cheong HT, Greenstein JL, Im GS, Samuel M, Bonk A, Rieke A, Day BN, Murphy CN, Carter DB, Hawley RJ, Prather RS. 2002a. Production of alpha-1,3-galactosyltransferase knockout pigs by nuclear transfer cloning. *Science (New York, NY)* 295:1089–1092.
- Lai L, Park KW, Cheong HT, Kühholzer B, Samuel M, Bonk A, Im GS, Rieke A, Day BN, Murphy CN, Carter DB, Prather RS. 2002b. Transgenic pig expressing the enhanced green fluorescent protein produced by nuclear transfer using colchicine-treated fibroblasts as donor cells. *Mol Reprod Dev* 62: 300–306.
- Lai L, Kang JX, Li R, Wang J, Witt WT, Yong HY, Hao Y, Wax DM, Murphy CN, Rieke A, Samuel M, Linville ML, Korte SW, Evans RW, Starzl TE, Prather RS, Dai Y. 2006. Generation of cloned transgenic pigs rich in omega-3 fatty acids. *Nat Biotechnol* 24:435–436.
- Langford GA, Yannoutsos N, Cozzi E, Lancaster R, Elsome K, Chen P, Richards A, White DJ. 1994. Production of pigs transgenic for human decay accelerating factor. *Transplant Proc* 26:1400–1401.
- Lavitrano M, Forni M, Varzi V, Pucci L, Bacci ML, Di Stefano C, Fioretti D, Zoraqi G, Moiola B, Rossi M, Lazzereschi D, Stoppacciaro A, Seren E, Alfani D, Cortesini R, Frati L. 1997. Sperm-mediated gene transfer: Production of pigs transgenic for a human regulator of complement activation. *Transplant Proc* 29:3508–3509.
- Lavitrano M, Busnelli M, Cerrito MG, Giovannoni R, Manzini S, Vargiolu A. 2005. Sperm-mediated gene transfer. *Reprod Fertil Dev* 18:19–23.
- Lee HG, Lee HC, Kim SW, Lee P, Chung HJ, Lee YK, Han JH, Hwang IS, Yoo JI, Kim YK, Kim HT, Lee HT, Chang WK, Park JK. 2009. Production of recombinant human von Willebrand factor in the milk of transgenic pigs. *J Reprod Dev* 55:484–490.
- Li J, Yu H, Yuan Y, Liu D. 2009. Construction of MSTN knock-out porcine fetal fibroblast. *Sci Agric Sinica* 42:2972–2977.
- Lindsay M, Gil G, Cadiz A, Velander WH, Zhang C, van Cott KE. 2004. Purification of recombinant DNA-derived factor IX produced in transgenic pig milk and fractionation of active and inactive subpopulations. *J Chromatogr A* 1026:149–157.
- Long D, Zhang K, Chen D, Ding X, Yu B. 2009. Effects of active immunization against myostatin on carcass quality and expression of the myostatin gene in pigs. *Anim Sci J* 80:585–590.
- Lorson MA, Spate LD, Samuel MS, Murphy CN, Lorson CL, Prather RS, Wells KD. 2011. Disruption of the Survival Motor Neuron (SMN) gene in pigs using ssDNA. *Transgenic Res* [Epub ahead of print]. DOI: 10.1007/s11248-011-9496-8.
- Mannucci PM. 2004. The future of hemophilia treatment. *Haematologica* 89:774–776.
- Martin C, Plat M, Nerrière-Daguin V, Coulon F, Uzbekova S, Venturi E, Condé F, Hermel JM, Hantraye P, Tesson L, Anegon I, Melchior B, Peschanski M, Le Mauff B, Boeffard F, Sergent-Tanguy S, Neveu I, Naveilhan P, Soullillou JP, Terqui M, Brachet P, Vanhove B. 2005. Transgenic expression of CTLA4-Ig by fetal pig neurons for xenotransplantation. *Transgenic Res* 14:373–384.
- Martinez-Diaz MA, Che L, Albornoz M, Seneda MM, Collis D, Coutinho ARS, El-Beirouthi N, Laurin D, Zhao X, Bordignon V. 2010. Pre- and postimplantation development of swine-cloned embryos derived from fibroblasts and bone marrow cells after inhibition of histone deacetylases. *Cell Reprogram* 12:85–94.
- Matsunari H, Onodera M, Tada N, Mochizuki H, Karasawa S, Haruyama E, Nakayama N, Saito H, Ueno S, Kurome M, Miyawaki A, Nagashima H. 2008. Transgenic-cloned pigs systemically expressing red fluorescent protein, Kusabira-Orange. *Cloning Stem Cells* 10:313–323.
- Mendicino M, Ramsoundar J, Phelps C, Vaught T, Ball S, Leroith T, Monahan J, Chen S, Dandro A, Boone J, Jobst P, Vance A, Wertz N, Bergman Z, Sun XZ, Polejaeva I, Butler J, Dai Y, Ayares D, Wells K. 2010. Generation of antibody- and B cell-deficient pigs by targeted disruption of the J-region gene segment of the heavy chain locus. *Transgenic Res* 20:625–641.
- Messick J. 2010. A 21st-century approach to cystic fibrosis: Optimizing outcomes across the disease spectrum. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 51:S1–S7; quiz 3 p following S7.
- Meyerholz DK, Stoltz DA, Namati E, Ramachandran S, Pezzulo AA, Smith AR, Rector MV, Suter MJ, Kao S, McLennan G, Tearney GJ, Zabner J, McCray PB, Jr., Welsh MJ. 2010. Loss of CFTR function produces abnormalities in tracheal development in neonatal pigs and young children. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 182:1251–1261.
- Mitchell A, Wall R. 2008. Body composition of transgenic pigs expressing the myostatin pro domain. *J Anim Sci* 86:423.
- Miyagawa S, Murakami H, Murase A, Nakai R, Koma M, Koyota S, Matsunami K, Takahagi Y, Fujimura T, Shigehisa T, Nagashima H, Shirakura R, Taniguchi N. 2001. Transgenic pigs with human N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase III. *Transplant Proc* 33:742–743.

- Murray JD, Maga EA. 2010. Is there a risk from not using GE animals? *Transgenic Res* 19:357–361.
- Nakai M, Kaneko H, Somfai T, Maedomari N, Ozawa M, Noguchi J, Ito J, Kashiwazaki N, Kikuchi K. 2010. Production of viable piglets for the first time using sperm derived from ectopic testicular xenografts. *Reproduction* 139:331–335.
- Naruse K, Ishikawa H, Kawano H, Ueda H, Kurome M, Miyazaki K, Endo M, Sawasaki T, Nagashima H, Makuuchi M. 2005. Production of a transgenic pig expressing human albumin and enhanced green fluorescent protein. *J Reprod Dev* 51:539–546.
- Niemann H. 2004. Transgenic pigs expressing plant genes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101:7211–7212.
- Niemann H, Tian XC, King WA, Lee RSF. 2008. Epigenetic reprogramming in embryonic and foetal development upon somatic cell nuclear transfer cloning. *Reproduction (Cambridge, England)* 135:151–163.
- Nowak-Imialek M, Kues WA, Petersen B, Lucas-Hahn A, Herrmann D, Lemme E, Oropeza M, Carnwath JW, Niemann H. 2009. Generation of Oct4-EGFP transgenic pigs for monitoring reprogramming and pluripotency. *Reprod Fertil Dev* 22:371–372.
- O’Gorman CW, Zhao J, Samuel MS, Walters EM, Prather RS, Sutovsky P, Sutovsky M, Wells KD. 2010. Construction of a genetically engineered pig expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP)-labelled proteasomes. *Reprod Fertil Dev* 23:263.
- Onishi A, Iwamoto M, Akita T, Mikawa S, Takeda K, Awata T, Hanada H, Perry AC. 2000. Pig cloning by microinjection of fetal fibroblast nuclei. *Science (New York, NY)* 289:1188–1190.
- Oropeza M, Peterson B, Hornen N, Herrmann D, Niemann H. 2008. Generation of human A20 gene-transgenic porcine fetal fibroblasts for somatic cell nuclear transfer. *Reprod Fertil Dev* 20:233.
- Ostedgaard LS, Meyerholz DK, Chen JH, Pezzulo AA, Karp PH, Rokhlina T, Ernst SE, Hanfland RA, Reznikov LR, Ludwig PS, Rogan MP, Davis GJ, Dohrn CL, Wohlford-Lenane C, Taft PJ, Rector MV, Hornick E, Nassar BS, Samuel M, Zhang Y, Richter SS, Uc A, Shilyansky J, Prather RS, McCray PB, Jr., Zabner J, Welsh MJ, Stoltz DA. 2011. The Δ F508 mutation causes CFTR misprocessing and cystic fibrosis-like disease in pigs. *Sci Transl Med* 3:74ra24.
- Paleyanda RK, Velander WH, Lee TK, Scandella DH, Gwazdauskas FC, Knight JW, Hoyer LW, Drohan WN, Lubon H. 1997. Transgenic pigs produce functional human factor VIII in milk. *Nat Biotechnol* 15:971–975.
- Park KW, Cheong HT, Lai L, Im GS, Kühholzer B, Bonk A, Samuel M, Rieke A, Day BN, Murphy CN, Carter DB, Prather RS. 2001. Production of nuclear transfer-derived swine that express the enhanced green fluorescent protein. *Anim Biotechnol* 12:173–181.
- Park JK, Lee YK, Lee P, Chung HJ, Kim S, Lee HG, Seo MK, Han JH, Park CG, Kim HT, Kim YK, Min KS, Kim JH, Lee HT, Chang WK. 2006. Recombinant human erythropoietin produced in milk of transgenic pigs. *J Biotechnol* 122:362–371.
- Park KW, Choi KM, Hong SP, Han GS, Yoo JY, Jin DI, Seol JG, Park CS. 2008. Production of transgenic re-cloned piglets harboring the human granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor (hGM-CSF) gene from porcine fetal fibroblasts by nuclear transfer. *Theriogenology* 70:1431–1438.
- Patience C, Switzer WM, Takeuchi Y, Griffiths DJ, Goward ME, Heneine W, Stoye JP, Weiss RA. 2001. Multiple groups of novel retroviral genomes in pigs and related species. *J Virol* 75:2771–2775.
- Petersen B, Lucas-Hahn A, Lemme E, Herrmann D, Barg-Kues B, Carnwath JW, Ramackers W, Schuetzler W, Tiede A, Friedrich L, Schwinzer R, Winkler M, Niemann H. 2007. Production and characterization of pigs transgenic for human thrombomodulin. *Xenotransplantation* 14:371–371.
- Petersen B, Lucas-Hahn A, Herrmann D, Kues WA, Ramackers W, Bergmann S, Carnwath JW, Winkler M, Niemann H. 2008a. Production of pigs transgenic for human hemeoxygenase-I by somatic nuclear transfer. *Reprod Fertil Dev* 20:234–235.
- Petersen B, Lucas-Hahn A, Oropeza M, Hornen N, Lemme E, Hassel P, Queisser A-L, Niemann H. 2008b. Development and validation of a highly efficient protocol of porcine somatic cloning using preovulatory embryo transfer in peripubertal gilts. *Cloning Stem Cells* 10:355–362.
- Petters RM, Alexander CA, Wells KD, Collins EB, Sommer JR, Blanton MR, Rojas G, Hao Y, Flowers WL, Banin E, Cideciyan AV, Jacobson SG, Wong F. 1997. Genetically engineered large animal model for studying cone photoreceptor survival and degeneration in retinitis pigmentosa. *Nat Biotechnol* 15:965–970.
- Polejaeva IA, Chen SH, Vaught TD, Page RL, Mullins J, Ball S, Dai Y, Boone J, Walker S, Ayares DL, Colman A, Campbell KH. 2000. Cloned pigs produced by nuclear transfer from adult somatic cells. *Nature* 407:86–90.
- Pour OR, Dagogo-Jack S. 2010. Prediabetes as a therapeutic target. *Clin Chem* 57:215–220.
- Prather RS, Sims MM, First NL. 1989. Nuclear transplantation in early pig embryos. *Biol Reprod* 41:414–418.
- Prather RS, Shen M, Dai Y. 2008. Genetically modified pigs for medicine and agriculture. *Biotechnol Genet Eng Rev* 25:245–266.
- Prior TW. 2010. Perspectives and diagnostic considerations in spinal muscular atrophy. *Genet Med* 12:145–152.
- Pursel VG, Bolt DJ, Miller KF, Pinkert CA, Hammer RE, Palmiter RD, Brinster RL. 1990. Expression and performance in transgenic pigs. *J Reprod Fertil Suppl* 40:235–245.
- Pursel VG, Suttrave P, Wall RJ, Kelly AM, Hughes SH. 1992. Transfer of c-SKI gene into swine to enhance muscle development. *Theriogenology* 37:278–278.

- Pursel V, Wall R, Mitchell A, Elsasser T, Solomon M, Coleman M, DeMayo F, Schwartz R. 1999. Expression of insulin-like growth factor-I in skeletal muscle of transgenic swine. In: Murray J, Anderson G, Oberbauer A, McGloughlin M, editors. *Transgenic animals in agriculture*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. p 304.
- Ramsoondar JJ, Macháty Z, Costa C, Williams BL, Fodor WL, Bondioli KR. 2003. Production of alpha 1,3-galactosyltransferase-knockout cloned pigs expressing human alpha 1,2-fucosyltransferase. *Biol Reprod* 69:437–445.
- Ramsoondar JJ, Mendicino M, Phelps CJ, Vaught TD, Ball S, Monahan JA, Chen S, Dandro A, Boone J, Jobst PM, Vance A, Wertz N, Polejaeva IA, Butler J, Dai Y, Ayares DL, Wells KD. 2010. Targeted disruption of the porcine immunoglobulin kappa light chain locus. *Transgenic Res* 20:643–653.
- Raslova K. 2010. An update on the treatment of type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus: Focus on insulin detemir, a long-acting human insulin analog. *Vasc Health Risk Manag* 6:399–410.
- Renner S, Fehlings C, Herbach N, Hofmann A, von Waldthausen DC, Kessler B, Ulrichs K, Chodnevskaja I, Moskalenko V, Amselgruber W, Göke B, Pfeifer A, Wanke R, Wolf E. 2010. Glucose intolerance and reduced proliferation of pancreatic beta-cells in transgenic pigs with impaired glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide function. *Diabetes* 59:1228–1238.
- Robl JM, First NL. 1985. Manipulation of gametes and embryos in the pig. *J Reprod Fertil* 33:101–114.
- Rogers CS, Hao Y, Rokhlina T, Samuel M, Stoltz DA, Li Y, Petroff E, Vermeer DW, Kabel AC, Yan Z, Spate L, Wax D, Murphy CN, Rieke A, Whitworth K, Linville ML, Korte SW, Engelhardt JF, Welsh MJ, Prather RS. 2008. Production of CFTR-null and CFTR-DeltaF508 heterozygous pigs by adeno-associated virus-mediated gene targeting and somatic cell nuclear transfer. *J Clin Invest* 118:1571–1577.
- Ross JW, Whyte JJ, Zhao J, Samuel MS, Wells KD, Prather RS. 2010. Optimization of square-wave electroporation for transfection of porcine fetal fibroblasts. *Transgenic Res* 19:611–620.
- Saeki K, Matsumoto K, Kinoshita M, Suzuki I, Tasaka Y, Kano K, Taguchi Y, Mikami K, Hirabayashi M, Kashiwazaki N, Hosoi Y, Murata N, Iritani A. 2004. Functional expression of a Delta12 fatty acid desaturase gene from spinach in transgenic pigs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101:6361–6366.
- Sharma A, Martin MJ, Okabe JF, Truglio RA, Dhanjal NK, Logan JS, Kumar R. 1994. An isologous porcine promoter permits high level expression of human hemoglobin in transgenic swine. *Biotechnology* 12:55–59.
- Sommer JR, Jackson L, Simpson S, Collins EB, Piedrahita J, Petters RM. 2010. Transgenic Stra8-Eyfp pigs: A model for developing male germ cell technologies. *Reprod Fertil Dev* 23:264.
- Spencer JD, Gaines AM, Berg EP, Allee GL. 2005. Diet modifications to improve finishing pig growth performance and pork quality attributes during periods of heat stress. *J Anim Sci* 83:243–254.
- Stoltz DA, Meyerholz DK, Pezzulo AA, Ramachandran S, Rogan MP, Davis GJ, Hanfland RA, Wohlford-Lenane C, Dohrn CL, Bartlett JA, Nelson GA IV, Chang EH, Taft PJ, Ludwig PS, Estin M, Hornick EE, Launspach JL, Samuel M, Rokhlina T, Karp PH, Ostedgaard LS, Uc A, Starner TD, Horswill AR, Brogden KA, Prather RS, Richter SS, Shilyansky J, McCray PB, Jr., Zabner J, Welsh MJ. 2010. Cystic fibrosis pigs develop lung disease and exhibit defective bacterial eradication at birth. *Sci Transl Med* 2:29ra31.
- Sutovsky P, Prather RS. 2004. Nuclear remodeling after SCNT: A contractor's nightmare. *Trends Biotechnol* 22:205–208.
- Swanson ME, Martin MJ, O'Donnell JK, Hoover K, Lago W, Huntress V, Parsons CT, Pinkert CA, Pilder S, Logan JS. 1992. Production of functional human hemoglobin in transgenic swine. *Biotechnology* 10:557–559.
- Swindle MM. 2007. *Swine in the laboratory: Surgery, anesthesia, imaging, and experimental techniques*. 2nd edition. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Tu CF, Tsuji K, Lee KH, Chu R, Sun TJ, Lee YC, Weng CN, Lee CJ. 1999. Generation of HLA-DP transgenic pigs for the study of xenotransplantation. *Int Surg* 84:176–182.
- Turk JR, Laughlin MH. 2004. Physical activity and atherosclerosis: Which animal model? *Can J Appl Physiol* 29:657–683.
- Uchida M, Shimatsu Y, Onoe K, Matsuyama N, Niki R, Ikeda J, Imai H. 2001. Production of transgenic miniature pigs by pronuclear microinjection. *Transgenic Res* 10:577–582.
- Umeyama K, Watanabe M, Saito H, Kurome M, Tohi S, Matsunari H, Miki K, Nagashima H. 2009. Dominant-negative mutant hepatocyte nuclear factor 1alpha induces diabetes in transgenic-cloned pigs. *Transgenic Res* 18:697–706.
- United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization. 2009. *The State of Food and Agriculture*. 2009. Rome, Italy.
- United Network for Organ Sharing. 2011. <http://www.unos.org/>
- Van Cott KE, Lubon H, Russell CG, Butler SP, Gwazdauskas FC, Knight J, Drohan WN, Velander WH. 1997. Phenotypic and genotypic stability of multiple lines of transgenic pigs expressing recombinant human protein C. *Transgenic Res* 6:203–212.
- Van Cott KE, Butler SP, Russell CG, Subramanian A, Lubon H, Gwazdauskas FC, Knight JW, Drohan WN, Velander WH. 1999. Transgenic pigs as bioreactors: A comparison of gamma-carboxylation of glutamic acid in recombinant human protein C and factor IX by the mammary gland. *Genet Anal Biomol E* 15:155–160.
- Van Cott KE, Lubon H, Gwazdauskas FC, Knight JW, Drohan WN, Velander WH. 2001. Recombinant human protein C expression in the milk of transgenic pigs and the effect on endogenous milk immunoglobulin and transferrin levels. *Transgenic Res* 10:43–51.
- Van Cott KE, Monahan PE, Nichols TC, Velander WH. 2004. Haemophilic factors produced by transgenic livestock: Abundance that can enable alternative therapies worldwide. *Haemophilia* 10:70–76.

- Walsh DM, Klyubin I, Shankar GM, Townsend M, Fadeeva JV, Betts V, Podlisny MB, Cleary JP, Ashe KH, Rowan MJ, Selkoe DJ. 2005. The role of cell-derived oligomers of Abeta in Alzheimer's disease and avenues for therapeutic intervention. *Biochem Soc Trans* 33:1087–1090.
- Webster NL, Forni M, Bacci ML, Giovannoni R, Razzini R, Fantinati P, Zannoni A, Fusetti L, Dalprà L, Bianco MR, Papa M, Seren E, Sandrin MS, Mc Kenzie IF, Lavitrano M. 2005. Multi-transgenic pigs expressing three fluorescent proteins produced with high efficiency by sperm mediated gene transfer. *Mol Reprod Dev* 72:68–76.
- Weiss EH, Lilienfeld BG, Müller S, Müller E, Herbach N, Kessler B, Wanke R, Schwinzer R, Seebach JD, Wolf E, Brem G. 2009. HLA-E/human beta2-microglobulin transgenic pigs: Protection against xenogeneic human anti-pig natural killer cell cytotoxicity. *Transplantation* 87:35–43.
- Welsh MJ, Rogers CS, Stoltz DA, Meyerholz DK, Prather RS. 2009. Development of a porcine model of cystic fibrosis. *Trans Am Clin Climatol Assoc* 120:149–162.
- Wheeler MB, Bleck GT, Donovan SM. 2001. Transgenic alteration of sow milk to improve piglet growth and health. *Reprod Suppl* 58:313–324.
- Whitehead KA, Langer R, Anderson DG. 2009. Knocking down barriers: Advances in siRNA delivery. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 8: 129–138.
- Whitworth KM, Li R, Spate LD, Wax DM, Rieke A, Whyte JJ, Manandhar G, Sutovsky M, Green JA, Sutovsky P, Prather RS. 2009. Method of oocyte activation affects cloning efficiency in pigs. *Mol Reprod Dev* 76:490–500.
- Whitworth KM, Spate LD, Li R, Rieke A, Sutovsky P, Green JA, Prather RS. 2010. Activation method does not alter abnormal placental gene expression and development in cloned pigs. *Mol Reprod Dev* 77:1016–1030.
- Whyte JJ, Laughlin MH. 2010. Placentation in the pig visualized by eGFP fluorescence in eNOS over-expressing cloned transgenic swine. *Mol Reprod Dev* 77:565.
- Whyte JJ, Zhao J, Wells KD, Samuel MS, Whitworth KM, Walters EM, Laughlin MH, Prather RS. 2011a. Gene targeting with zinc finger nucleases to produce cloned eGFP knockout pigs. *Mol Reprod Dev* 78:2.
- Whyte JJ, Samuel M, Mahan E, Padilla J, Simmons GH, Arce-Esquivel AA, Bender SB, Whitworth KM, Hao YH, Murphy CN, Walters EM, Prather RS, Laughlin MH. 2011b. Vascular endothelium-specific overexpression of human catalase in cloned pigs. *Transgenic Res* [Epub ahead of print]. DOI: 10.1007/s11248-010-9473-7.
- Wilmut I, Schnieke AE, McWhir J, Kind AJ, Campbell KH. 1997. Viable offspring derived from fetal and adult mammalian cells. *Nature* 385:810–813.
- Yamakawa H, Nagai T, Harasawa R, Yamagami T, Takahashi J, Ishikawa K, Nomura N, Nagashima H. 1999. Production of transgenic pig carrying MMTV/v-Ha-ras T1. *J Reprod Dev* 45: 111–118.
- Yong HY, Hao Y, Lai L, Li R, Murphy CN, Rieke A, Wax D, Samuel M, Prather RS. 2006. Production of a transgenic piglet by a sperm injection technique in which no chemical or physical treatments were used for oocytes or sperm. *Mol Reprod Dev* 73:595–599.
- Zhao J, Ross JW, Hao Y, Spate LD, Walters EM, Samuel MS, Rieke A, Murphy CN, Prather RS. 2009. Significant improvement in cloning efficiency of an inbred miniature pig by histone deacetylase inhibitor treatment after somatic cell nuclear transfer. *Biol Reprod* 81:525–530.
- Zhao J, Whyte JJ, Prather RS. 2010. Effect of epigenetic regulation during swine embryogenesis and on cloning by nuclear transfer. *Cell Tissue Res* 341:13–21(2011 Pig Genomics. In: Wallingford: CABI. pp 179–199).